

Demolice Světového obchodního centra, Mark H. Gaffney

unz.com/article/the-demolition-of-the-world-trade-center


Mark H. Gaffney

August 17, 2023

[← Sionistický puč proti Kennedymu](#)

[Archiv Marka H. Gaffneyho](#)

[Sdílejte s Gabem](#)



Kráter ve WTC-6

Jak se blíží dvacáté druhé výročí útoků z 11. září 2001, existuje více než dost důkazů pro vyvození rozumných závěrů o tom, co se ten den stalo a kdo byl zodpovědný. Většina základních faktů je známa již léta, i když bohužel nebyla běžně dostupná široké veřejnosti. V roce 2007 profesor fyziky na Univerzitě Brigham Younga, Dr. Steven E. Jones, objevil kritické důkazy při zkoumání vzorků prachu Světového obchodního centra (WTC). Vzorky byly shromážděny bezprostředně po 11. září 2001 z hustého nánosů prachu, který pokrýval areál WTC a velkou část dolního Manhattanu. Jones našel drobné kousky exotického zápalného prostředku známého jako


thermate, který dokáže proříznout ocel jako horký nůž máslem.

Thermate hoří při ~5 000 °F. Hlavním produktem reakce je roztavené železo.

Thermate se od svého známějšího příbuzného termitu liší tím, že obsahuje síru, která snižuje teplotu tání železa a urychluje reakci.

Přítomnost síry i hliníku byla pro termát diagnostická. Jones tomu říkal „poslední hřebíček do rakve“. (Dr. Steven E. Jones, Revisiting 9/11/2001. Applying the Scientific Method, 2007)

Jones také našel v prachu množství drobných železných mikrokuliček (až 0,05 % objemu), což je důkazem, že se roztavila velká množství oceli WTC. Průměr kuliček se pohyboval od jednoho mikronu do 1,5 mm. Když Jones získal nějaký termát, který je komerčně dostupný, a použil ho k proříznutí ocelového plátu, reakce vytvořila intenzivní spršku roztavených kapiček, které se ochladily na železné mikrokuličky identické s kuličkami v prachu.



Jiné studie prachu WTC také uváděly mikrokuličky železa. (Heather A. Lowers a Gregory P. Meeker, Particle Atlas of World Trade Center Dust, zveřejněno na <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2005/1165/508OF05->

[1165.html](#) ; viz také Vyhodnocení poškození: 130 Liberty Street Property. Zpráva WTC Dust Signature: Složení a morfologie. Prosinec 2003)

Jones a jeho kolegové zjistili, že termit/termát může být vyroben výbušnějším snížením velikosti částic přísad. Tato reaktivnější odrůda je známá jako super thermate nebo nano-thermate. (Niels H. Harrit, et al, Aktivní termický materiál objevený v prachu z katastrofy Světového obchodního centra z 11. září, 2009)

A došlo i na další odhalení. Je skutečně šokující, jak daleko vývoj thermate „pokročil“ do konce 90. let. Jones & Co, zjistili, že tekutou formu sol-gelu nano-termátu lze na ocel aplikovat jednoduše stříkáním nebo natíráním. To znamená, že zasvěcení mohli připravit dvojčata na demolici, která nebyla odhalena během rekonstrukce výtahu, upgradu protipožární ochrany nebo dokonce během běžné údržby. Nebylo nutné ani drátovat celou budovu. Zapálení lze provést na dálku pomocí speciálně navržené termické zápalky spouštěné rádiovým signálem. Jakmile je termát zapálen, reakce se sama udržuje. (Kevin R. Ryan, Prvních deset spojení mezi NIST a Nano-termity , 2. července 2008)

To vše je v souladu s mnoha svědectvími o explozích z 11. září. A je to v souladu se svědectvím newyorských hasičů, záchranářů a úklidových čet, kteří hlásili, že na místě viděli velké množství roztavené oceli. Jak řekl jeden hasič: „roztavená ocel stékala po kolejích kanálu jako ve slévárně...“

(David Ray Griffin, *The New Pearl Harbor revisited* , 2008, str. 31–37; Mark H. Gaffney, *The 9/11 Mystery Plane* , 2008, str. 132–139; Graeme MacQueen, 118 Witnesses: The Firefighters' Testimon
Výbuchy v Dvojčatech)

Požáry v kancelářích a budovách nedosahují teploty dostatečně horké k roztavení oceli, která má bod tání 2 500 °F. Ve WTC nebyly ani žádné hořlavé materiály, ani žádná jejich kombinace, která by se této teplotě mohla přiblížit. Ačkoli bylo často (a nesprávně) uváděno

jako důvod kolapsu WTC spalování leteckého paliva, realita je jiná. Letecké palivo je v podstatě petrolej a nebude hořet na vzduchu při teplotě vyšší než 1 832 °F, tedy hluboko pod bodem tání oceli. Nedlouho poté, co se věže zhroutily, zaplavila Manhattan silná bouře s deštěm. Hasiči také nastříkali miliony galonů vody na kouřící ruinu WTC ve snaze uhasit požáry, vše bez efektu. To je v souladu se spalováním termátu, který obsahuje vlastní chemicky vázaný kyslík. To je důvod, proč termický oheň nelze udusit proutkařením a dokonce hoří pod vodou.

Areál WTC byl tak horký, že dělníkům roztavily gumové boty. Psi, kteří byli přivezeni, aby pomohli najít přeživší, utrpěli těžké popáleniny a tři ze psů zemřeli. Jak *horká* byla ta hromada? Nápad jsme dostali 16. září 2001, když NASA provedla přelet pomocí infračerveného spektrometru (AVIRIS) a detekovala povrchové teploty až 1376 °F. Teploty pod hromadou byly nepochybně mnohem vyšší. (<https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2001/ofr-01-0429/thermal.r09.html>) Místo zůstalo intenzivně horké po dobu pěti měsíců. Roztavená ocel byla hlášena až v únoru 2002, kdy se úklidové čety konečně dostaly na dno vany WTC. (Jennifer Lin, “Recovery Worker Reflects on Months strávených na Ground Zero” , Knight-Ridder Newspapers, 29. května 2002)

Objev termátu v prachu WTC měl být hlavními zprávami po celé Americe a vlastně po celém světě. Přesto, jak víme, americká média o této otázce přišla hluchá a němá. Proč? Pokud by za útoky z 11. září stáli muslimští džihádisté, proč by média cenzurovala tento zlomový příběh? Jediným pravděpodobným důvodem pro její potlačení bylo zabránit tomu, aby se objevila pravda o tom, co se vlastně stalo. Od té doby je pravidlem plošná cenzura.

Krytí se také neomezovalo na média. Po mnoha měsících odstávky Bílý dům GW Bushe neochotně jmenoval vládní agenturu, Národní institut pro standardy a technologie (NIST), aby prošetřila a vysvětlila kolaps WTC.

Agentura zveřejnila svá zjištění v roce 2005. Ve své závěrečné zprávě NIST jednoznačně uvádí, že nenašla žádný důkaz, že WTC bylo zbořeno. Vícesvazková zpráva o 10 000 stranách budí dojem důkladného vyšetřování. Ale pozorné čtení (strávil jsem mnoho týdnů kopáním se do toho) ukazuje, že NIST pracoval *zpětně a* hledal fakta na podporu předem stanoveného závěru, že dopady letadel a požáry v kancelářích způsobily kolaps WTC. V tomto procesu NIST odmítl množství důkazů o opaku.

Není pochyb, že to je důvod, proč mnoho znepokojených občanů zaplavilo NIST kritickými komentáři a dotazy k jeho zprávě. Veřejnost chtěla vědět: Hledali vaši vědci skutečně výbušniny? Pokud ano, kde je hovězí maso?

V roce 2006 agentura zveřejnila vysvětlení na svých webových stránkách. NIST uznal, že selhal při hledání zbytků výbušnin. (Viz otázka č. 29: <https://www.nist.gov/world-trade-center-investigation/study-faqs/wtc-towers-investigation>)

Přiznání bylo osudné pro důvěryhodnost agentury a odhalilo zprávu NIST o tom, čím je, hromadou páchnoucího hnoje. Testování termitických rozněcovačů a výbušnin je standardní praxí při vyšetřování požárů. Národní norma to vyžaduje. (NFPA 921)

To vše znamená, že známý příběh o Usámovi bin Ládinovi a devatenácti fanatických A-rabech není nic jiného než krycí příběh: tapisérie lží. Neexistuje žádný způsob, jak by zahraniční džihádisté mohli získat přístup do WTC, kde by přednastavili výbušniny. Al-Káida v žádném případě nemohla vyrobit termát v jeskyni v Afghánistánu. Zápalné zařízení je high-tech av roce 2001 jen hrstka národů měla kapacitu na jeho výrobu, USA a Izrael na vrcholu seznamu.

Jak Sherlock Holmes skvěle řekl Watsonovi: Můj příteli, jakmile vyloučíš nemožné, co zůstane, musí být pravda. Mít nebo nemít rád. Ďáblův trik?

Ale je thermate celá pravda? *Může samotný Thermate* vysvětlit vše, čeho jsme byli svědky 11. září? To je sporná otázka, kterou se někteří v komunitě pravdy z 11. září pokoušejí nastolit roky.

Podle NIST byla ocel ve sloupech monster boxů v jádru věží u základny až sedm palců silná. Mohl by termát proříznout sloupy této velikosti? Pochybný. (NIST NCSTAR 1-3 str. 10).

Víme, že obrovské exploze prorazily suterén každé věže krátce předtím, než spadly. Fotograf jménem Rick Siegal skutečně zachytil tyto exploze na film z Hobokenu, jen přes řeku Hudson z Manhattanu. Kvalita Siegalova videa byla vynikající, protože jeho fotoaparát byl umístěn na stativu. Navíc byl vybaven i zvukem, takže byl schopen zaznamenat hromový hluk, který se nesl přes řeku. Záznam je grafický. Po každém výbuchu je jasně vidět prachový oblak stoupající z okolí základny věže. Během několika minut nastal kolaps. Tyto obrovské exploze otřásly zemí a evidentně měly za cíl oslabit věže zničením gigantických sloupců jádra. Podle mého názoru nebyly způsobeny termatem.

Víme také, že během kolapsu byly velké segmenty vnější obvodové zdi odhozeny nejméně 600 stop od základny věží. Mohl by to udělat thermate? Nepravděpodobně. To ukazuje na mnohem silnější výbušninu.

Nedávno jsem se také dozvěděl o další anomálii. Po dokončení čištění v místě nula byly na místě velmi dobře patrné dva kavernózní otvory ve skalním podloží. Nacházeli se poblíž místa, kde stály věže. Nejhlubší z nich byla 110 stop pod úrovní ulice, takže dno bylo tak hluboko pod hladinou moře. Je zřejmé, že thermate tyto obrovské dutiny v žule nevyhloubil. Byl jsem ohromen, když jsem se o tom dozvěděl.

Oficiální příběh je, že ledovce doby ledové vyryly „velký kaňon Manhattanu“ před 20 000 lety. (David W. Dunlap, At Ground Zero, Scenes from the Ice Age , New York Times, 21. září 2008)

Německý fyzik, který uvažuje mimo rámec, Heinz Pommer, má však jiné vysvětlení. V roce 2018, během prezentace v Londýně, Pommer tvrdil, že dvojčata byla zničena jadernými zbraněmi. Myslí si, že obrovské dutiny v žule mohly být vedlejším efektem.

Pommer není první, kdo 11. září navrhl použití jaderných zbraní. Jiní, kteří tak učinili před ním, čelili nevěře a posměchu. Hlavním argumentem proti jaderným zbraním je absence radiace v místě WTC. Ačkoli jaderný izotop, tritium, byl s určitostí detekován, jeho přítomnost byla údajně jinak vysvětlena, což vylučuje jaderné zbraně.

Pommer se ale dožaduje jiného. Tvrdí, že umístění uranové nálože na dně výtahové šachty WTC vysvětluje zdánlivou absenci radiace. Jaderná reakce v těchto jednoduchých, ale účinných zbraních postupovala zpočátku pomalu. Jak se teplo ze štěpení nahromadilo, uranová náplň se roztavila do žuly. Výsledkem byla tlaková komora ve skalním podloží pod každou věží, kde štěpení nakonec selhalo a bylo zadrženo. Fúzní složka však vytvořila stoupající plazmovou jehlu, která nakonec prolomila kontejnment. V kritickém bodě přehřátý plyn a gama záření proudily vertikálně jádrem každé věže, analogicky k erupci sopečného plynu. To vše bylo skryto před zraky až do okamžiku, kdy věž viditelně vydechovala prach a plyn z horních pater. Pak přišel symetrický kolaps shora dolů.

Pommer tvrdí, že pouze obrovská energie atomové bomby může vysvětlit přeměnu stovek tisíc tun betonu a oceli na prach. A pouze atomová bomba může vysvětlovat náhlý rozpad horní části Jižní věže (WTC-2), která se naklonila v podivném úhlu a padala jako celek. A pouze atomová bomba může vysvětlit téměř úplnou absenci keramických dřezů a toalet, kartoték, nábytku a lidských těl v troskách. Téměř vše ve věžích bylo odpařeno gama zářením. Podle Pommera jaderná reakce postupovala nejméně hodinu, což vysvětluje řadu anomálií. Patří mezi ně elektromagnetické rušení rozhlasového a televizního vysílání, samovolné požáry v okolních budovách a v blízkých vozidlech, pyroklastický mrak, který zahalil dolní Manhattan, nápadné vypouštění páry z podzemních stok, podivné duhové efekty a záblesky stříbrných rybek na videozáznamech a podobně.

Nedávno také vyšly najevo důležité nové důkazy. V roce 2019 lékaři z Mt. Sinai Medical Center hlásili „zvýšený výskyt rakoviny štítné žlázy mezi záchranáři z 11. září...jehož etiologie zůstává nejasná.“ Dozvěděl jsem se o tom teprve před pár dny. (

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6479621/>)


Od dob Hirošimy a Nagasaki je rakovina štítné žlázy považována za typický znak vystavení jadernému záření. K prudkému nárůstu rakoviny štítné žlázy došlo také po katastrofě v Černobylu. (

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3222994/>)


Věřím, že rakovina štítné žlázy je skutečnou kuřáckou zbraní 11. září a budíček. Pommerova teze si zaslouží pečlivé zvážení. Říká tomu ďábelský trik.



Watch Video At: https://youtu.be/gxC_8Kuagcw



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/Se5BDdBbtwU>



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/vbwYEzrB-g0>

Ve druhé části této eseje jmenuji hlavní podezřelé zodpovědné za nejhorší teroristický útok v historii USA.

Mark H. Gaffney je autorem knih *Dimona The Third Temple* (1989), *The 9/11 Mystery Plane and the Vanishing of America* (2008), *Black 9/11. Peníze, motiv a technologie* (2016) a *Hluboká historie a věky člověka* (2022). Marka lze zastihnout pro komentář na adrese markhgaffney@earthlink.net

[← Sionistický puč proti Kennedymu](#)
[Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců](#)

• Kategorie: [Zahraniční politika](#) , [Historie](#) • Štítky: [9/11](#) , [Konspirační teorie](#)



[RSS](#)





[Nedávno od autora](#)

[Sionistický puč proti Kennedymu](#)

[Související kusy od autora](#)


[Sionistický puč proti Kennedymu](#)

[Souvisejícího zájmu](#)



[Chomsky's Ties to Jeffrey Epstein—and Suspected 9/11 Mastermind Ehud Barak—Exposed](#)

No wonder he lied so outrageously in our email correspondence



[← The Zionist Coup Against Kennedy](#)

Hide 39 Comments [Leave a Comment](#)

Commenters to Ignore...to Follow Endorsed Only

Trim Comments?

1. [Gerry](#) says:

[August 18, 2023 at 4:46 am GMT • 1.2 days ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

“Not long after the towers collapsed, a hard rain storm drenched Manhattan.”

This is the other half of the story about the events surrounding 9/11 all of the strange weather phenomena.

Three days before 9/11 the City of Boston was hit with a huge thunder hailstorm that brought an abrupt end to a sporting event. I can still see the expression on that newswomen face on TV as she read the news report from off her desk. The flabbergasted look of disbelief and the what and glancing down at the paperwork twice making sure she had read the report correctly was telling. Then seeing the actual footage of the pandemonium in the stadium with spectators running for cover was troubling for me. I had the sense that God was terribly upset about something. I was also left q

• **Replies:** [@Gerry](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

2. Un question says:

It would seem such a project involved many hands. Why have none of the conspirators broken silence?

• **Replies:** @Gerry

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

3. How undeniably BOLSHEVIK- ZIONIST- {{{JEWISH}}}.

Go down the list....Eskimos ?

Negroes?.....

Asians ?.....

Means, Motive, Opportunity....?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

4. Mike Popperof says:

“Israel” did 9/11

• **Agree:** Iris

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

5. August 18, 2023 at 11:44 am GMT • 20.7 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

MG: *“But is thermate the whole truth? Can thermate alone account for everything we witnessed on 9/11?”*

Arguing/speculating over what type of explosives were used to demolish all **SEVEN**[ie not just WTC’s 1,2 and 7], buildings is a **complete waste of time, and a [deliberate?] distraction**, once an individual has concluded that the WTC buildings all had to have been deliberately demolished via pre-planned, pre- planted explosives of some type.

Who cares whether it was the more traditional dynamite [my pick], or some newer more exotic explosive [nukes, thermate] or weapon [eg directed energy]?

MG: *“We know that enormous explosions ripped through the basement of each tower shortly before they fell. A photographer named Rick Siegal actually captured these explosions on film from Hoboken, just across the Hudson River from Manhattan.”*

I would caution against believing any alleged video “evidence” authored by Siegal . He’s probably just another covert government agency employee. See:

“RICK SIEGEL – unforgettable mega shill”

<https://www.cluesforum.info/viewtopic.php?t=1745>

Regards, onebornfree

• **Replies:** [@Mark H. Gaffney](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

6. Bro43rd says:

Thank you Mark & Ron

• **Agree:** Iris

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

7. Anonymous[352] • Disclaimer says:

August 18, 2023 at 1:10 pm GMT • 19.2 hours ago • 200

Words ↑.

Now that the CIA bots have started to perseverate Izzies did it, Izzies, Izzies, Izzies, let's review.

Say their thought-stopping slogan enough and you get a vague notion that CIA counterintelligence was sitting around pulling their puds watching kiddy porn. They didn't notice. And what they didn't notice was pretty noticeable.

– Saboteurs in Urban Moving Systems and an army of Mossad agents in Fort Lee.

– Officially-designated Saudi terrorists in CIA proprietary P-Tech disrupting FAA/DoD hijacking emergency response:

<https://ourworldinbalance.blogspot.com/2005/04/story-of-indira-singh.html>

Safe houses under the nose of longstanding CIA drug-smuggling operations:

<https://whowhatwhy.org/politics/government-integrity/classic-why-suppressed-saudi-911-story/>

<https://www.madcowprod.com/>

But all the while CIA nepo baby Richard Blee infiltrated Saudi "hijackers" into CONUS. Future DCI John Brennan provided OPSEC by obstructing US-Saudi legal cooperation. CIA was actively involved.

And CIA had to be actively involved because CIA is the one government organization chartered with impunity.

Which means sabotage of 911 was a pretext for illegal war propaganda, an inchoate crime, grave as it was, of CIA's common plan and conspiracy for war. One count in the tribunal

where CIA pukers get hanged when they lose this continuous war.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

8. Mustela Mendax says:

August 18, 2023 at 1:33 pm GMT • 18.8 hours ago • 200

Words ↑.

The statement that kerosene will burn at no more than 1832 deg.F in air is obvious nonsense. It's easy to look up the adiabatic flame temperature of kerosene, e.g. on wikipedia, where it's listed as 3801 deg.F:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adiabatic_flame_temperature

A key phrase that almost never appears in explanations of the building collapse is "chimney effect." That's where a continuous empty column creates a suction that causes air to be drawn into the combustion zone and produce nearly perfect turbulent mixing that allows a close approach to the adiabatic temperature. A chimney obviously was created inside the building, as evidenced by the downward propagation of the flame front, as shown by video coverage. This must have been due to pancaking of floors, which opened an empty column downwards.

Most people have no accurate mental image of turbulent combustion. They've seen diffusion flames in their fireplace or kitchen range, which is a gentle kitten compared to the raging monster created when turbulence creates near perfect access of atmospheric oxygen to combustible materials.

• **LOL:** Notsofast

• **Replies:** @Rev. Spooner, @Curmudgeon, @Mark H. Gaffney

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

9. gay troll says:

August 18, 2023 at 3:20 pm GMT • 17.1 hours ago • 400

Words ↑

Speaking of trickery, I do believe the twin towers were built with their ultimate terroristic destruction in mind. They featured a novel architecture: the towers were supported by a central core of columns which was wrapped in open floor space and *a facade which did not bear the weight of the building*.

When WTC7 did its uncanny vertical collapse, we could see the outside of the building fall at the same rate as the inside of the building, because it was constructed normally. It looked like a perfectly normal controlled demolition. But when the twin towers collapsed, they appeared to “pancake” from the top down. This created the *illusion* that the towers collapsed due to plane strikes on the upper floors. But as we should all know by now, such a collapse is physically impossible.

What seems to have happened is that the connections between the central columns and the facade were severed, leaving the facade briefly intact. Then the central columns were severed at their base, going into free fall. The facade concealed the free fall of the central columns, and the buildings appeared to crumble from the top down, as the central columns’ “hat truss” ripped down the facade from the top.

9/11 appears to be a very long and well planned optical illusion that fits in perfectly well with the theory of “no planes”. WTC was of course built by globalist impresario David Rockefeller.

As per usual, an “anti Semitic” spectacle conceived by Zionist interests in order to exert more control over the “promised” land.

[Side note: Supertramp’s album cover for *Breakfast in America* shows the Twin Towers and NYC skyline behind a crude diner iconography. The Statue of Liberty is shown as a waitress

holding up a glass of orange juice instead of a torch. “Libbey” is in fact holding her torch up against the twin towers. It is a striking symbolism of a false flag. The view is through the window of a jetliner, at the same time of day the attacks took place. Furthermore, when the image is reversed, the numbers 9 and 11 are precisely situated on top of the twin towers. This album was released in 1979.]



10. Trinity says:

NEVER FORGET

5 DANCING ISRAELIS

“WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION.”

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

11. Gerry says:

@Un question

I remember seeing an image of the all-seeing eye painted on the floor of one of the twin towers parkades. Scroll down halfway and read the bizarre nature of religion in America!

<https://vigilantcitizen.com/sinistersites/top-5-worst-911-memorials/>

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

12. Rev. Spooner says:

August 18, 2023 at 5:06 pm GMT • 15.3 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Mustela Mendax

Yes, I agree with you. What you are describing is a 'Rocket Stove' where even wood can create very high temperatures, but that doesn't explain the thermate residue.

Just today I heard Ramaswamy on Tucker Carlson show on Twitter (X) question 911. He latched on the fact that the Saudi intelligence officer met the two perps randomly at the airport and hosted them.

• **Replies:** @Mustela Mendax

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

13. Curmudgeon says:

August 18, 2023 at 5:13 pm GMT • 15.2 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Mustela Mendax

Assuming everything you state is correct, it still doesn't explain how the jet fuel, carried in the wings of the aircraft did not spill most of it on the outside of the building. Yes, I understand the weight of the plane and the speed are important in penetration, but that theory is difficult to swallow in light of the amount of steel in the structure.



• **Replies:** @Mustela Mendax

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

14. Gerry says:

August 18, 2023 at 5:23 pm GMT • 15.0 hours ago • 300

Words ↑

@Gerry

Suffered a power outage so the reason for broken comment.

to continue... I was also left quite stunned because as far as these abrupt climate changes occurring during and right over some sporting events this took me back to that baseball game in California that was struck with a similar climatological event again bringing the entire game to a standstill. After 9/11 my thoughts turned to the question of well this all can't be a coincidence so what is going on? I dare say it was perhaps because those responsible for 9/11 were out having a good time partying at the expense of the people and God knowing their thoughts and plans were following them around the country. climate change if any bothered to read the Bible will know its called Divine Communication in relation to the pollution of sin. 9/11 was a sin of a most diabolical nature and as i said and argued elsewhere if it wasn't for that Icestorm of the Century 'which stopped every man from his labour,' quote from book of Job, 9/11 would have occurred before the arrival of the Millennium.

What kind of mind thinks up such mayhem as 9/11 and Oklahoma as the party of the ages approaches?

there was/is a quote that goes something like this yes:

“something so sinister that it repels the mind that it could even be possible.”

In the time of Israel of old when such misfortune struck their nation they knew what to do. Blow the shofar and call for an immediate national repentance for answers as to why God? We


today don't care for the religious do we? nope we think Science is going to solve all of our problems. Well look what science gave us all!!!! 9/11

“The Lord is slow to anger but great in power; the Lord will not leave the guilty unpunished. His way is in the whirlwind and the storm, and clouds are the dust of his feet.” {Nahum 1:3}

That a hell exists thank Almighty God is going to serve a great many extremely well!!!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

15. August 18, 2023 at 5:24 pm GMT • 15.0 hours ago • 100

Words 

Could a COVID “outbreak” focused on NYC have been a means of “cleaning” up the two decade long-health sequelae of harmed individuals in the plume of asbestos and radiation? The insurance problem, evidentiary problem, the plaintive problem, and the historical optics are all resolved by quietly throwing the bodies in a mass grave.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

16. Ron Unz says:

August 18, 2023 at 5:41 pm GMT • 14.7 hours ago • 600

Words ↑

Given the lack of radioactivity, I'm afraid I'm very skeptical of the theory advanced in this article and suspect that the claim a nuclear explosion destroyed the WTC might even be a Cass Sunstein-type trick, intended to make 9/11 Truthers look foolish.

Here are the relevant closing paragraphs in my own long 9/11 article from a few years ago:

Although my growing suspicions about the 9/11 attacks stretch back a decade or more, my serious investigation of the topic is quite recent, so I am certainly a newcomer to the field. But sometimes an outsider can notice things that may escape the attention of those who have spent so many years deeply immersed in a given topic.

From my perspective, a huge fraction of the 9/11 Truth community spends far too much of its time absorbed in the particular details of the attacks, debating the precise method by which the World Trade Center towers in New York were brought down or what actually struck the Pentagon. But these sorts of issues seem of little ultimate significance.

I would argue that the only important aspect of such technical issues is whether the overall evidence is sufficiently strong to establish the falsehood of the official 9/11 narrative and also demonstrate that the attacks must have been the work of a highly sophisticated organization with access to advanced military technology rather than a rag-tag band of 19 Arabs armed with box-cutters. Beyond that, none of those details matter.

In that regard, I believe that the volume of factual material collected by determined researchers over the last seventeen years has easily met that requirement, perhaps even ten or twenty times over. For example, even agreeing upon a single particular item such as the clear presence of nano-thermite, a military-grade explosive compound, would immediately satisfy those two criteria. So I see little point in endless debates over whether nano-thermite was used, or nano-thermite plus something else, or just something else entirely. And such complex technical debates may serve to obscure the larger picture, while confusing and intimidating any casually-interested onlookers, thereby being quite counter-productive to the overall goals of the 9/11 Truth movement.

Once we have concluded that the culprits were part of a highly sophisticated organization, we can then focus on the *Who* and the *Why*, which surely would be of greater importance than the particular details of the *How*. Yet currently all the endless debate over the *How* tends to crowd out the *Who* and the *Why*, and I wonder whether this unfortunate situation might even be intentional.

Perhaps one reason is that once sincere 9/11 Truthers do focus on those more important questions, the vast weight of the evidence clearly points in a single direction, implicating Israel and its Mossad intelligence service, with the case being overwhelmingly strong in motive, means, and opportunity. And leveling accusations of blame at Israel and its domestic collaborators for the greatest attack ever launched against America on our own soil entails enormous social and political risks.

But such difficulties must be weighed against the reality of three thousand American civilian lives and the subsequent seventeen years of our multi-trillion-dollar wars, which have produced tens of thousands of dead or wounded American servicemen and the death or displacement of many millions of innocent Middle Easterners.

The members of the 9/11 Truth movement must therefore ask themselves whether or not “Truth” is indeed the central goal of their efforts.

<https://www.unz.com/runz/seeking-9-11-truth-after-twenty-years/>


• **Agree:** [ChineseSTEM](#)

• **Thanks:** [mark green](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

17. Mustela Mendax says:

August 18, 2023 at 6:12 pm GMT • 14.2 hours ago • 200

Words 

@Rev. Spooner

I'm not a student of the forensics of the 9/11 collapse – I know there are sites devoted to that subject, which I have never browsed – but based on a quick search just now, I gather that it's not universally accepted that thermite spherules were in fact present in the debris. For instance this article appears credible: <https://www.machinedesign.com/home/article/21830429/another-blow-for-wtc-conspiracy-theorists> The final few sentences happened to catch my eye: the writer is complaining that all the steel structural support was around the perimeter of the towers, and he wouldn't want to spend much time inside such a building. I myself have noticed 10 or 12-story buildings going up of pure reinforced-concrete construction, i.e. without any skeleton at all, and thought it looked pretty scary. I know there are earthquake codes to protect against tumbledown construction, but my state (Ohio) and NYC I assume to be rated at low risk. Anyhow, it aligns with my theory that inadequate pancaking resistance was strongly contributory to the collapse.

Thermate (as opposed to thermite) is something I'd never heard of, but I'll point out that sulfur in the steel and concrete are potential sources of sulfur in the debris.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

18. Mustela Mendax says:

August 18, 2023 at 6:21 pm GMT • 14.0 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Curmudgeon

That's a good question – you'd think there would be a huge fireball exterior to the building. Perhaps there was – I haven't studied much camera footage. But I'll point out that there were tons of combustible materials in the furnishings (chairs, carpets, perhaps the wall coverings, etc) that shouldn't be neglected when estimating the fuel input available toward the building's destruction.

• **Replies:** @Notsofast

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

19. Andreas says:

August 18, 2023 at 6:31 pm GMT • 13.9 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Ron Unz

Thanks for the voice of reason. I was reading the article with an open mind until I got to the nukes.

As for the *who* and *why*, the US was out of plausible enemies by 9/11 and needed one to sustain and justify its vast defense spending. Thus, the MIC had as much motive, means, and opportunity as Israel. I have a hard time believing that the MIC was simply a naive yet opportunistic bystander. So 9/11 was likely planned and orchestrated by the Mossad but with the full knowledge of high-level Deep State operatives within the US government working on behalf of the MIC.

• **Replies:** @Notsofast

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

20. Notsofast says:

August 18, 2023 at 6:36 pm GMT • 13.8 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

excellent article, thanks for information concerning the difference between thermite and thermate, especially the sol-gel.

remember that larry silverstein had signed a sweetheart lease deal on july 24 2001, knowing the buildings would require over one billion dollars to remove asbestos from the buildings, as terms of the lease, as well as a clause to give silverstein as the leaseholder the right and “obligation” to rebuild the structures if they somehow happened to be destroyed. the superstructure of the building had been exposed to remove the asbestos fire proofing of the building.

a little more than two months later, the financial genius of larry silverstein paid off, as his one billion dollars in asbestos removal obligation, was literally reduced to dust and his amazing foresight at taking out two separate policies paid off in spades (after fighting the second company in court for years). in the end it may turn out that the wtc was taken out by a lightning strike....

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

21. Notsofast says:

August 18, 2023 at 7:00 pm GMT • 13.4 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Mustela Mendax

yeah right, and that's what took down wtc 7, lol. you're on the wrong site to push your coincidence theories, your aluminum foil hat has given you early onset dementia. just so you know the world trade center towers were engineered to handle the impact of a modern jet airliner, the original architect went into hiding, so he didn't have to explain that fact, apparently he wanted to live.

• **Replies:** @Mustela Mendax

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

22. Mustela Mendax says:

@Notsofast

Dang, the shiny reflections off my tin foil hat attract notice wherever I go. It's getting so I can't push my loony theories *anywhere*, anymore.

• **Replies:** @Notsofast

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

23. Notsofast says:

August 18, 2023 at 8:29 pm GMT • 11.9 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

@Andreas

In a neutron bomb, the casing material is selected either to be transparent to neutrons or to actively enhance their production. The burst of neutrons created in the thermonuclear reaction is then free to escape the bomb, outpacing the physical explosion. By designing the thermonuclear stage of the weapon carefully, the neutron burst can be maximized while minimizing the blast itself. This makes the lethal radius of the neutron burst greater than that of the explosion itself. Since the neutrons are absorbed or decay rapidly, such a burst over an enemy column would kill the crews but leave the area able to be quickly reoccupied.

now picture if you will, a hole being drilled into the granite below the wtc and a back pack sized mini nuke lowered into the hole, timed to explode, just after the thermite had cut the upper levels, just after the the remotely hijacked planes were flown into the towers.

also remember that the remains of the wtc (as well as the human remains), were quickly sent to china and for recycling (even though it cost more to ship them to china, than the scrap value of the steel).

being unable to imagine and evaluate the level of evil (i prefer the term, isfet to this simplistic concept), prevents us from being able to understand the nature of our “adversaries”.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutron_bomb#Use_against_ballistic_missiles

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Suitcase_nuclear_device

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

24. Notsofast says:

@Mustela Mendax

no douchebag, you have an aluminum foil hat, that's what causes your alzheimers, either that or (much more likely) you're just another deepstate troll, that so proliferate this site, these days. gfy

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

25. Cameron Poe says:

Are you seriously saying that you think the two planes alone didn't bring down both towers? Shocking, simply shocking!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

26. August 18, 2023 at 8:56 pm GMT • 11.5 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

@Ron Unz

Thank you Mr. Unz. I agree, it is sufficiently established that the NIST explanation of collapse of Bldg. 7 is bizarre beyond description.

Essentially for the want of a bracket a beam was lost, for the want of a beam a column was lost, and for the want of a column on one side of a building, a sound building with disparately comprised metal supports — plus skin — collapsed in “beautifully,” smooth symmetry, all elements uniformly — at near free fall no less. Sorry. I have a tiny bit of metallurgical engineering in my background (enough to know that it was not my field), to know that this did not happen as explained.

Why lie so clumsily if the advertised/propagandized story is even close to being essentially correct? Bizarre misdirection invites the question: what else might be fabricated?

For my taste, I do not mind people trying to “fish the pond” the actual murder weapon, so to speak — as long as they maintain the calm perspective that there is a “corpse in the morgue with repeated stab wounds.” I mean, as citizens, architects, engineers and scientists we should want to know those details — you know, just so we can have a “wrap.” I like completeness.

• **Thanks:** Antediluvian Doomer

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

27. H. L. M says:

August 18, 2023 at 9:00 pm GMT • 11.4 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Ron Unz

Well Ron, It appears that you have revealed yet another weakness in your ability to apply common sense.

If you don't believe the explosion was caused by nuclear means, what else do you believe would be capable of achieving that result?

[https://encrypted-tbn0.gstatic.com/images?](https://encrypted-tbn0.gstatic.com/images?q=tbn:ANd9GcSEQFXaRRfvGYCsg280TJ3dmpqIu1c2pJuJGA&usqp=CAU)

[q=tbn:ANd9GcSEQFXaRRfvGYCsg280TJ3dmpqIu1c2pJuJGA&usqp=CAU](https://encrypted-tbn0.gstatic.com/images?q=tbn:ANd9GcSEQFXaRRfvGYCsg280TJ3dmpqIu1c2pJuJGA&usqp=CAU)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

28. H. L. M says:

@Ron Unz

Dear Ron,

Watch this and learn something:

[Video Link](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

29. Gore 2004 says:

August 18, 2023 at 9:19 pm GMT • 11.1 hours ago • 100

Words ↑.

Stop this nonsense.

9/11 was planned since the 80s and 90s by Islamic terrorists. Fact.

The Bushes and Clinton did not prepare for a terrorist attack and they did not plan for Osama's assault....

Yes, maybe the West has been too Jew-friendly, but the West and the World should have been prepared for terrorism since the 60s...

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/3917703.stm>

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

30. Lady Val says:

August 18, 2023 at 9:50 pm GMT • 10.6 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

I remember a photo showing the “before and after” 9/11; the before showed middle-class houses in NYC having no American flags displayed, the after showed flags everywhere. False flag events staged by our own government beginning at least in the 19th century with Fort Sumter and continuing down to today have always been used for the following purposes: [1] getting Americans to support government action(s) that they would not otherwise have supported, [2] creating an “us vs. them” scenario in which we believe what we’re told by our government because it IS “our government!” and [3] clearing the way for government mouthpieces in the media to condemn any dissent with claims of those commenting being an “enemy of the State.” Nobody wants to be seen as opposing punishing the bad guys. The problem is, most Americans believe all too easily what the government and its media mouthpiece has to say about who (and what) is to blame!

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

31. Anonymous[399] • Disclaimer says:

The absurdity of jihadi hijackers was exploded long ago at Consensus 911, which is very methodical, conservative, and bulletproof.

<https://www.consensus911.org/>

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

32. socratesjr says:

August 18, 2023 at 10:21 pm GMT • 10.0 hours ago • 300

Words \uparrow .

@Ron Unz

Ron,

Finding evidence concerning who did it is easy. Most who make the effort will understand who is the most likely suspect.

The difficulty is understanding how it was done because some of the technology used may be classified.

I can say with a high degree of certainty that thermite was involved. I saw a video recorded on that day that showed a large steel beam being blown out of the window near the 80th floor. This would be typical of preparing the building for controlled demolition. That video was pulled.

However, thermite alone can not account for the way the building was destroyed. My suspicion is that there are different kinds of atomic reactions which can be custom fitted into an operation of this type. It doesn't leave a large radioactive foot print. However it would leave a huge intensive heat foot print which could be documented. If you search for the heat evidence you will find it.

I have many hundreds of hours of investigation into this event, much more than the average interested "conspiracy theorist". I remember watching the event unfold at work. When the first building collapsed, I suspected it was a controlled demolition. This was my incentive to look for answers.

Only a very small percentage of those watching, including structural engineers, could see the controlled demolition. This is a testament to the power of suggestion under emotional stressful conditions.

Thanks Mark Gaffney for a well balanced account on how the buildings came down. There is much more to the story that would support your article. The information you are looking for is most likely classified.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

33. Anon[295] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

August 19, 2023 at 1:23 am GMT • 7.0 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

[@Ron Unz](#)

This should answer your skepticism:

Pommer argues that only the vast energy of a nuke can explain the conversion of hundreds of thousands of tons of concrete and steel into dust. And only a nuke can account for the sudden disintegration of the upper portion of the South Tower (WTC-2) which had tipped at a weird angle and was falling as a unit. And only a nuke can explain the near total absence of ceramic sinks and toilets, filing cabinets, furniture, and human bodies in the wreckage. **Almost everything in the towers was vaporized by gamma radiation.**

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

34. threadhopper says:

August 19, 2023 at 2:03 am GMT • 6.3 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Ron Unz

Well stated, Mr. Unz!

I started losing interest in Gaffney's article when the old melting steel beams issue was resurrected. Structural failure will occur at some point when steel is sufficiently weakened by flames, although not yet sagging. A lot weight was bearing down on those stressed beams. A *lot* of weight.

But stepping back from melting beams and nukes and suchlike: What would be the point of a risky covert operation to rig explosives in even one tower, let alone several? Chance discovery would have led to awkward questions ahead of 9/11, ditto unexploded devices after the event.

In any case, hijacking four airliners and crashing them – passengers and all – into buildings would certainly be enough to qualify as a new Pearl Harbor.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

35. Just Some JB says:

@gay troll

Good lord!

Your entire comment is moronic and your handle "Troll" suits.

Whatta bot!

• **Troll:** Chuck Orlowski


Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

36. August 19, 2023 at 3:13 am GMT • 5.2 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

After seeing your photo of WTC-6 at the beginning, I hoped this article would focus on that little known event. That nine story building blew up and outward, leaving a massive crater. There is video of this. No rational explanation was ever offered.

Also watch this excellent video about a former NIST employee expressing shock and dismay about the government's official NIST report on what caused three WTC towers to suddenly collapse.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/GvAv-114bwM>

• **Thanks:** Chuck Orlowski

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

37. Mark H. Gaffney says:

August 19, 2023 at 3:14 am GMT • 5.2 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@onebornfree

No, I acquired Siegal's video directly from him, before it appeared at Youtube. True, Siegal made the mistake of some minor additions – trying to draw attention to the helicopters circling the twin towers. But imo the evidence of the explosions, dust rising, and amazing thunderous noise was all untouched, in a virgin state. Later, hackers got into the act, and one actually erased the sound component altogether. After which, the Youtube posts were useless. The 911 commission should have studied his original footage but of course they ere not interested in hearing/seeing any evidence for explosions.

• **Thanks:** Chuck Orłowski

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

38. Mark H. Gaffney says:

August 19, 2023 at 3:19 am GMT • 5.1 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Mustela Mendax

Yeah, well, what you posted is incorrect.

Burning jet fuel only reaches its maximum possible temperature with an optimal mix of oxygen. If you go back and look at the footage of the burning towers, you will see that black smoke is ubiquitous. This means the fires were oxygen starved — burning at a much lower temperature — much too low to cause any damage to the WTC steel.

• **Agree:** Shafar Nullifidian

• **Thanks:** Chuck Orłowski

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

39. Mark H. Gaffney says:

August 19, 2023 at 3:27 am GMT • 4.9 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@gay_troll

Please do some homework BEFORE you post.

The “outer facade” you refer to was in fact made of high strength steel, which only became available to engineers a few years before construction of the WTC. The outer perimeter was an inherently stable Vierendeel truss and supported 47% of the WTC. The outer wall was so tough – you could cut all of the columns at the base, including the corner columns, and the building would still survive a 100 mph hurricane.

• **Thanks:** Chuck Orłowski

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

← The Zionist Coup Against Kennedy.

Leave a Reply -

Remember My Information Why?

Email Replies to my Comment

Submitted comments have been licensed to *The Unz Review* and may be republished elsewhere at the sole discretion of the latter

Subscribe to This Comment Thread via RSS

Subscribe to All

Mark H. Gaffney Comments via RSS

PastClassics

American Pravda: The ADL in American Society • 51m

From the Leo Frank Case to the Present Day

Ron Unz

• 752 Comments

American Pravda: Holocaust Denial • 2h8m

Analyzing the History of a Controversial Movement

Ron Unz

• 2,327 Comments

American Pravda: Oddities of the Jewish Religion • 55m

The Surprising Elements of Talmudic Judaism

Ron Unz

• 1,661 Comments

American Pravda: Understanding World War II • 2h31m

The Shaping Event of Our Modern World

Ron Unz

• 1,488 Comments

American Pravda: Mossad Assassinations

Atentát na JFK a útoky z 11. září?

Ron Unz

• 1,082 Comments

Chanda Chisala

• 565 Comments