

Hitler, Churchill, holocaust a válka na Ukrajině, Ron Unz a Mike Whitney

unz.com/runz/hitler-churchill-the-holocaust-and-the-war-in-ukraine

July 17, 2023

← [Afirmativní akce a „Pes, který...](#)

[BlogviewArchiv Rona Unze](#)

Hitler, Churchill, holocaust a válka na Ukrajině • [1h14m](#)

Mike Whitney Rozhovor s Ronem Unzem

[Ron Unz a Mike Whitney](#) • 17. července 2023

[Sdílejte s Gabem](#)



Přehrávač zvuku

Otázka 1: Hitler

Mýlím se v tomhle? A souhlasíte s tím, že naše příliš zjednodušené „kreslené“ zobrazení Hitlera brání lidem pochopit události, které vedly ke 2. světové válce?

Ron Unz – Ve všech těchto bodech máte pravdu, ale skutečná historie je ještě horší.

Německo bylo v prvních letech první světové války velmi úspěšné, když opakovaně poráželo Rusy, když okupovalo části severní Francie, ale přesto se jeho vůdci poté snažili ukončit strašlivé vzájemné vraždění v roce 1916 návrhem míru bez vítězů a poražených. Většina spojeneckého vedení však jakákoli mírová jednání tvrdě odmítla a místo toho bylo rozhodnuto pokračovat ve válce, dokud nebude Německo poraženo a trvale zmrzačeno. O této důležité zapomenuté historii jsem hovořil v dlouhém článku minulý rok.

[American Pravda: Ztracené dějiny Velké války.](#)


Ron Unz • **Recenze Unz** • 28. listopadu 2022 • 8 100 slov

O pár let později, poté, co Amerika vstoupila do války, **Německo souhlasilo s příměřím – ukončením bojů – na základě Čtrnácti bodů prezidenta Woodrowa Wilsona**, které, jak se zdálo, nabídlo spravedlivý mír bez vítězství pro obě strany. **Ukázalo se však, že to byla operace návnady a výměny, protože jakmile Německo stáhlo svou armádu z francouzského území a vzdalo se svých mocných námořních sil, spojenci uvalili na oslabenou zemi brutální hladovou blokádu, která způsobila mnoho stovek tisíc civilních úmrtí, dokud nová německá vláda nakonec nepřijala velmi tvrdé mírové podmínky. Ty zahrnovaly rozkouskování a okupaci částí jejich země, trvalou vojenskou slabost a přijetí celé viny války, stejně jako placení gigantických budoucích finančních reparací vítězným spojencům.**

Pobuřující podmínky uložené ve Versailles hluboce zasáhly všechny Němce a vzpomínka na hladovění uvalené na Německo během války a dokonce i po ní byla jedním z důvodů, proč Hitler věřil, že je

tak důležité nějakým způsobem získat přístup na další zemědělské území.

Pokud jde o samotného německého vůdce, před několika lety jsem poukázal na to, že jeho současné hodnocení mnoha předních osobností bylo velmi odlišné, než by si člověk mohl představit na základě jeho démonického zobrazení v historickém propagandistickém příběhu, který později vznikl po vypuknutí války.



Vzkříšením prosperujícího Německa, zatímco téměř všechny ostatní země zůstaly utápěny v celosvětové Velké hospodářské krizi, Hitler sklidil zářící uznání od jednotlivců z celého ideologického spektra. Po delší návštěvě v roce 1936 David Lloyd George, bývalý britský premiér z válečných dob, vznešeně chválil kancléře jako „George Washingtona z Německa“, národního hrdinu největšího formátu. V průběhu let jsem tu a tam viděl věrohodná tvrzení, že během třicátých let byl Hitler široce uznáván jako nejoblíbenější a nejúspěšnější národní vůdce na světě, a skutečnost, že byl zvolen Časopisem Time Mužem roku 1938, má tendenci na podporu tohoto přesvědčení.

Konkrétní příklad takových chybějících perspektiv jsem objevil počátkem tohoto roku, když jsem se rozhodl přečíst si The Prize, magisterskou a Pulitzerovu cenu Daniela Yergina z roku 1991 o historii světového ropného průmyslu, a narazil jsem na několik překvapivých odstavců pohřbených hluboko na 900 stránkách hustý text. Yergin vysvětlil, že během poloviny 30. let 20. století se panovačný předseda Royal Dutch Shell, který strávil desetiletí na absolutním summitu britského obchodního světa, velmi zamiloval do Hitlera a jeho nacistické vlády. Věřil, že anglo-německá aliance je nejlepším prostředkem k udržení evropského míru a ochraně kontinentu před sovětskou hrozbou, a v souladu se svými novými sympatiemi se dokonce stáhl do Německa.

Vzhledem k tomu, že **skutečná historie této éry byla tak důkladně nahrazena extrémní propagandou**, akademičtí specialisté, kteří podrobně zkoumají určitá témata, se někdy setkávají se záhadnými anomáliemi. Například trochu velmi ležerní googlování mě upozornilo na zajímavý článek předního životopisce slavné židovské modernistické spisovatelky Gertrudy Steinové, která se zdála být naprosto zmatená, proč se zdálo, že její feministická ikona byla velkým obdivovatelem Hitlera a nadšeným zastáncem proněmeckou vichistickou vládu Francie. Autor také poznamenává, že Steinová nebyla ve svých pocitech, které obecně sdílela tolik předních spisovatelů a filozofů té doby, téměř sama.

Existuje také velmi zajímavý, ale mnohem méně dobře zdokumentovaný případ Lawrence z Arábie, jednoho z největších britských vojenských hrdinů, který vyšel z první světové války a který se mohl ubírat spíše podobným směrem těsně před svou smrtí v roce 1935. možná podezřelá nehoda motocyklu. Údajný popis jeho

vyvíjejících se politických názorů se zdá být extrémně podrobný a možná stojí za prozkoumání, protože originál byl smazán z internetu, ale stále je dostupný na [Archive.org](https://archive.org) .

Před pár lety byl v aukci prodán deník 28letého Johna F. Kennedyho z roku 1945 cestujícího po poválečné Evropě a jeho obsah prozrazoval jeho spíše příznivé okouzlení Hitlerem. Mladistvý JFK předpověděl, že „Hitler se vynoří z nenávisti, která ho nyní obklopuje, jako jedna z nejvýznamnějších postav, které kdy žily“ a cítil, že „má v sobě věci, z nichž se tvoří legendy“. Tyto nálady jsou zvláště pozoruhodné tím, že byly vyjádřeny těsně po skončení brutální války proti Německu a navzdory obrovskému množství nepřátelské propagandy, která ji doprovázela. Politické nadšení literárních intelektuálů, mladých spisovatelů nebo dokonce postarších obchodníků je stěží nejspolehlivějšími zdroji, podle nichž lze hodnotit konkrétní režim. Ale na začátku tohoto roku jsem poukázal na poměrně komplexní hodnocení původu a politiky nacionálně socialistického Německa od jednoho z nejvýznamnějších britských historiků:

Není to tak dávno, co jsem narazil na velmi zajímavou knihu napsanou Sirem Arthurem Bryantem, vlivným historikem, jehož stránka Wikipedie ho popisuje jako osobního oblíbence Winstona Churchilla a dvou dalších britských premiérů. Na konci třicátých let pracoval na *Nedokončeném vítězství* , *poté jej poněkud upravil pro publikaci na začátku roku 1940, několik měsíců poté, co vypuknutí druhé světové války značně změnilo politickou scénu.* Ale nedlouho poté se válka stala mnohem hořkou a došlo k tvrdému zásahu proti nesouhlasným hlasům v britské společnosti, takže Bryant začal být znepokojen tím, co napsal, a pokusil se odstranit všechny existující kopie z oběhu. Proto jediné dostupné k prodeji na Amazonu mají přemrštěné ceny, ale dílo je naštěstí volně dostupné i na [Archive.org](https://archive.org) .

Bryant, který psal před tím, než byla pevně stanovena „oficiální verze“ historických událostí, popisuje velmi obtížnou domácí situaci Německa mezi dvěma světovými válkami, jeho problematický vztah s jeho malou židovskou menšinou a okolnosti stojící za vzestupem Hitlera, což poskytuje velmi odlišné pohled na tyto důležité události, než jaký obvykle čteme v našich standardních učebnicích.

Mezi další překvapivá fakta uvádí, že ačkoli Židé tvořili pouhé 1 % celkové populace, dokonce i pět let poté, co se Hitler dostal k moci a zavedl různé antisemitské politiky, stále zjevně vlastnili „něco jako třetinu skutečného majetku“ v této zemi, přičemž velká část těchto obrovských statků byla získána od zoufalých, hladovějících Němců v hrozných letech počátku 20. let 20. století. Velká část 99% německé populace byla nedávno zbavena majetku, který si vybudovali po generace...

Bryant také upřímně poznamenává obrovskou židovskou přítomnost ve vedení komunistických hnutí, která dočasně převzala moc po první světové válce, a to jak ve velkých částech Německa, tak v nedalekém Maďarsku. Byla to hrozivá paralela s drtivou většinou židovských bolševiků , kteří získali kontrolu nad Ruskem a poté zmasakrovali nebo vyhnali tradiční ruské a německé vládnoucí elity této země, a proto byl hlavním zdrojem nacistických obav.

Na rozdíl od mnoha jiných historiků, o kterých jsme dříve diskutovali, Bryant poté, co se změnilo politické klima, vytrvale pracoval na odstranění svých náhle nemoderních názorů z písemných záznamů, a v důsledku toho si užíval dlouhou a úspěšnou kariéru, završenou vyznamenáním vděčného Britský establishment. Ale mám podezření, že jeho dlouho potlačovaný svazek z roku 1940, prezentující přiměřeně příznivý pohled na Hitlera a nacistické Německo, je pravděpodobně přesnější a realističtější než mnohatisícové propagandou prosáklé práce jiných, které brzy následovaly. Nyní jsem ji začlenil do svého systému HTML Books, takže si ji mohou zájemci přečíst a rozhodnout se sami.

Nedokončené vítězství

Arthur Bryant • 1940 • 79 000 slov

Otázka 2: Mnichov

Pomozte mi pochopit Mnichov. Všichni jsme se učili, že britský Neville Chamberlain ustoupil Hitlerovým požadavkům na anexi československých Sudet, což zase podnítilo Hitlerovu touhu po globálním dobývání. Ale bylo to opravdu to, co se stalo? A byl „appeasement“ opravdu tak špatný nápad, nebo měli evropští vůdci uznat, že Versailles je katastrofa od samého začátku a souhlasit s Hitlerovými požadavky na obnovení původních německých hranic?

Ron Unz – První světová válka vedla ke kolapsu multietnické rakousko-uherské, carské a osmanské říše, z nichž každá byla politicky ovládána jednou etnickou skupinou na úkor všech ostatních. Čtrnáct bodů prezidenta Woodrowa Wilsona a Versailleská mírová konference povýšily zásadu, že národnosti by měly být dány svobody a měly by jim vládnout jejich vlastní vůdci, a to posloužilo jako logický základ pro většinu takto vytvořených nástupnických států.

V politické aplikaci této politiky však existoval do očí bijící dvojí metr, přičemž vznik nového Československa byl jedním z nejviditelnějších příkladů. Stejně jako mnohem větší Rakousko-Uhersko bylo Československo sešito z několika zcela odlišných národností, přičemž zhruba polovinu obyvatel tvořili vládnoucí Češi a druhou

polovinu tvořili Němci, Slováci a Ukrajinci, kteří měli malou politickou moc a hluboce nesnášeli nadvládu. Čechů, kteří zcela ovládli vládu a její správu.

Československo vzniklo jako důležitý strategický spojenec Francie k použití proti Německu, geograficky sloužilo jako ideální prostor pro bombardování, téměř jako nepotopitelná letadlová loď přímo vyčnívající do srdce německého souseda.

Vzhledem k tomu, že tato země byla záměrně navržena tak, aby ohrožovala Německo, byla zahrnuta převážně německá oblast Sudetenland, aby se posílila její geografická hraniční ochrana.

Němci byli vlastně druhou největší národností v rámci

Československa, takže už ten název se rovnal nečestné propagandě a něco jako Česko-Němectví by mohlo být o něco přesnější.

Jedním z Hitlerových hlavních cílů bylo osvobodit utlačované německé obyvatelstvo střední Evropy a sjednotit je s jejich německou vlastí, včetně více než 3 milionů sudetských Němců.

Česká vláda byla také docela přátelská se Stalinovým Sovětským svazem, a proto se jevila jako zvláště hrozivá potenciální vojenská hrozba, možná budoucí základna pro sovětské útoky proti Německu.

Hitler postupně obnovil sílu Německa a v březnu 1938 se mu podařilo sjednotit svou zemi s rakouskými Němci, čehož dosáhli s ohromně nadšenou podporou rakouských. Poté požadoval, aby Češi osvobodili sudetské Němce a aby se také **sjednotili s Německem**,

přičemž byl ochoten v této otázce potenciálně riskovat širší evropskou válku s Brity, Francouzi a Sověty. Aby tomu zabránili, vůdci Německa, Británie, Francie a Itálie společně vyjednali v Mnichově dohodu, která umožnila sudetským Němcům odtrhnout se a připojit se k Německu. **Tato mírová dohoda byla velmi populární téměř v celé Evropě.**

Jakmile však bylo Němcům umožněno odtrhnout se od Československa, brzy totéž udělali i Slováci, kteří založili vlastní samostatný stát Slovensko (stejně jako se to stalo ještě jednou v roce 1993) a celá země se rozpadla. V tu chvíli Polsko také zabralo kus sporného území a Maďaři hrozili, že udělají totéž, takže podle

většiny zpráv, které jsem četl, se zoufalý český prezident obrátil o podporu na Hitlera a to, co ze země zbylo, se stalo německý protektorát.

Přestože protiněmecká propaganda brzy vylíčila ztrátu české nezávislosti jako flagrantní porušení mnichovské dohody, což byl důkaz toho, že Hitlerovi nelze věřit, že dodrží své sliby, situace ve skutečnosti nebyla tak jednoznačná, protože Československo se již rozpadlo. již neexistoval. Navíc Češi byli plně nezávislí teprve dvacet let poté, co předtím strávili téměř 700 let pod německou suverenitou, takže to v mnoha ohledech pouze obnovilo tradiční geopolitické uspořádání v této části Evropy, a to daleko mírumilovněji, než když se Sověti napadli a obsadili pobaltské státy následující rok.


Je ironií, že **mnichovská dohoda podepsaná Chamberlainem byla v Británii údajně tak nesmírně populární, že kdyby brzy poté vypsal volby, pravděpodobně by získal drtivou většinu v parlamentu, čímž by se na několik příštích období výrazně upevnil jeho politický vliv na britskou vládu.** let.

Zájemcům o mnohem podrobnější diskusi o této důležité historii bych doporučil klasiku z roku 1961 *The Origins of the Second World War* od renomovaného oxfordského historika AJP Taylora a také vynikající svazek Davida Irvinga *Hitlerova válka* z roku 1991 , dostupný ve formátu HTML na tento web:

[Hitlerova válka](#)

David Irving • 1991 • 397 000 slov

Další vynikající knihou pokrývající tuto složitou historii je *1939: The War Had Many Fathers* , kterou v roce 2011 vydal Gerd Schultze-Rhonof, plně mainstreamový




německý profesionální voják, který před odchodem do důchodu dosáhl hodnosti generálmajora v německé armádě. Doporučil bych také extrémně podrobnou historii vyprávění Davida L. Hoggana v *The Forced War*, jejíž anglická verze původně vyšla v roce 1989 a byla dlouho nedostupná.

Vynucená válka

, když selhal mírový revizionismus


David L. Hoggan • 1989 • 320 000 slov



Měl bych zmínit, že jak Schulze-Rhonof, tak Hoggan pohlíží na tyto události poněkud jinak, než jsem prezentoval, přičemž první ostře odsuzoval Hitlerův přesun do Česka jako vážné porušení Mnichovské dohody a druhý tvrdil, že britská vláda pod vlivem lorda Halifaxe vždy zamýšlel zorganizovat válku proti Německu a pouze využíval mnichovské dohody jako lest, aby získal další čas na plné přezbrojení před útokem.

Otázka 3: Churchill „The Drunken Poltroon“


Nechápu žádný smysl Churchillova chování před válkou. Proč tak dychtil vyhlásit Německu válku kvůli německému územnímu sporu s Polskem mnoho set mil daleko od jeho vlastní země? Proč si myslel, že by to mělo zahrnovat Anglii? Kromě toho Churchill zjevně neměl žádný způsob, jak dopravit britské vojáky do Polska, aby bránili zemi, ani by se poničené britské armádě nevedlo dobře proti lépe vycvičenému a vybavenému Wehrmachtu. Ve své knize Understanding World War II naznačujete, že Churchill měl dobrodince,



kteří možná tahali za jeho nitky a přesvědčovali ho, aby dělal věci, které zjevně nebyly v nejlepším zájmu jeho země. Je to to, co se dělo, řídil Churchill pouze scénář, který napsali jiní?

Ron Unz – Churchill se ve skutečnosti stal členem britské vlády teprve v den, kdy byla vyhlášena válka Německu, ale skutečně zvenčí silně naléhal na protiněmeckou politiku Chamberlainovy vlády, takže problém zůstává .

Když jsem se před několika lety poprvé setkal s důležitým historickým dílem Davida Irvinga, mým největším překvapením nebyly nové informace, které poskytl o Hitlerovi, ale úžasná fakta, která odhalil o Churchillovi. Jak jsem vysvětlil ve svém článku o druhé světové válce z roku 2019 :



Nedávno jsem se rozhodl zabývat se jedním z mnohem delších Irvingových děl, prvním dílem Churchillovy války, klasickým textem, který má asi 300 000 slov a pokrývá příběh legendárního britského premiéra až do předvečera Barbarossy, a zjistil jsem, že je stejně vynikající, jak jsem očekával.

Jako jeden malý ukazatel Irvingovy upřímnosti a znalostí opakovaně, i když stručně, odkazuje na spojenecké plány z roku 1940 náhle zaútočit na SSSR a zničit jeho ropná pole v Baku, což je naprosto katastrofální návrh, který by jistě prohrál válku, pokud by se skutečně uskutečnil. Naproti tomu výjimečně trapná fakta operace Pike byla zcela vyloučena z prakticky všech pozdějších západních zpráv o konfliktu, takže je třeba se ptát, kteří z našich četných profesionálních historiků jsou pouze ignoranti a kteří se provinili tím, že lhali opomenutím.

Až donedávna byla moje znalost Churchilla spíše zběžná a Irvingova odhalení mi naprosto otevírala oči. Snad nejpozoruhodnějším jediným objevem byla pozoruhodná upřímnost a zkaženost tohoto muže, přičemž **Churchill byl obrovský marnotratník, který žil štědře a často daleko za hranice svých finančních možností, zaměstnával armádu desítek osobních sluhů na svém velkém venkovském panství, přestože často žádného postrádal. pravidelné a zajištěné zdroje příjmů k jejich udržení. Tato nesnáze ho přirozeně vydala na milost a nemilost jednotlivcům, kteří byli ochotni podporovat jeho přepychový životní styl výměnou za určení jeho politických aktivit.** A poněkud podobné finanční prostředky byly použity k zajištění podpory sítě dalších politických osobností ze všech britských stran, které se staly Churchillovými blízkými politickými spojenci.

Abychom to uvedli na pravou míru, **během let před druhou světovou válkou dostávali Churchill i řada dalších britských poslanců pravidelně značná finanční stipendia – peněžní úplatky – od židovských a českých zdrojů výměnou za prosazování politiky extrémního nepřátelství. vůči německé vládě a ve skutečnosti obhajovat válku.** Jednalo se o poměrně značné částky, přičemž samotná česká vláda pravděpodobně vyplácela v dnešních penězích

desítky milionů dolarů britským voleným představitelům, vydavatelům a novinářům, kteří se snažili zvrátit oficiální mírovou politiku jejich stávající vlády. **Obzvláště pozoruhodný případ nastal na počátku roku 1938, kdy Churchill náhle přišel o veškerý svůj nashromážděný majetek v pošetilém hazardu na americkém akciovém trhu a brzy byl nucen dát své milované venkovské panství k prodeji, aby se vyhnul osobnímu bankrotu, aby se rychle dostal na kauci. od zahraničního židovského milionáře s úmyslem podporovat válku proti Německu.** Počáteční fáze Churchillova zapojení do tohoto odporného chování jsou skutečně popsány v Irvingově kapitole s příznačným názvem „Nájemná pomoc“. Je ironií, že se německá rozvědka dozvěděla o tomto masivním uplácení britských poslanců a předala tuto informaci premiérovi Neville Chamberlainovi, který byl zděšen, když zjistil korupční motivy svých zuřivých politických oponentů, ale zjevně zůstal příliš džentlmenem, než aby je měl. zatčen a stíhán. Nejsem odborníkem na britské zákony té doby, ale **to, že volení představitelé budou žádat cizince ve věcech války a míru výměnou za obrovské tajné platby, mi připadá skoro jako učebnicový příklad zrady, a myslím, že Churchillův včasné provedení by jistě zachránilo desítky milionů životů.**

Mám dojem, že jedinci s nízkým osobním charakterem jsou ti, kteří s největší pravděpodobností zaprodají zájmy své vlastní země výměnou za velké sumy cizích peněz, a jako takoví obvykle představují přirozené cíle hanebných spiklenců a zahraničních špiónů. Zdá se, že Churchill rozhodně spadá do této kategorie, přičemž zvěsti o masivní osobní korupci kolem něj víří již od počátku jeho politické kariéry. Později si svůj příjem doplnil tím, že se zapojil do rozsáhlého padělání umění, což Roosevelt nakonec objevil a pravděpodobně použil jako bod osobní páky proti němu. **Docela vážný byl také Churchillův neustálý stav opilosti, přičemž jeho opilost byla tak rozšířená, že představovala klinický alkoholismus. Irving poznamenává, že ve svých soukromých rozhovorech FDR běžně hovořil o Churchillovi jako o „opilém vandrákovi“.**

Během konce třicátých let Churchill a jeho klika podobně koupených a placených politických spojenců donekonečna útočila a odsuzovala Chamberlainovu vládu za její mírovou politiku a pravidelně pronášel nejdivočejší druh nepodložených obvinění, když tvrdil, že Němci podnikají obrovské vojenské akce zaměřené proti Británii. Taková bouřlivá obvinění byla často široce opakována médii silně ovlivněnými židovskými zájmy a značně otrávil stav německo-britských vztahů. **Nakonec tyto nahromaděné tlaky přinutily Chamberlaina k extrémně nemoudrému činu poskytnutí bezpodmínečné záruky vojenské podpory polské nezodpovědné diktatuře. V důsledku toho Poláci poněkud arogantně odmítli jakákoli jednání o hranicích s Německem, čímž zapálili zápalnici, která nakonec vedla k německé invazi o šest měsíců později a následnému britskému vyhlášení války.** Britská média široce propagovala Churchilla jako vedoucí proválečnou politickou osobnost, a jakmile byl Chamberlain nucen vytvořit válečnou vládu národní jednoty, byl do ní přiveden jeho hlavní kritik a dostal portfolio námořních záležitostí.

Po jeho bleskové šestitýdenní porážce Polska se Hitler neúspěšně snažil uzavřít mír se spojenci a válka šla do ústraní. Počátkem roku 1940 **Churchill přesvědčil svou vládu, aby se pokusila strategicky obejít Němce tím, že připravila velkou námořní invazi do neutrálního Norska; ale Hitler objevil plán a zabránil útoku**, s Churchillovými hroznými operačními chybami vedoucími k překvapivé porážce pro obrovsky nadřazené britské síly. **Během první světové války si Churchillova katastrofa v Gallipoli vynutila jeho rezignaci z britského kabinetu**, ale tentokrát spřátelená média pomohla zajistit, že veškerá vina za poněkud podobný debakl v Narviku byla svalena na Chamberlaina, takže to byl ten, kdo byl nucen rezignovat a Churchill ho pak nahradil ve funkci premiéra. Britští námořní důstojníci byli zděšeni tím, že hlavní strůjce jejich porážky se stal hlavním politickým příjemcem, ale skutečnost je taková, jaká média informují, a britská veřejnost tuto velkou ironii nikdy neobjevila.

Tento incident byl pouze prvním z dlouhé řady hlavních Churchillových vojenských neúspěchů a přímých zrad, které Irving přesvědčivě vypráví, z nichž téměř všechny byly následně vymazány z naší hagiografické historie konfliktu. Měli bychom uznat, že váleční vůdci, kteří tráví většinu svého času ve stavu opilosti, mají mnohem menší pravděpodobnost, že učiní optimální rozhodnutí, zvláště pokud jsou extrémně náchylní k vojenskému mikromanagementu, jako tomu bylo v případě Churchilla.

Na jaře 1940 zahájili Němci svůj náhlý obrněný výpad do Francie přes Belgii, a když se útok začal dařit, Churchill nařídil velcímu britskému generálovi, aby okamžitě utekl se svými silami k pobřeží a aby tak učinil, aniž by informoval své Francouze, resp. Belgické protějšky obrovské mezery, kterou tím otevřel ve spojeneckých frontových liniích, a zajistil tak obklíčení a zničení jejich armád.

Následovat francouzskou výslednou porážku a zaměstnání, **britský premiér pak nařídil náhlý, překvapivý útok na odzbrojené francouzské loďstvo, kompletně zničit to a zabít asi 2,000 jeho bývalých spojenců**; Bezprostřední příčinou byl jeho špatný překlad jediného francouzského slova, ale tento incident typu „Pearl Harbor“ pokračoval v žebříčku francouzských vůdců po celá desetiletí.

Hitler vždy chtěl přátelské vztahy s Británií a rozhodně se snažil vyhnout válce, která mu byla vnucena. Vzhledem k tomu, že Francie byla nyní poražena a britské síly vyhnány z kontinentu, nabídl Británii velmi velkorysé mírové podmínky a nové německé spojenectví. **Britská vláda byla nucena vstoupit do války bez logického důvodu a proti vlastním národním zájmům**, takže Chamberlain a polovina kabinetu přirozeně podpořili zahájení mírových jednání a německý návrh by pravděpodobně získal drtivý souhlas jak ze strany britské veřejnosti, tak politické strany. elity, pokud byly někdy informovány o jejich podmínkách.

Ale navzdory občasnému zakolísání **zůstal Churchill naprosto neústupný, že válka musí pokračovat, a Irving věrohodně tvrdí, že jeho motiv byl silně osobní.** Během své dlouhé kariéry měl Churchill za sebou pozoruhodnou historii opakovaných neúspěchů, a kdyby konečně dosáhl své celoživotní ambice stát se premiérem, jen aby prohrál velkou válku jen několik týdnů poté, co se dostal na Downing Street číslo 10, zajistilo by mu to jeho stálé místo. v historii byl extrémně

ponižující. Na druhou stranu, pokud by se mu podařilo pokračovat ve válce, možná by se situace mohla později nějak zlepšit, zvláště pokud by se podařilo přesvědčit Američany, aby nakonec vstoupili do konfliktu na britské straně.

Vzhledem k tomu, že ukončení války s Německem bylo v zájmu jeho národa, ale ne v jeho, podnikl Churchill nemilosrdné prostředky, aby zabránil tomu, aby mírové nálady zesílily natolik, že přemohly jeho opozici. Spolu s většinou ostatních velkých zemí podepsaly Británie a Německo mezinárodní úmluvy zakazující letecké bombardování civilních městských cílů, a ačkoli britský vůdce velmi doufal, že Němci zaútočí na jeho města, Hitler tato ustanovení pečlivě dodržoval. V zoufalství, **Churchill proto nařídil sérii rozsáhlých bombardovacích náletů proti německému hlavnímu městu Berlínu, které způsobily značné škody, a po četných přísných varováních Hitler konečně začal oplácet podobnými útoky proti britským městům. Obyvatelstvo vidělo těžkou zkázu způsobenou těmito německými bombardovacími nálety a nebylo nikdy informováno o britských útocích, které jim předcházely a které je vyprovokovaly, takže veřejné citění silně ztvrdlo proti uzavření míru se zdánlivě d'ábelským německým protivníkem.**

Ve svých pamětech publikovaných o půl století později popsal profesor Revilo P. Oliver, který zastával vysokou válečnou roli v americké vojenské rozvědce, tento sled událostí velmi hořkými slovy:

Velká Británie, v rozporu s veškerou etikou civilizovaného válčení, kterou naše rasa dosud respektovala, a ve zrádném porušení slavnostně přijatých diplomatických smluv o „otevřených městech“ tajně provedla intenzivní bombardování takových otevřených **měst v Německu kvůli vyjadřovat záměr zabít dostatek neozbrojených a bezbranných mužů a žen, aby donutila německou vládu neochotně odplatit a bombardovat britská města**, a tak zabít dostatek bezmocných britských mužů, žen a dětí, aby to vyvolalo mezi Angličany nadšení pro šílenou válku, ke které se jejich vláda zavázala jim.

Není možné si představit vládní akt odpornější a zkaženější než vymyšlení smrti a utrpení pro svůj vlastní lid – pro samotné občany, které nabádala k „věrnosti“ – a mám podezření, že akt tak neslavné a kruté zrady by měl orientální barbaři, kterým se dělalo nevolno dokonce i Čingischánovi, Hulaguovi nebo Tamerlánovi, byli všeobecně odsuzováni pro svou šílenou touhu po krvi. Historie, pokud si vzpomínám, nezaznamenává, že by kdy vraždili své vlastní ženy a děti, aby usnadnili lživou propagandu... V roce 1944 členové britské vojenské rozvědky považovali za samozřejmé, že po válce bude maršál Sir Arthur Harris oběšen nebo zastřelen. za velezradu proti britskému lidu...

 Churchill prochází ruinami katedrály v Coventry v roce 1941

Churchill prochází ruinami katedrály v Coventry v roce 1941

Churchillovo bezohledné porušení válečných zákonů ohledně městského leteckého bombardování přímo vedlo ke zničení mnoha nejkrásnějších a nejstarších evropských měst. Ale možná ovlivněn svým chronickým opilstvím se později snažil spáchat ještě děsivější válečné zločiny a zabránil mu v tom pouze zarputilý odpor všech jeho vojenských a politických podřízených.

Spolu se zákony zakazujícími bombardování měst se všechny národy podobně dohodly na zákazu prvního použití jedovatého plynu a zároveň hromadily množství pro nutnou odvetu. Vzhledem k tomu, že Německo bylo světovým lídrem v chemii, nacisté produkovali nejsmrtelejší formy nových nervových plynů, jako jsou Tabun a Sarin, jejichž použití mohlo snadno vést k velkým vojenským vítězstvím na východní i západní frontě, ale Hitler to dokázal. úzkostlivě dodržoval mezinárodní protokoly, které jeho národ podepsal. Na konci války během roku 1944 však neúnavné spojenecké bombardování německých měst vedlo k ničivým odvetným útokům létajících bomb V-1 proti Londýnu a pobouření **Churchill byl neústupný, že německá města by měla být napadena jedovatým plynem v protireakci. Pokud by Churchill dosáhl svého, mnoho milionů Britů by mohlo brzy zahynout při německých protiútocích nervovým plynem. Přibližně ve stejnou dobu byl Churchill také zablokován ve svém návrhu bombardovat Německo stovkami tisíc smrtících antraxových bomb, což byla operace, která mohla učinit velkou část střední a západní Evropy neobyvatelnou po generace.**

Irvingova odhalení o všech těchto záležitostech mi připadala naprosto udivující a byl jsem hluboce vděčný, že Deborah Lipstadt a její armáda pilných výzkumníků pečlivě prozkoumala a zdánlivě potvrdila přesnost prakticky každé jednotlivé položky.

Dva existující svazky Irvingova Churchillova mistrovského díla mají dohromady více než 700 000 slov a jejich čtení by zjevně zabralo týdny oddaného úsilí. Naštěstí je Irving také strhujícím řečníkem a několik jeho rozšířených přednášek na toto téma je k dispozici ke shlédnutí na BitChute poté, co byly nedávno odstraněny z YouTube:

[Odkaz na video](#)


[Odkaz na video](#)

[American Pravda: Pochopení druhé světové války](#)

Ron Unz • **Recenze The Unz** • 23. září 2019 • 20 500 slov

Irvingova Churchillova kniha z roku 1987 odhalila extrémně okázalý životní styl jeho subjektu i nedostatek jakéhokoli solidního příjmu spolu s dramatickými politickými důsledky této nebezpečné kombinace. Tento šokující historický obraz byl plně potvrzen v roce 2015 známým finančním expertem,

jehož kniha se výhradně zaměřila na Churchillovy zamotané finance, a učinil tak s plným kooperativním přístupem k rodinným archivům jeho subjektu. Příběh vyprávěný Davidem Loughem v *No More Champagne* je ve skutečnosti mnohem extrémnější než to, co popsal Irving téměř před třemi desetiletími, přičemž autor dokonce naznačuje, že Churchillovo finanční riziko bylo pro kohokoli ve veřejném nebo soukromém životě téměř bezprecedentní.



Například na samém začátku své knihy Lough vysvětluje, že Churchill se stal ministerským předsedou 10. května 1940, ve stejný den, kdy německé síly zahájily invazi do Nízkých zemí a Francie. Ale kromě těchto obrovských vojenských a politických výzev **čelil nový britský válečný vůdce také zcela jiné krizi, protože nebyl schopen pokrýt své osobní účty, úroky z dluhů nebo platby daní, které byly všechny splatné na konci měsíce. čímž ho přinutil zoufale získat obrovskou tajnou platbu od stejného rakouského židovského obchodníka, který ho předtím finančně zachránil. Podobné příběhy mohou odhalit skrytou stránku většího geopolitického vývoje, který někdy vyjde najevo až o mnoho desetiletí později.**

Nepřiznaný vliv tajných plateb na naše vlastní národní vůdce může být podobný. Profesor práva George Washington Jonathan Turley, velmi významný mainstreamový právní expert, nedávno publikoval sloupek v *The Hill*, ve kterém vyjádřil své naprosté pobouření nad tím, že americká média zcela ignorují masivní korupční skandál zahrnující členy Bidenovy rodiny, kteří tajně obdrželi nejméně 10 milionů dolarů. finanční platby ze zámořských úroků. A jen před pár dny jsme se dozvěděli, že tyto platby Bidenům provedl ukrajinský miliardář, což možná pomohlo vysvětlit naši současnou vojenskou konfrontaci s Ruskem kvůli této zemi. Za poslední rok byl Joseph

Biden někdy chválen jako další Winston Churchill a tato charakteristika může být skutečně správná, ale ne zamýšleným způsobem.

Otázka 4: FDR

Proč FDR tak horlivě zatáhla Spojené státy do války, která nepředstavovala žádnou hrozbu pro americkou národní bezpečnost? Zdá se mi, že rozhodnutí FDR mohlo být formováno – nikoli principem – ale očekáváním, že pokud by průmyslová centra Evropy zůstala v troskách, USA by se nevyhnutelně staly jedinou globální supervelmocí. To se samozřejmě přesně stalo. Ale mějte na paměti, že bitva o Stalingrad skončila v únoru 1943, zatímco den D se odehrál v červnu 1944. To znamená, že Spojené státy nevstoupily do konfliktu celých 16 měsíců. poté, co bylo jisté, že Německo válku prohraje. Jinými slovy, americká invaze byla v podstatě vyčišťovací operací, jejímž cílem bylo zajistit hegemonii USA nad západní Evropou a zároveň zabránit Sovětskému svazu v šíření komunismu po celém kontinentu. (Možná nesouhlasíte s mojí analýzou?)

Co nám můžete říct o FDR a jeho motivaci vstoupit do války? Bylo to čistě jeho rozhodnutí, nebo v tom byly jiné faktory?

Ron Unz – Je možné, že si FDR představovala, že evropská válka povede ke zničení industrializované Evropy jako konkurenta a nastolení americké globální hegemonie. Ale myslím, že jeho motivace pro americkou účast ve válce byla ve skutečnosti mnohem jednodušší.

Amerika byla obzvláště tvrdě zasažena Velkou hospodářskou krizí, a přestože se FDR dostal do Bílého domu na základě jeho slibu, že ji ukončí, po pěti letech v úřadu jeho politika do značné míry selhala. Americká ekonomika byla v roce 1914 také slabá, ale jakmile vypukla první světová válka, obrovské potřeby spojeneckých zemí posílily naši průmyslovou výrobu do nových výšin, což vedlo k americké prosperitě. Podobně mnoho mainstreamových historických knih připouští, že to bylo teprve vypuknutí druhé světové války v roce

1939, co konečně vytáhlo americkou ekonomiku z Velké hospodářské krize, ale nikdy neuvažují o možnosti, že FDR mohla válku za tímto účelem záměrně vyprovokovat. Jak jsem však napsal v roce 2018 , zdá se, že v tomto smyslu existují silné současné důkazy:

Během třicátých let byl John T. Flynn jedním z nejvlivnějších amerických progresivních novinářů, a přestože začínal jako silný zastánce Roosevelta a jeho New Dealu, postupně se stal ostrým kritikem a dospěl k závěru, že různé vládní plány FDR se nepodařilo oživit . **americká ekonomika. Pak v roce 1937 nový ekonomický kolaps zvýšil nezaměstnanost zpět na stejnou úroveň, jako když prezident poprvé vstoupil do úřadu** , což potvrdilo Flynnu v jeho tvrdém verdiktu. A jak jsem psal loni:

Flynn skutečně tvrdí, že **koncem roku 1937 se FDR obrátila k agresivní zahraniční politice zaměřené na zatažení země do velké zahraniční války, především proto, že věřil, že to byla jediná cesta z jeho zoufalé ekonomické a politické škatulky, což je podvod** . neznámý mezi národními vůdci v celé historii. Ve svém sloupku *Nová republika* z 5. ledna 1938 upozornil své nevěřící čtenáře na rýsující se vyhlídky na rozsáhlou námořní armádu a válčení na obzoru poté, co se mu přední Rooseveltův poradce soukromě pochlubil, že velký záchvat „ **vojenského keynesiánství“ “ a velká válka by vyléčila zdánlivě nepřekonatelné ekonomické problémy země.** V té době se zamýšleným cílem zdála válka s Japonskem, možná kvůli latinskoamerickým zájmům, ale vývoj událostí v Evropě brzy přesvědčil FDR, že podněcování všeobecné války proti Německu je nejlepším řešením. **Zdá se, že paměti a další historické dokumenty získané pozdějšími badateli obecně podporují Flynnova obvinění tím, že naznačují, že Roosevelt nařídil svým diplomatům, aby vyvíjeli obrovský tlak na britskou i polskou vládu, aby se vyhnuli jakémukoli vyjednanému urovnání s Německem, což vedlo k vypuknutí druhé světové války** . v roce 1939.

Poslední bod je důležitý, protože důvěrným názorům těch, kteří jsou nejbližší důležitým historickým událostem, by měla být přiznána značná důkazní váha. V nedávném článku John Wear shromáždil četná soudobá hodnocení, která **zapletla FDR jako stěžejní postavu při organizování světové války svým neustálým tlakem na britské politické vedení, což je politika, o které soukromě dokonce připustil, že by mohla znamenat jeho odvolání, pokud by byla odhalena.** Mimo jiné svědectví máme vyjádření polského a britského velvyslance ve Washingtonu a amerického velvyslance v Londýně, kteří rovněž přešli na souhlasný názor samotného premiéra Chamberlaina. Německé zachycení a zveřejnění tajných polských diplomatických dokumentů v roce 1939 již odhalilo mnohé z těchto informací a William Henry Chamberlin potvrdil jejich pravost ve své knize z roku 1950. Ale protože mainstreamová média nikdy žádnou z těchto informací nezveřejnila, zůstávají tato fakta i dnes málo známá.

 Franklin Roosevelt a Winston Churchill na konferenci v Casablance v lednu 1943

Franklin Roosevelt a Winston Churchill na konferenci v Casablance v lednu 1943

Takže podle Flynnova líčení z ledna 1938 FDR a jeho poradci původně považovali možnou válku s Japonskem za klíč k americkému ekonomickému oživení, ale následně místo toho přesunuli své zaměření na evropskou válku proti Německu, a myslím si, že možná nastal zlom. byly rozšířené křesťálové nepokoje proti německým Židům v listopadu 1938 po zavraždění německého diplomata židovským aktivistou. Tyto útoky pobouřily velmi vlivné židovské komunity v Americe a Evropě, zcela zrušily jakékoli pozitivní důsledky Mnichovské dohody před několika měsíci a zaměřily se na intenzivní mezinárodní nepřátelství proti

hitlerovskému Německu, které si předtím vytvořilo přiměřeně přátelské vztahy se svou malou židovskou populací. založením důležité ekonomické partnerství s rostoucím sionistickým hnutím.

Je ironií, že podle Irvingovy velmi podrobné rekonstrukce neměl Hitler nic společného s protižidovskými nepokoji a naléhavě se je snažil potlačit, jakmile začaly. Místo toho se zdá, že útoky řídil Joseph Goebbels, jeho mocný ministr propagandy, který nedávno upadl v nemilost kvůli svému významnému milostnému vztahu s českou herečkou, což vedlo k hořkým stížnostem jeho manželky, blízké přítelkyně. Hitler. Goebbels zjevně doufal, že by mohl využít protižidovské nepokoje k obnovení svého vlivu v nacistické hierarchii, ale ty měly místo toho katastrofální následky, což vyvolalo pozoruhodnou možnost, že klíčovou roli v nacistické aféře mohl hrát politický dopad mimomanželského vztahu. vypuknutí druhé světové války.

Otázka 5: Holocaust

Nedávno jsem sledoval řadu videí Davida Irvinga na Rumble, z nichž všechna jsou extrémně přesvědčivá. Opravdu těžko chápu, proč mocné židovské skupiny charakterizují Irvinga jako antisemitu. O co jde? Zdá se mi, že pouze poskytuje důkazy z materiálu „primárního zdroje“, který získal z osobních rozhovorů nebo historických archivů. Jinými slovy, dělá jen to, co byste očekávali od jakéhokoli důvěryhodného historika, předkládá fakta bez „strachu nebo laskavosti“. Můžete mi pomoci pochopit, proč jsou tyto židovské skupiny tak nepřátelské vůči Irvingovi?

Ron Unz – Irvingova výzkumná metodologie vždy silně spoléhala na použití dokumentárního materiálu, a jak strávil roky prací na své přelomové Hitlerově biografii, postupně si uvědomoval, že se zdá, že neexistují žádné takové důkazy, které by německý diktátor schválil nebo si byl vědom. jakýkoli židovský projekt vyhlazování, což silně naznačuje, že s tím neměl nic společného. Židovské aktivistické skupiny začaly považovat Hitlera za démonickou postavu, takže se hořce pohoršovaly nad těmito neortodoxními závěry tak

světoznámého historika, a jak jsem vysvětlil v roce 2018 , jejich útoky enormně eskalovaly poté, co později souhlasil, že bude vypovídat jako soudní znalec v kanadský soud:

Fred Leuchter byl široce považován za jednoho z předních amerických expertů na technologii poprav a dlouhý článek v *The Atlantic* se o něm tak choval. Během osmdesátých let čelil Ernst Zundel, prominentní kanadský popírač holocaustu, soudu za svou nevíru v osvětimské plynové komory a jedním z jeho odborných svědků byl americký vězeňský dozorce s určitými zkušenostmi s takovými systémy, který doporučil zapojit Leuchtera, jednoho z přední postavy v oboru. Leuchter brzy podnikl cestu do Polska a pozorně si prohlédl údajné osvětimské plynové komory, poté zveřejnil Leuchterovu zprávu, došel k závěru, že byli zjevně podvodníci a nemohli pracovat způsobem, který vědci holocaustu vždy tvrdili. Zuřivé útoky, které následovaly, ho brzy stály celou jeho obchodní kariéru a zničily jeho manželství. David Irving se zařadil mezi nejúspěšnější světové historiky druhé světové války a jeho knihy se prodávaly v milionech, a to díky skvělému zpravodajství v předních britských novinách, když souhlasil, že vystoupí jako odborný svědek v procesu se Zundelem. Dříve vždy přijímal konvenční vyprávění o holocaustu, ale přečtení *Leuchterovy zprávy* změnil jeho názor a dospěl k závěru, že plynové komory v Osvětimi jsou jen mýtus. Rychle byl vystaven neutuchajícím mediálním útokům, které nejprve těžce poškodily a nakonec zničily jeho velmi slavnou vydavatelskou kariéru , a později si dokonce odseděl za své nepřijatelné názory v rakouském vězení.

Přestože se Irving nikdy přímo nezaměřoval na otázky holocaustu, v některých svých prezentacích zdůraznil naprostý nedostatek jakýchkoliv dokumentárních důkazů na podporu standardního příběhu, což je krajně podezřelá skutečnost vzhledem k obrovskému rozsahu údajného vyhlazovacího projektu a notoricky známé německé tendenci. pro pečlivé vedení záznamů.

[Odkaz na video](#)

[Odkaz na video](#)

Ve svém předchozím rozhovoru jsem již diskutoval o mnoha důvodech, proč jsem tak extrémně skeptický k realitě holocaustu, takže není třeba, abych zde tyto argumenty opakoval.

[Proč je všechno, co víte o druhé světové válce, špatné](#)


Otázka 7: Holocaust

Rád bych však dodal důležitý bod, že jakmile jsem si přečetl knihy předních mainstreamových vědců o holocaustu, jako jsou Lucy S. Dawidowicz, Deborah Lipstadt a Peter Novick, zjistil jsem, že jejich obsah ve skutečnosti poskytuje určitý významný důkaz proti historické realitě. jejich ústředního tématu. Jak jsem vysvětlil v roce 2018:

V těchto dnech se zdá, že moje ranní noviny přinášejí s ohromující frekvencí příběhy související s holocaustem a pravděpodobně žádná událost dvacátého století není v našem povědomí veřejnosti tak velká. Podle údajů z průzkumu dokonce **ještě v roce 1995 asi 97 % Američanů vědělo o holocaustu, mnohem více, než vědělo o útoku na Pearl Harbor nebo o americkém použití atomových bomb proti Japonsku, zatímco méně než polovina našich občanů věděla, že Sovětský svaz byl naším válečným spojencem. Ale měl bych podezření, že kdokoli, kdo čerpal své znalosti z mainstreamových novin a historických knih během prvních několika desetiletí po konci druhé světové války, si možná nikdy ani neuvědomil, že k nějakému holocaustu skutečně došlo.**

V roce 1999 vydal Peter Novick knihu o tomto obecném tématu nazvanou *Holocaust v americkém životě*, kde citoval tento průzkum, a jeho úvod začal poukazem na velmi podivný vzorec holocaustu ve svém kulturním vlivu, který se zdá být mezi všemi významnými historickými událostmi zcela jedinečný. V případě téměř všech ostatních spalujících historických událostí, jako je masivní krveprolití na Sommě nebo hořká vietnamská válka, jejich největší dopad na obecné povědomí a média přišel brzy poté, přičemž hlavní knihy a filmy se často objevily během prvních pěti nebo deseti let, kdy byly vzpomínky čerstvé a vliv vyvrcholil během několika desetiletí, po kterých se na ně postupně zapomnělo.

V případě holocaustu se však tento vzorec zcela obrátil. **Prvních dvacet let po skončení druhé světové války o něm téměř nikdo nemluvil, zatímco v sedmdesátých letech se postupně přesunul do centra amerického života, stejně jako se vytrácely válečné vzpomínky a mnozí z nejvýraznějších a nejznalejších osobností té doby opustil místo činu.** Novick cituje četné studie a průzkumy, které dokazují, že **tento nedostatek zájmu a viditelnosti zcela jistě zahrnoval i samotnou židovskou komunitu**, která těmito událostmi zdánlivě tak těžce trpěla, ale zjevně na ně v 50. a velkou část 60. let téměř úplně zapomněla. Tento dojem mohu jistě potvrdit ze své osobní zkušenosti. Před polovinou nebo koncem 70. let jsem měl jen matný dojem, že prakticky všichni Židé a Cikáni v Evropě byli během druhé světové války vyhlazeni, a přestože byl termín „holocaust“ široce používán, vždy odkazoval na k „nukleárnímu holocaustu“, což je termín, který je již dávno vytlačen a dnes se téměř nepoužívá. Poté, co padla Berlínská zeď, jsem byl docela překvapen, když jsem zjistil, že východní Evropa je stále plná obrovského množství nevyhubených Cikánů, kteří rychle proudili na Západ a vyvolávali nejrůznější politické kontroverze.




Ještě pozoruhodnější materiál jsem našel v široce chválené výzkumné studii profesora Josepha Benderského, editora *Book Review Journal of Holocaust Studies*. Jeho svazek s popisným podtitulem „Antisemitská politika americké armády“ měl více než 500 stran s 1350 vysvětlivkami a byl založen na desetiletém archivním výzkumu, ale když jsem ho v roce 2019 četl, objevil jsem mimořádně podivné opomenutí :

Oliverovo rázné odmítnutí standardního vyprávění o holocaustu mě vedlo k tomu, abych se blíže podíval na zpracování stejného tématu v Benderského knize, a všiml jsem si něčeho docela zvláštního. Jak bylo uvedeno výše, jeho vyčerpávající výzkum v oficiálních spisech a osobních archivech přesvědčivě prokázal, že během druhé světové války byla velmi značná část všech našich důstojníků Vojenského zpravodajství a nejvyšších generálů vehementně nepřátelská vůči židovským organizacím a také zastávala přesvědčení, že dnešní doba bude považována za naprostou klamný. Autorovou akademickou specializací je studium holocaustu, takže není divu, že jeho nejdelší kapitola se zaměřila na toto konkrétní téma, nesla název „Důstojníci a holocaust, 1940-1945“. Ale podrobné zkoumání obsahu vyvolává některé znepokojivé otázky.

Na více než šedesáti stranách poskytuje Bendersky stovky přímých citací, většinou od stejných důstojníků, kteří jsou předmětem zbytku jeho knihy. Ale **poté, co jsem si tuto kapitolu dvakrát pečlivě přečetl, nebyl jsem schopen najít jediný z těchto výroků odkazujících na masivní vyvražďování Židů, které tvoří to, co běžně nazýváme holocaust, ani na žádný z jeho ústředních prvků, jako je existence táborů smrti. nebo plynové komory.**

Následující čtyřicetistránková kapitola se zaměřuje na těžkou situaci židovských „přeživších“ v poválečné Evropě a platí totéž naprosté ticho. Bendersky je znechucen krutými pocity vyjádřenými těmito americkými vojáky vůči bývalým židovským vězňům tábora a často je cituje, když je charakterizuje jako zloděje, lháře a zločince; ale zdá se, že důstojníci si kupodivu neuvědomují, že tyto nešťastné duše jen stěží unikly organizované masové vyhlazovací kampani, která si nedávno vyžádala životy velké většiny jejich druhů. **Existuje mnoho prohlášení a citací týkajících se vyhlazování Židů, ale všechny pocházejí od různých židovských aktivistů a organizací, zatímco od všech vojenských důstojníků samotných nic než ticho.**

Benderského deset let archivního bádání přineslo na světlo osobní dopisy a vzpomínky vojenských důstojníků napsané desítky let po skončení války a v obou těchto kapitolách volně cituje z těchto neocenitelných materiálů, někdy včetně soukromých poznámek z konce 70. let, dlouho po skončení války. Holocaust se stal hlavním tématem amerického veřejného života. Přesto není poskytnuto jediné vyjádření smutku, lítosti nebo hrůzy. Významný historik holocaustu tak stráví desetiletí zkoumáním knihy o soukromých názorech našich vojenských důstojníků na Židy a židovská témata, ale na sto stranách, které věnuje holocaustu a jeho bezprostředním následkům, není jediný přímo relevantní citát z těchto jednotlivců, což je prostě udivující. Zdá se, že uprostřed jeho dlouhého historického svazku existuje zející propast,



Nejsem archivní badatel a nemám zájem o přezkoumání mnoha desítek tisíc stran zdrojového materiálu umístěného v desítkách úložišť po celé zemi, které Bendersky tak pilně zkoumal při výrobě své důležité knihy. Snad během celé své válečné činnosti a také desetiletí jejich pozdějších životů se ani jeden ze sta významných vojenských důstojníků, kteří byli středem jeho vyšetřování, ani jednou nezabýval tématem holocaustu nebo vraždění Židů během světové války. II. Ale myslím si, že existuje ještě jedna jasná možnost.

Jak již bylo zmíněno dříve, Beaty strávil svá válečná léta pečlivým prověřováním součtu všech příchozích zpravodajských informací každý den a poté vytvářením oficiálního přehledu pro distribuci Bílému domu a našim dalším nejvyšším vůdcům. A ve své knize z roku 1951, vydané jen pár let po skončení bojů, odmítl domnělý holocaust jako směšný válečný výmysl nepoctivých židovských a komunistických propagandistů, který neměl oporu ve skutečnosti. Brzy poté byla Beatyho kniha plně schválena a propagována mnoha našimi předními generály druhé světové války, včetně těch, kteří byli předmětem Benderského archivního výzkumu. A přestože ADL a různé další židovské organizace Beatyho zuřivě odsuzovaly, neexistuje žádná známka toho, že by kdy zpochybnili jeho naprosto explicitní „popírání holocaustu“.

Mám podezření, že Bendersky postupně zjistil, že takové „popírání holocaustu“ bylo pozoruhodně běžné v soukromých dokumentech mnoha jeho důstojníků Vojenského zpravodajství a nejvyšších generálů, což ho postavilo před vážné dilema. Pokud by jen jeden nebo dva z těchto jedinců vyjádřili takové pocity, jejich šokující prohlášení by bylo možné citovat jako další důkaz jejich bludného antisemitismu. Ale co když podstatná většina těchto důstojníků – kteří jistě měli nejlepší znalosti o realitě druhé světové války – zastávala soukromé přesvědčení, které bylo velmi podobné těm, které veřejně vyjádřili jejich bývalí kolegové Beaty a Oliver? V takové situaci se Bendersky mohl rozhodnout, že některé zavřené dveře by měly zůstat v tomto stavu, a zcela se vyhnul tématu.

- [American Pravda: Popírání holocaustu](#)
Ron Unz • **Recenze The Unz** • 27. srpna 2018 • 17 600 slov
- [Americká Pravda: Tajemství vojenské rozvědky](#)
Ron Unz • **Recenze Unz** • 10. června 2019 • 12 500 slov

Otázka 6: Druhá světová válka a Ukrajina, spojování bodů

V našem posledním rozhovoru jste zpochybnili dvě z nejrozšířenějších tvrzení o 2. světové válce, že:

- *Hitler zahájil WW2*
- *Hitlerova invaze do Polska byla prvním krokem v širší kampani zaměřené na ovládnutí světa*

Ukázal jsi, že obojí není pravda. Přesto je stále velká většina lidí na Západě přijímá jako fakt. Obávám se, že stejný vzorec se opakuje na Ukrajině, kde nám bylo opakovaně řečeno, že válka byla „nevyprovokovanou agresí“ imperialisty Putina, který vidí Ukrajinu pouze jako první krok k obnově sovětského impéria. Toto je převládající narativ, který čteme v médiích o Ukrajině, ale je to pravda?

Kdo podle vás rozpoutal válku na Ukrajině a proč je důležité, aby naše záznamy o událostech byly založeny na historických faktech a ne na výmyslech politických partyzánů?

Ron Unz – Když Rusko koncem února 2022 napadlo Ukrajinu, téměř okamžitě jsem si všiml pozoruhodných paralel s německou invazí do Polska, která způsobila vypuknutí druhé světové války. V každém případě vlivné západní zájmy silně zorganizovaly válku tím, že povzbuzovaly silné provokace a zároveň blokovaly jakákoli rozumná jednání, takže jsem rychle publikoval článek zdůrazňující tuto historickou analogii a poukazující na to, že za válku na Ukrajině byla jednoznačně zodpovědná Amerika.

Ačkoli se FoxNews stala jedním z nejběsileji nepřátelských k Rusku, nedávný rozhovor s jedním z jejich pravidelných hostů poskytl velmi odlišný pohled.

Plukovník Douglas Macgregor byl bývalý vrchní poradce Pentagonu a důrazně vysvětlil, že Amerika strávila téměř patnáct let tím, že ignorovala nekonečná Putinova varování, že nebude tolerovat členství Ukrajiny v NATO, ani rozmístění strategických raket na své hranici. Naše vláda nevěnovala pozornost jeho explicitním červeným liniím, takže Putin byl nakonec nucen jednat, což vedlo k současné pohromě:

Prof. John Mearsheimer z Chicagské univerzity, jeden z našich nejuznávanějších politologů, **strávil mnoho let tím, že vyjadřoval přesně tytéž body a obviňoval Ameriku a NATO z doutnajících ukrajinské krize, ale jeho varování byla naším politickým vedením naprosto ignorována. média.** Jeho hodinová přednáška vysvětlující tyto nepříjemné skutečnosti seděla v tichosti na Youtube šest let a přitahovala relativně malou pozornost, ale pak náhle explodovala v popularitě během posledních několika týdnů, jak se rozvíjel konflikt, a nyní dosáhla celosvětového publika více než 17 milionů . Jeho další přednášky na Youtube, některé docela nedávné, sledovaly další miliony.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/JrMiSQAGOS4>

Tak masivní celosvětová pozornost nakonec donutila naše média, aby si toho všimla, a *New Yorker* si vyžádal rozhovor s Mearsheimerem, který mu umožnil vysvětlit svému nevěřícímu tazateli, že americké akce jasně vyvolaly konflikt. Před pár lety se tentýž tazatel zesměšnil profesora Cohena za to, že pochybuje o realitě Russiagate, ale tentokrát se zdál být mnohem uctivější, možná proto, že poměr mediální síly byl nyní obrácený; 1,2 milionu předplatitelů jeho časopisu bylo zastíněno globálním publikem, které poslouchalo názory na jeho téma.

Proč John Mearsheimer obviňuje USA z krize na Ukrajině

Isaac Chotiner • *The New Yorker* • 1. března 2022 • 3 900 slov

Bývalý analytik Ray McGovern během své dlouhé a význačné kariéry v CIA řídil sovětskou politiku a sloužil také jako prezidentský zpravodaj, takže za jiných okolností by on nebo někdo jemu podobný v současnosti radil prezidentu Joe Bidenovi. Místo toho se před několika dny připojil k Mearsheimerovi a prezentoval své názory ve video diskusi pořádané Výborem pro republiku. **Oba přední experti se shodli na tom, že Putin byl zatlačen za všechny rozumné meze, což vyvolalo invazi.**



[Watch Video At: https://youtu.be/ppD_bhWODDc](https://youtu.be/ppD_bhWODDc)

Před rokem 2014 byly naše vztahy s Putinem poměrně dobré. Ukrajina sloužila jako neutrální nárazníkový stát mezi Ruskem a zeměmi NATO, s populací rovnoměrně rozdělenou mezi prvky nakloněné Rusku a Západu a její zvolená vláda oscillovala mezi oběma tábory.

Ale zatímco se Putinova pozornost soustředila na olympijské hry v Soči v roce 2014, pro-NATO převrat svrhl demokraticky zvolenou proruskou vládu, s jasným důkazem, že ho zorganizovala Victoria Nuland a další neocons seskupení kolem ministryně zahraničí Hillary Clintonové. Na ukrajinském poloostrově Krym se nachází klíčová ruská námořní základna Sevastopol a pouze Putinova rychlá akce ji umožnila zůstat pod ruskou kontrolou a zároveň poskytoval podporu pro odtržené proruské enklávy v oblasti Donbasu. **Minská dohoda později podepsaná ukrajinskou vládou poskytla těmto oblastem autonomii, ale Kyjev odmítl dostát svým závazkům a místo toho pokračoval v ostřelování oblasti, což způsobilo vážné ztráty obyvatelům.**, z nichž mnozí měli ruské pasy. Diana Johnstone výstižně charakterizovala naši politiku jako léta ruského návnady na medvědy .

Jak přesvědčivě tvrdili Mearsheimer, McGovern a další pozorovatelé, Rusko napadlo Ukrajinu až poté, co naše americké vedení takové nekonečné provokace a varování vždy ignorovalo nebo odmítlo. Možná poslední kapkou bylo nedávné veřejné prohlášení ukrajinského prezidenta Volodymyra Zelenského, že má v úmyslu získat jaderné zbraně. Jak by Amerika reagovala, kdyby byla demokraticky zvolená proamerická vláda v Mexiku svržena převratem podporovaným Čínou, přičemž nová zuřivě nepřátelská mexická vláda by roky zabíjela americké občany ve své zemi a pak konečně oznámila plány na získání jaderného arzenálu? ?

Navíc někteří analytici, jako je ekonom Michael Hudson, silně podezřívají , že americké živly záměrně vyprovokovaly ruskou invazi z geostrategických důvodů, a Mike Whitney předložil podobné argumenty ve sloupku , který se stal supervirálním a nashromáždil více než 800 000 zobrazení stránek. Plynovod Nord Stream 2 přivádějící ruský zemní plyn do Německa byl v loňském roce konečně dokončen a měl být uveden do provozu, což by výrazně zvýšilo euroasijskou ekonomickou integraci a ruský vliv v Evropě a zároveň odstranilo potenciální trh pro dražší americký zemní plyn. . Ruský útok a následná masivní mediální hysterie nyní tuto možnost vyloučily.

Takže ačkoli to byly ruské jednotky, které překročily ukrajinskou hranici, lze jednoznačně říci, že tak učinili až po těch nejextrémnějších provokacích, které mohly být záměrně zamýšleny přesně k tomuto výsledku. Někdy nejsou strany odpovědné za rozpoutání války nutně ty, které nakonec vystřelí jako první.

Jen několik dní poté, co válka začala, jsem poukázal na to, že totální démonizace Ruska a Vladimira Putina našimi médii a vládou se zdála přesně podobná tomu, jak se o tři generace dříve chovali k Německu a Adolfu Hitlerovi.

Taková mezinárodní odvěta proti Rusku a jednotlivým Rusům se zdá krajně nepřiměřená. Boje na Ukrajině si dosud vyžádaly minimální smrt nebo zničení, zatímco různé další velké války posledních dvou desetiletí, mnohé z nich amerického původu, zabily miliony a zcela zničily několik zemí, včetně Iráku, Libye a Sýrie. Ale globální dominance americké mediální propagandy vyvolala velmi odlišnou lidovou odezvu, která vyvolala toto pozoruhodné crescendo nenávisti. **Nejbližší paralelou, která mě napadá, by bylo americké nepřátelství namířené proti Adolfu Hitlerovi a nacistickému Německu po vypuknutí druhé světové války** , jak naznačují rozšířená srovnání mezi Putinovou invazí na Ukrajinu a Hitlerovým útokem na Polsko v roce 1939. Jednoduché vyhledávání na Googlu pro „Putin a Hitler“ vrátí desítky milionů webových stránek s nejlepšími výsledky od nadpisu článku Washington Post po tweety hvězdy pop music Stevie Nickse . Už v roce 2014 zdokumentoval Andrew Anglin z *Daily Stormer* vznikající mem „Putin je nový Hitler“.

Je ironií, že argumenty Mearsheimera a dalších, že Putin byl značně vyprovokován nebo možná dokonce zmanipulován k útoku na Ukrajinu, vyvolávají určité zajímavé historické paralely. Legie ignorantů ze Západu, kteří se bezmyšlenkovitě spoléhají na naše falešná média, možná odsuzují Putina jako „dalšího Hitlera“, ale myslím, že se možná nechtěně přiklonili k pravdě.

- [Americká Pravda: Putin jako Hitler?](#)
Ron Unz • **Recenze Unz** • 7. března 2022 • 7 900 slov
- [Americká Pravda: Třetí a druhá světová válka?](#)
Ron Unz • **Recenze The Unz** • 24. října 2022 • 4 700 slov

Související čtení:

← [Afirmativní akce a „Pes, který...“](#)




[Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců](#)

• Kategorie: [Zahraniční politika](#) , [Historie](#) • Štítky:  [RSS](#) 
[Adolf Hitler](#) , [Americká armáda](#) , [Konspirační teorie](#) , [David Irving](#) , [Franklin D. Roosevelt](#) , [holocaust](#) , [Židé](#) , [nacistické Německo](#) , [Rusko](#) , [Ukrajina](#) , [Winston Churchill](#) , [2. světová válka](#)

[Nedávno od autora](#)

[Související kusy od autora](#)

[Souvisejícího zájmu](#)



Richard Solomon

← Afirmativní akce a „Pes, který...

Skrýt 223 komentářů Zanechat komentář

Commenters to Ignore...to FollowEndorsed Only

Trim Comments?

1. unzfanguy says:

July 17, 2023 at 4:17 am GMT • 1.6 days ago • 200 Words ↑

“Our entry into World War II was the crucial act in foisting a permanent militarization upon the economy and society, in bringing to the country a permanent garrison state, an overweening military-industrial complex, a permanent system of conscription. It was the crucial act in creating a mixed economy run by Big Government, a system of state-monopoly capitalism run by the central government in collaboration with Big Business and Big Unionism.

It was the crucial act in elevating presidential power, particularly in foreign affairs, to the role of single most despotic person in the history of the world. And, finally, World War II is the last war myth left, the myth that the Old Left clings to in pure desperation: the myth that here, at least, was a good war, here was a war in which America was in the right.

World War II is the war thrown into our faces by the war-making establishment, as it tries, in each war that we face, to wrap itself in the mantle of good and righteous World War II. It is because of its enthusiasm for World War II and its leader, Franklin D. Roosevelt, that the Old Left has never been able to understand the straight and true line that leads from the New Deal and Franklin D. Roosevelt which they adore, to the Great Society and Lyndon Johnson which they despise.”

-Murray Rothbard

<https://mises.org/library/harry-elmer-barnes-rip>

- **Agree:** Realist, Vajradhara
- **Thanks:** Justrambling, brostoevsky

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

2. Anonymous[992] • Disclaimer says:

And weird how the Brits are once again such rabid dogs of war and saboteurs. Just today they were behind the attack on the civilian Crimean Bridge possibly killing civilians.

The sooner the English become extinct the more peaceful this world will be.

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

3. July 17, 2023 at 4:49 am GMT • 1.6 days ago • 100 Words ↑

More little known war details :

The war over the German-Austrian customs Union:

<https://armedwithknowledge.blogspot.com/2012/10/1931-banks-german-unity-austria-and.html>

Holocaust narrative problems:

<https://armedwithknowledge.blogspot.com/2019/08/holocaust-chapter-unexplained.html>

The Jewish hoax that is sound of music (few reasons to suspect the referendums for the Anschluss were not legit) :

<https://armedwithknowledge.blogspot.com/2018/03/the-sound-of-americana-austrian.html>

The German-Polish alliance that could have been:

<https://armedwithknowledge.blogspot.com/2012/10/no-second-world-war.html>

The situation in Danzig, boycotts, Port for port negotiations, British interest in Polish mining:

<https://armedwithknowledge.blogspot.com/2013/05/deconstructing-danzig-reevaluation-of.html>

UK started the air war with Germany:

<https://armedwithknowledge.blogspot.com/2017/02/mythbusting-truth-about-winston.html>

What the rise of the “far right” really was in connection with:

<https://armedwithknowledge.blogspot.com/2007/07/the-reichstag-fire-and-threat-of.html>

Happy reading all


- **Thanks:** [Ann Nonny Mouse](#)
- **Replies:** [@Aldonichts](#), [@che guava](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

4. Anonymous[366] • Disclaimer says:

@unzfanguy

Seems like Harry Elmer Barnes— who was inclined toward pacifism— is the source of some common quotes on war, e.g., “endless war for endless peace”, “truth is the first casualty of war”, et al.



• **Thanks:** Dieter Kief

• **Replies:** @bjondo, @Gerry

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

5. Anon[291] • Disclaimer says:

OMG, this is so awesome!

Ron, please keep us updated daily on the view count!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

6. July 17, 2023 at 5:07 am GMT • 1.6 days ago • 100 Words ↑
This is an outstanding overview that destroys official myths of World War II.

Let me add some points:

Hitler's National-Socialism was very similar to America's Bernie Sanders' socialist political movement as they promoted the same things. Take note "Bernie Bros".

Churchill was far more evil than Hitler. He was as bad as Stalin, yet more corrupt.

Following France's resulting defeat and occupation, the British prime minister then ordered a sudden, surprise attack on the disarmed French fleet, completely destroying it and killing some 2,000 of his erstwhile allies; the immediate cause was his mistranslation of a single French word, but this "Pearl Harbor-type" incident continued to rankle French leaders for decades.

Here is a short video about this topic since few are aware:



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/SkUloT3laTE>

- **Agree:** [druid55](#)
- **Thanks:** [Sarah](#)
- **Replies:** [@SolontoCroesus](#), [@Poupon Marx](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

7. July 17, 2023 at 5:18 am GMT • 1.6 days ago • 100 Words 

When Russia invaded Ukraine in late February 2022, I'd almost immediately noticed the remarkable parallels to Germany's invasion of Poland, which caused the outbreak of World War II.

Mearsheimer later explained that the Germans invaded Poland with 1.5 million men in 1939. Russia invaded Ukraine with 190,000 men in 2022. He stated there is no way a force that small was expected to conquer and occupy a huge nation like Ukraine with 40 million people.

- **Thanks:** [meamjojo](#)
- **Replies:** [@QCIC](#), [@brostoevsky](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

8. Prem says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:19 am GMT • 1.6 days ago • 1,700 Words [↑](#)

Adolf Hitler ist he most lied about man In history. Man In History
One of his first changes by Adolf Hitler upon taking power was to repeal the Jewish-controlled Weimar government's continuance of 1928 restrictions on citizens carrying weapons except by known enemies of the people such as criminals and Jews plotting with enemies abroad. Jews always disarm populations. Adolf Hitler believed that an armed citizenry was the best insurance against such an oppressive and evil state as Weimar ever again inflicting itself on the German people, as well as to protect the Reich from becoming corrupted in the future. Sadly this restriction was not only re-imposed after the war by the current Occupation government in Germany as it was across all the ZOG regimes of Europe with the very partial exception of Switzerland, but extended to include all self-defence weapons such as knives or pepperspray for women, leaving the people of Europe defenceless against criminals, hostile immigrants and the growing tyranny of the coming Jew World Order with it's increasingly brutal and lawless, anti free-speech, heavily armed police and internal security forces.

“The authority of the State can never be an end in itself; for, if that were so, any kind of tyranny would be inviolable and sacred. If a government uses the instruments of power in it's hands for the purpose of leading a people to ruin, then rebellion is not only the right but also the duty of every individual citizen.”

~Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf.

[MORE]

• **Agree:** [druid55](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

9. July 17, 2023 at 5:24 am GMT • 1.6 days ago • 100 Words ↑
Roosevelt had many objectives during World War II, but the primary was to continue President Wilson's plan for a world government run by Wall Street. FDR worked on Wall Street for many years and supported disastrous World War I while an assistant Secretary of the Navy.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/RRnrIVYtZTA>

- **Thanks:** [Sarah](#)
- **Replies:** [@OrangeSmoke](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

10. Zachary Smith says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:46 am GMT • 1.6 days ago • 500 Words ↑

... I've seen plausible claims here and there that during the 1930s Hitler was widely acknowledged as the world's most popular and successful national leader, and the fact that he was selected as Time Magazine's Man of the Year for 1938 tends to support this belief.

Checking out the January 2, 1939 issue of Time Magazine to see what it really "tends to support" would cost me \$135 on eBay – because Time Magazine has most of its archives locked down. Ain't gonna happen!

For example, a bit of very casual Googling brought to my attention an interesting article by a leading biographer of famed Jewish modernist writer Gertrude Stein, who seemed totally mystified why her feminist icon seemed to have been a major admirer of Hitler and an enthusiastic supporter of the pro-German Vichy government of France. The author also notes that Stein was hardly alone in her sentiments, which were generally shared by so many of the leading writers and philosophers of that period.

A casual couple of searches of my own turned up several possibilities for Stein's Nazi Love.

Saying that Stein endorsed Hitler for the Nobel Prize in the 1934 interview is like saying that Mel Brooks includes a tribute to Hitler in The Producers. In Stein's remarks about Hitler and the Nobel Prize, she associates Hitler with all that is bad in Germany. Her remarks constitute an attack on Hitler.

According to this account Stein was a heroic Jewish Female Person who was actually spitting in Hitler's eye.

Another is that she had believed her Astrology book (which predicted a quick defeat for Germany in the Battle of France) and had stayed in France despite being urged to leave on account of her being Jewish. Naturally the quick-witted Stein adopted a successful survival strategy of pretending to be a world-class Nazi suck-up.

Of course another very real possibility is that Gertrude Stein was an Honest-To-God Fascist. In 2023 it's well known that a "Jewish" state can be chock full of Nasty Nazis.

There wasn't much point of going any further in this piece, for a quick scan showed that it was mostly more of the same old ****. In other words, Repetition of Past Stuff – something Joseph Goebbels also used to great effect.

If you repeat a lie often enough, people will believe it, and you will even come to believe it yourself.

A lie told once remains a lie but a lie told a thousand times becomes the truth

This is the secret of propaganda: Those who are to be persuaded by it should be completely immersed in the ideas of the propaganda, without ever noticing that they are being immersed in it.

https://www.azquotes.com/author/5626-Joseph_Goebbels

But to avoid imitating Oddball of Kelly's Heroes fame (**Always with the negative waves Moriarty, always with the negative waves**) I'll now try to make a Positive Contribution with this post.

Consider the photograph of Winston Churchill at the top of the page. His "If Looks Could Kill" pose was actually showing his anger at the photographer – *a fellow who had just snatched a cigar out of his mouth.*

<https://petapixel.com/2013/03/08/in-his-iconic-portrait-winston-churchill-is-scowling-over-a-lost-cigar/>

• **Replies:** [@Curmudgeon](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

11. The Germ Theory of Disease says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:53 am GMT • 1.5 days ago • 100 Words ↑

Like the way that the planet Pluto was discovered not by direct observation, but rather by noticing certain irregularities in the gravitational paths of the surrounding planets, which caused the mathematical deduction that there just had to be some other planetary body hiding out in that orbital space.....

Unless and until one is willing to admit that there was and is in the history of Europe an extra-legal Jewish international empire which had been, all along, operating under its own auspices, but without the cover of national sovereignty... you can't discuss European history accurately, or the course of its tedious wars, because you're not accounting for Pluto.

If I told you that the Jewish Empire was the actual cause and start of the Second World War, you'd look at me like I'm crazy. Okay. I'm crazy.

12. Chebyshev says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:54 am GMT • 1.5 days ago • 200 Words ↑

It sounds like *Kristallnacht* was massively important in shaping media coverage of Hitler's Germany, if before that event, American media was mostly favorable in its commentary on Nazi Germany, but afterwards was fiercely hostile, as described by that Polish ambassador in Washington. The extremely bad blood that *Kristallnacht* engendered between the American media and Nazi Germany explains why the media portrayed the German annexation of Czechia as bad, when it was actually good.

Wow, Churchill was corrupt. Thanks for the new details. The fact that Biden has been bribed by a wealthy foreigner just like Churchill was, for very similar reasons, is incredible. But while Jewish and Czech bribes of Churchill paid off for the Jews as a whole, the Ukrainian bribe that contributed to U.S. hostility towards Russia has obviously had bad consequences for Ukraine and presumably the only Ukrainians who've benefited from the current war are the very wealthy and well-connected. And while destroying Germany and much of Europe in a huge war was at least broadly beneficial for Americans, the current war in Ukraine doesn't benefit us.

• **Replies:** @Prince Saradine

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

13. Waitemata says:

The recently published unexpurgated diaries of Chips Channon sent a bit of a shock wave through the British establishment. Volume II gives more insight into the way Winston Churchill was viewed by others in the Tory party during WWII.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

14. Patrick McNally says:

July 17, 2023 at 6:16 am GMT • 1.5 days ago • 100 Words 

> Germany had been very successful during the early years of the First World War, repeatedly defeating the Russians while occupying portions of northern France, but nevertheless its leaders then sought to end the horrible mutual slaughter in 1916 by proposing a peace without winners or losers.

This is a blatant falsehood. In his history of England for 1914-45, A.J.P. Taylor correctly noted that it was never Ludendorff's intention to accept a peace without annexations. Instead, Bethmann-Hollweg had found himself being pushed out of power in 1916 in favor of Ludendorff. at a time when it was obvious that the US was likely to enter the war. Bethmann-Hollweg made some gestures in an attempt to avert US entry and possibly recover his position. But he was pushed out by Ludendorff who never endorsed any peace terms without major annexations.

15. Dumbo says:

The "good war" was always a myth. There are no good wars. All wars are bankers wars.

• **Agree:** Old and Grumpy, Brad Anbro

• **Replies:** @peteypies

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

16. Wokechoke says:

July 17, 2023 at 6:55 am GMT • 1.5 days ago • 100 Words 

Curve Ball for Unz.

General De Gaulle had a handicapped daughter. Down Syndrome if I recall. Churchill's informer from the Foreign Office who fed him figures about German rearmament as early as 1935 had a child with extreme handicaps. Ralph Wigram. Ralph was I suppose a sort of whistleblower feeding the Anti Appeasement faction of the Tory party the hard data it needed to attack Baldwin and Chamberlain in parliament. Ralph was also forwarding stories of Nazi antisemitism.

Parents of such children may have been motivated by stories about National Socialist Eugenics. This would have lent credence to later tales of Death Camps for Jews.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

17. Justrambling says:

July 17, 2023 at 7:55 am GMT • 1.5 days ago • 100 Words [↑](#)

A truly eye-opening piece. How else can the criminalization of holocaust denial and support for BDS be satisfactorily explained other than via the ascendancy of Jewish power and its stranglehold on American politics?

Then comes the criminalization of support for BDS and arguably the most overused card in history – antisemitism, to silence critics of Israeli atrocities against Palestinians and pushing America to fight their wars in the ME?

It comes as no surprise that all this is paralleled by Jewish over representation of key positions in successive US administrations, despite Jews comprising a minuscule 2.4% of the population.

• **Agree:** [Old and Grumpy](#), [Ann Nonny Mouse](#)

• **Replies:** [@Ann Nonny Mouse](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

18. Levtraro says:

July 17, 2023 at 8:20 am GMT • 1.4 days ago • 100 Words [↑](#)

Germany had been very successful during the early years of the First World War, repeatedly defeating the Russians while occupying portions of northern France, but nevertheless its leaders then sought to end the horrible mutual slaughter in 1916 by proposing a peace without winners or losers.

The outrageous terms imposed at Versailles deeply rankled all Germans, [...]

Wait. So Germany was doing well in WWI but because of the good heart of German leaders they sought peace to stop the killings and then they proceeded to accept outrageous terms imposed on them. Those German leaders of 1916 were really nice people.

19. Lochearn says:

July 17, 2023 at 9:47 am GMT • 1.4 days ago • 100 Words ↑

Missing is Guido Preparata's book "Conjuring Hitler: How Britain and America made the Third Reich." It was first published as an article which could be accessed for free but it seems to have disappeared.

I would be very interested to read your and Mr Unz's take on this important book.

• **Replies:** @Ron Unz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

20. Kali says:

Seminal, timely, and above all, very, very helpful!

Thank you Ron and Mike. May this exceptional interview also go "super-viral" and be translated into every European language, as well as Russian.

The world owes you both, but especially you Ron Unz, a great debt of thanks.

With love,
Kali.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

21. No white guilt says:

July 17, 2023 at 9:59 am GMT • 1.4 days ago • 100 Words ↑

Thank u for this article mr Unz, ive wrote on other websites like urs just recently veterntoday .com between watching the 10 part doc Europa the last battle, an article by Kendra carter back in 2017 on jewworldorder.org and listneing to old audio speeches from Georg lincon rockwell from the 60's we as a public have been lied too about Hitler and Nazi all for the sake of boeing down to the jew elites and jew serpremists unfortunately alot of people still rather believe the lies that we been told...

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

22. Nick Kollerstrom says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:36 am GMT • 1.4 days ago • 100 Words ↑

Wonderful stuff, well done you two. Just one point: you describe A.H. as “the leader who promised to restore Germany (to its original borders)” pre-Versailles. The problem here is, that he achieved the union with Austria as well as the achieving of those earlier borders. That union had always been denied to Germany as it would have made it too powerful. Austria had always been a part of Germany for centuries prior to the establishing of the modern nation-state in 1871. So including it as well as the pre-Versailles Germany would very soon make Germany the most powerful nation on Earth. Which the Empire could not permit.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

23. Charkes the Bald says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:41 am GMT • 1.3 days ago • 400 Words ↑

“My impression is that individuals of low personal character are those most likely to sell out the interests of their own country in exchange for large sums of foreign money, and as such usually constitute the natural targets of nefarious plotters and foreign spies. Churchill certainly seems to fall into this category, with rumors of massive personal corruption swirling around him from early in his political career. Later, he supplemented his income by engaging in widespread art-forgery, a fact that Roosevelt eventually discovered and probably used as a point of personal leverage against him.”

This is the part that most Libertarians cannot fathom, because of ideology: The simple fact is that any people which is defined rightly as being greedy and acquisitive is also prone to being bribed. If your group morality is based on any form of ‘might makes right,’ your group morality is based on amoral gain at the expense of others. If you see acquisition and wealth taking as inherently your right, then your morality always is essentially imperialistic and violent, barbarous. And you will be more than open to both making and receiving bribes.

Jews can buy WASPs easily because WASP culture is wide open to being bribed. Jews knew the while archetypal WASP Oliver Cromwell was strutting around having great fun slaughtering people in the names of ending tyranny and freezing people.

There are two groups of people who will fight to the death not to see any of that: Jews who know that their part of the Anglo-Zionist game works best by far if they take all the blame (because they then can just whine about anti-Semitism and keep going) and romantic Anglo-Saxon Protestant identifiers who can

only imagine that women totally alien bunch Jews snuck in and forced a few WASPs to do bad things they otherwise would not have done and therefore the problem is 100% about Jews.

Anglo-Zionist Empire requires both 'Oliver Cromwell' and 'Rothschild' banking. And it guarantees drunken, avaricious, murderous poltroons like Churchill. WASP Empire is Orwell's Oceania, is Huxley's Brave New World. It is our American run 'rules based order.' It is Anglo-Zionism. It is evil at its core.

And effective resistance of it requires rejection of the entire cultural edifice with something rooted in historic Christendom.

• **Replies:** [@Mefobills](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

24. Brosi says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:16 am GMT • 1.3 days ago • 200 Words ↑

Ironically enough, according to Irving's very detailed reconstruction, Hitler had nothing to do with the anti-Jewish riots and urgently sought to suppress them once they began. Instead, the attacks seem to have been orchestrated by Joseph Goebbels, his powerful Propaganda Minister, who had recently fallen from favor because of his high-profile love affair with a Czech actress, leading to the bitter complaints of his wife, a close friend of Hitler.

The "anti-Jewish" riots were the result of the assassination of the Ernst vom Rath at the German embassy in Paris by a communist Jew. As with the vast majority of "anti-semitic" "Pogroms", it was not only instigated by communist Jews, but the entire Pogrom was orchestrated by them too. Communist Jews were murdering people all over Europe. Gustlof had been murdered in Davos in 1936 by a communist Jew. Communist Jews had also just completed the Holodomr.

The entire post war Holocaust religion is just like an opera with a recurring underlying theme of "projection". But this projection is backfiring because the more they demonize the NSDAP, the more more people compare them the Jewish IDF, Mossad, ADL and SPLC and their treatment of Palestinians.

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

25. Quartermaster says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:18 am GMT • 1.3 days ago • 200 Words ↑

Col. Douglas Macgregor had been a former top Pentagon advisor and he forcefully explained that America had spent nearly fifteen years ignoring Putin's endless warnings that he would not tolerate NATO membership for Ukraine, nor the deployment of strategic missiles on his border. Our government had paid no heed to his explicit red-lines, so Putin was finally compelled to act, resulting in the current calamity:

This is, to put it bluntly, BS. Ukraine was not in line for NATO membership and still is not. No country with unsettled borders will be admitted to NATO, and NATO has been consistent on that throughout its history. In short, the statement by Mr. Unz is simply rubbish.

A goal of Russia, even as Soviet Russia, has been the destruction of NATO. Instead, because of the invasion, NATO has been expanded and Putin has put the fear of god into some of the members that have not been living up to their obligations under the treaty.

Mearsheimer has most of the same problems as the other Russian apologists. Anything said by MacGregor, Ritter, Johnson, and Mearsheimer has been a waste of time. They have been consistently wrong. It is a shame that Mr. Unz has been taken in by their rubbish.

26. brostoevsky says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:29 am GMT • 1.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

The closing comments in this article explain how I viewed the start of the conflict in Ukraine from day one while sitting in my Moscow dormitory. I was mad at Putin, as I thought he fell into America's trap for him. We'll see if the Kremlin can pull off a victory, which would be arguably better for those of us on the dissident right. Great article as always!

• **Replies:** @Anon

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

27. Publius 2 says:

The Jews are always attempting Purim. Exterminate the goyim.

Whenever the goyim manage to fight back, it's self defense.

We are living through another Purim right now. Read the Book of (((Esther))).

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

28. Publius 2 says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:39 am GMT • 1.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

One percent of the population but owned 33% of the land. That's the thing right there.

Sounds like the "west" today. Same as it ever was.

Jews are supposedly 2% of the US (Weimerica) population. Is there any way to get a figure on how much real property they own? I'd put it at about 33%, at least, and 60% in major cities.

The owners of the real property have all the power.

The Jew is always the landlord, never the tenant.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

29. PadraigBen says:

July 17, 2023 at 12:21 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 400 Words ↑

“By resurrecting a prosperous Germany while nearly all other countries remained mired in the worldwide Great Depression, Hitler drew glowing accolades from individuals all across the ideological spectrum”

Is that the real reason for Hitler’s popularity at the time?

What’s left out here is that Hitler was the main world leader advocating Eugenics. He was the new man leading the way in murdering the disabled, and of course the Jews. He would have steadily worked his way around to darker skinned people and Catholics for sure. No future for them in their racial utopia.

Much of his support was in England, where the whole issue of Eugenics originated with the followers of Malthus like Francis Galton.

This issue of Eugenics is consistently downplayed in any discussion of World War II, and the fact that it was slowly spreading throughout the western democracies with strong support in the intellectual and progressive classes. The idea of culling the races has its roots in the English intellectual aristocracy and was popularized by H.G.Wells, Bertrand Russell and Aldous Huxley.

Hitler was the world leader who had the courage or madness to put the English intellectuals eugenic ideas into practise.

I think it was God or Providence(same thing) who ended Hitler working through people like Churchill, who was no doubt flawed, but was still a towering figure far above the average man.

(Anyone who doubts that should read some of his writings in his 5 volumes on the first world war, his 5 five volume on the second world war and his 4 volumes on the History of the English speaking peoples- he was a genius in his use of language)

God destroyed the Germans to stop Eugenics for a period of time. A time of peace, the cold war and the time recently after the fall of the Soviet Union.

If Hitler had not been stopped imagine the carnage that would follow. H.G Wells had written openly about eliminating the darker skinned people because of the superiority of the white races. We've confined ourselves to murdering millions of unborn defence babies. The bloodbath the Eugenicists had in mind was vast and evil.

The defeat of Hitler ended those murderous dreams of the Ecumenists and Malthusians.

Unfortunately it was never going to last, and the same evil is now returning, this time with the power of modern science and genetics to back it up.

• **Replies:** [@Curmudgeon](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

30. [Jesuitic Ziowahhabiz](#) says:

Fuck Putin and fuck Stalin and Hitler. The fucking terrorist USEUNATO conglomerate couldn't have found more competent partners to advance their global Judaification than fucks like Hitler and Putin.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

31. eah says:

July 17, 2023 at 12:23 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 200 Words ↑

The more I read about Hitler, the more convinced I am that his views about the Versailles Treaty were fairly commonplace among Germans living at the time.

When Göring took the stand at the IMT, he was questioned by his defense attorney, Otto Stahmer — after Göring describes his upbringing and participation in WWI, Stahmer asks him about the circumstances of his first contact with Hitler — Göring said the first time he heard Hitler speak it was about Versailles — he was so impressed that he wanted to offer Hitler his help, so he went to meet him — Hitler immediately agreed to speak with him — Göring said at that meeting he had a ‘Schicksalsgefühl’, a feeling of destiny — people who understand German can listen here:

Nuremberg Day 80 (1946) Hermann Goering Direct Dr. Otto Stahmer — 3m30s

Göring also says that because of the way the war ended and his opposition to Weimar, he refused an invitation to be part of the **Reichswehr**.

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

32. Critical Thinking says:

July 17, 2023 at 12:24 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@Anonymous

The sooner the English become extinct the more peaceful this world will be.

I'm inclined to believe that way of thinking would eventually find excuses to have everyone end up extinct.

Perhaps rather than peoples could it be that sociopathic leaders are the ones that might need to become extinct from positions of power in the responsibilities of nations and humanity in general from obtaining public office.

“Wars are not the desires nor results of peoples.....but of individuals” – Sir Patrick Hastings

• **Agree:** emerging majority

• **Replies:** @Joe Levantine

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

33. Anon[206] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 12:41 pm GMT](#) • [1.3 days ago](#) • 200 Words [↑](#)

Germany agreed to an end to the fighting—on the basis of President Woodrow Wilson’s Fourteen Points, which seemed to offer a fair peace without a victory for either side. But this turned out to be a bait-and-switch operation

I am shocked ! In dealing with the US many nations and leaders understand that you are loved until you are not and that agreements are more worthless than used toilet paper. Those who chose to ignore the Judas, like Noreiga and Quadaffi, pay with their lives !

We see the same thing over and over again. Its no wonder that North Vietnam was nonchalant to US peace initiatives and gave the US a taste of its own medicine. Scoot along on the historical timeline and we have the Berlin Wall bait and switch and now the Ukraine/ Minsk betrayal. The same game 100 years later.

Its no wonder no one trusts the US to keep its word. That is why the next major conflict is going to be a fight to the end. When an animal is unpredictable it is wise to put it down for good.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

34. Ron Unz says:

July 17, 2023 at 12:41 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@Patrick McNally

This is a blatant falsehood. In his history of England for 1914-45, A.J.P. Taylor correctly noted that it was never Ludendorff's intention to accept a peace without annexations.

We went through that last year and you're completely wrong, having formed your entire view of the history based upon a paragraph or two in some extremely biased history published decades ago.

The Zelikow book that came out a couple of years ago was based upon years of archival research and is the first study on the subject written in the English language. It totally supports my analysis of the history. Anyone interested should read my article or the subsequent comment-threads in which we debated the point.

<https://www.unz.com/runz/american-pravda-lost-histories-of-the-great-war/>

35. Ron Unz says:

July 17, 2023 at 12:44 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@Levtraro

Wait. So Germany was doing well in WWI but because of the good heart of German leaders they sought peace to stop the killings and then they proceeded to accept outrageous terms imposed on them. Those German leaders of 1916 were really nice people.

Sure, they were sensible people. I think something like a million Germans had already died in the pointless slaughter and continuing the war was stupid for everyone. Lots of the leaders in Britain, Russia, and the other countries felt the same way, but only in Germany were they in the majority.

Just read my article from last year:

<https://www.unz.com/runz/american-pravda-lost-histories-of-the-great-war/>

• **Replies:** @Levtraro

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

36. Ron Unz says:

July 17, 2023 at 12:49 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 600 Words ↑

@Lochearn

Missing is Guido Preparata's book "Conjuring Hitler: How Britain and America made the Third Reich." It was first published as an article which could be accessed for free but it seems to have disappeared.

I would be very interested to read your and Mr Unz's take on this important book.

I read the Preparata book several years ago and here's what I said about it in a comment:

Well, I ordered a copy of the Preparata book, and read it. I hate to say it, but he comes across as a total conspiracy-crank and a crackpot.

The book significantly references the short earlier Antony Sutton book on Wall Street/Hitler, which I'd brought a couple of years ago, so I dug it out and finally read it. Sure enough, Sutton also comes across like a conspiracy-crank and a crackpot.

I'm certainly not saying these books are totally worthless since they gather together lots of interesting references. But the candor and/or judgment of the authors is so dreadful, I just don't think I can trust them on anything at all.

One interesting thing I've noticed about WWII conspiracy-crank is that they're usually utterly, ***utterly*** terrified of discussing the Jewish role in promoting the war, even though (as I cited in my article) the private statements of Chamberlain and numerous other key players put Jewish influence at the absolute center.

For example, neither Preparata nor Sutton have any entry for "Jews" in their detailed index, with Sutton for safety's sake, even avoiding any index entry starting with the letter "J." So you write a "conspiracy" book about Wall Street, Hitler, and WWII and have no index entry for "Jews"—ha, ha, ha. Quite a number of the key individuals Sutton mentions were Jewish, but you'd never know it from Sutton's book.

Sutton also repeatedly mentions Hitler's diabolical plan to conquer the entire world. Hmmm...

Meanwhile, Preparata focuses on the diabolical plot of the British elites to destroy Germany. Okay. But instead of simply attacking Germany when it was almost totally disarmed and helpless in the 1920s, they plotted to build up a very powerful German military under Hitler, and ***then*** start a war (and get defeated in 1940!) presumably because that was much more "challenging."

Similarly, everyone knows of Parvus, the Jewish Marxist from Russia, whom the Germans used to provide heavy financing to Lenin's Bolsheviks in hopes of promoting a revolution. The plan succeeded, and with their Russian allies in revolutionary turmoil, the British almost lost the war to Germany. Okay. But based on zero evidence, Preparata claims that Parvus was actually a ***British*** agent, who tricked the Germans into funding the Bolshevik Revolution and thereby almost winning the war. Those diabolical British!

Preparata also seems to believe that during the 1920s and 1930s, the British elites and the top Soviets were secret allies, both cooperating to build up Hitler's military machine so as to better destroy Germany. He also believes that all the top British figures who seemed to be pro-German or friendly towards Hitler, from Edward VIII on down, were actually part of a diabolical

anti-German British plot. Although everyone thought that Churchill and Chamberlain were bitter adversaries, they were actually secretly working together to destroy Germany by building up the German military into the strongest in the world.

I could provide a very long list of Preparata's additional crackpottery, but it just isn't worth it.

I think I've heard that the LaRouchies always claimed that there was a secret British conspiracy currently running the world, so I'd guess that Preparata sold lots of his books in those circles.

<https://www.unz.com/runz/american-pravda-understanding-world-war-ii/?showcomments#comment-3488251>

37. BuelahMan says:

July 17, 2023 at 12:50 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

So, when all the prominent jews (and not so prominent, fr that matter) insist that the hoax is real, are we to still believe they are brilliant with higher IQ's or are they (as I suspect) blatantly lying for white genocidal reasons?

The myth of the smart jew dissolves. Yet the truth of the evil jew is evident.

• **Agree:** H. L. M

• **Replies:** @forkyou, @JimDandy

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

38. anastasia says:

Absolutely great interview.

• **Agree:** DCThrowback

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

39. HT says:

July 17, 2023 at 12:59 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

The way American academia teaches WWII, including the causes and results, are a complete travesty. Combine that with Hollywood presentations, which are just caricatures and you have a massive 80 year propaganda effort. The only thing we did by destroying Hitler was make the world safe for Jew communism which is now destroying us from within.

• **Agree:** HdC

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

40. Old and Grumpy says:

July 17, 2023 at 1:00 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

There was a mini series called the Exodus shown in the seventies when mini series were a thing. Anyway that was the start of the Holocaust. It was a term no one heard until then. Odd.

Also Pat Buchanan wrote a book Churchill, Hitler, and 'The Unnecessary War': How Britain Lost Its Empire and the West Lost the World. It discussed Churchill's twin lusts of bloodshed and lavish lifestyle. Horrible little man.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

41. Anonymous[296] • Disclaimer says:

July 17, 2023 at 1:01 pm GMT • 1.3 days ago • 200 Words ↑

During the 1960s, I was married to a woman whose parents were Jews who left Germany in 1935, and who owned a large men's wear department store in Germany. The man had died in the 1950s, but his wife survived and was my mother-in-law. She was an outspoken, caustic woman, who had unrelenting criticism of Nazi Germany. She blamed the Nazis for her economic problems (her and husband tried to sell their store without luck). Her brother-in-law had sold his share to her husband and had left Germany earlier and owned a fortune in orange groves.

She complained that when they came to New York City, she had to hire herself out as a housecleaner for several weeks (her main complaint was that she had to clean others' toilets – a nasty fate to be avoided more than death, by any Jewish matron).

But, remarkably, she had little bad to say of Adolf Hitler (her complaint was that he let the "roughnecks" take over). She never mentioned "camps", or gas chambers, or genocide. In the late 50s and early 60s, there were many Jews who came out of hiding from WWII, and there were many re-unions going on in her house. I didn't speak Yiddish or German, so I understood little except that many Jews survived WWII.

The Holocaust (notoriety) didn't come out until the 1970s, which, by my experiences was a sham.

• **Thanks:** Ann Nonny Mouse

• **Replies:** @Marcali

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

42. anon[187] • Disclaimer says:

July 17, 2023 at 1:17 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 200 Words 

As an untermensch, I find it disgusting that in an attempt to “clear history”, one of the most despicable beings in human history is getting the benefit of the doubt by these revisionists. Really – why? You can question the holocaust, point to the obvious that UK was, as always, the real catalyst of the war, that Churchill and FDR were as evil as Duche if not more, that the US was the final benefactor of the war, etc., but to question the motives of Adolf Schicklgruber, a a sick monster who only in Germany of that time could have been supported by all the population, is either plain demented or smbd. with an agenda. The western collective karma for WW2 is playing out now in the complete destruction of its civilization with LGBt...., wokeism, covid religion and general degeneration. It all started then in the 1930s, even though, some countries may have lost more population to “covid” than to the nazis... Hmmmm, maybe spend some time figuring this one out instead of beating a dead horse in mental masturbation.

43. Anon[206] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 1:18 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@brostoevsky](#)

Bros

You live in Moscow “will see if the Kremlin can pull off a victory ?”

One German veteran of Russia lived next door to me and after I had known him for several years told me Russia was a dreadful place. His wife said that even in his 90’s he would wake up screaming and crying from nightmares.

If Russia back then could defeat the cream of the Wehrmacht after a near disaster losing Moscow, how will it fare against the fruitcakes in NATO. Maybe NATO trannies wearing pink dresses, high heels and matching bags will rout the Wagner Group, the Russian Army and take Moscow. We will see !?

Have a little faith Bros !

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

44. Patrick McNally says:

July 17, 2023 at 1:24 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 700 Words [↑](#)

@Levtraro

Talking about “German leaders” in this generic way means nothing on something like this. A.J.P. Taylor describes how Bethmann-Hollweg, as he became isolated and at risk of losing power to Ludendorff, attempting to make some gestures to the Allies. But Ludendorff never supported any of this.

Bethmann, last remnant of Bismarck’s system, was left in nominal control, impotent to influence events, but striving still to hit on a programme which would both end the war and satisfy the demands of the political forces inside Germany — with the exception, of course, of the Spartacists and the Independents. To tempt the Allies he made offers to withdraw from Belgium and northern France; to satisfy the industrialists he made these offers spurious. To satisfy the Social Democrats he held out hopes of the liberation of Poland; to satisfy the Junkers and to keep the door open for a separate peace with Russia he made these hopes spurious also. To satisfy the Greater Germans he encouraged the re-establishment of German supremacy in Bohemia; to remain faithful to the principles of Bismarck’s policy he continued to treat Austria-Hungary as an independent and non-German power. Similarly, in home affairs, he promised a reform of the Prussian franchise; and took care never to put his promise into action. This was not government, nor even tactics; it was the helpless lurching of a machine utterly out of control. The government of the Reich had become as shadowy and meaningless as the movement of constitutional liberalism which it had ordered out of existence fifty years before; it would vanish at a word. This word was spoken on August 29th, 1916, when — as the result of the failures on the western front — William II dismissed Falkenhayn and appointed in his place Hindenburg, hitherto commander in the east, with Ludendorff as his

Quartermaster-General. On that day the supremacy of the military leaders, which Bismarck and even his successors had resisted, was established; the Chancellor, and for that matter the Emperor, ceased to exist as a separate force; and there began a dictatorship of the High Command, which ended only after the defeated German armies had marched home and dispersed. ...

The industrialists' demand for north-eastern France and Belgium, a Little German demand, would strengthen the German armament industry; Ludendorff espoused it. The unrestricted submarine campaign, anti-English and therefore also Little German, would defeat England; Ludendorff forced it through. The Junker demand for Livonia and Courland, which would give the Prussian landowners a new lease of political life in Germany, would strengthen Ludendorff's left flank in the next war: he added it to his programme. But at the same time, he added elements from the Greater German creed of democratic tradition, elements which would ruin the last fragments of Junker power. Ever since the beginning of the war, German policy had fumbled with the problem of Poland — anxious on the one hand to fool the Social Democrats by a pro-Polish policy; anxious on the other not to raise an insuperable barrier to a separate peace with Russia; anxious, above all, not to establish in Russian Poland a genuine Polish movement which would demand the return of Prussia's Polish territories as well. In the autumn of 1916 Bethmann thought that he was at last within sight of peace with decaying Tsardom. Ludendorff, concerned only to bring out a Polish army on the German side, overrode Bethmann and insisted on the proclamation of an independent Kingdom of Poland in November 1916. So, too, with the affairs of the Habsburg monarchy, Ludendorff thought only of how to subordinate Austria-Hungary completely to the German High Command. The answer: German supremacy in Austria, the dream of the radicals of 1848 and of the extreme German nationalists of the eighteen-eighties; the "Austrian mission," that

high-sounding will-o'-the-wisp, became nothing more than an organization for compelling the Slav peoples to fight for German domination ...

—

— A.J.P. Taylor, *The Course of German History*, pp. 170, 172.

There was no peace offer approved by Ludendorff until late 1918, when the war was clearly lost.

• **Thanks:** [Levtraro](#)

• **Replies:** [@Ron Unz](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

45. anarchyst says:

July 17, 2023 at 1:41 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 400 Words ↑

@Prem

It is laughable to observe the number of commenters spout the old propaganda canard: *Nazi=bad-Communist=good*.

The “*American History Channel*” in the USA should be renamed the “*American Hitler Channel*” for all the falsehoods and propaganda that it portrays and disseminates about WW2, Germany and Adolf Hitler.

According to programs on the “*American History Channel*”, Hitler was a drug addict, impotent, riddled with syphilis and other STDs, yet possessed highly technical “*superweapons*”, possessed “*alien technology*”, advanced flight and anti-gravity technologies, and still could not “win” WW2.

Anyone with an open mind who watches the Hitler lies and fabrications on that channel can easily see that it is all BS, especially when the so-called “*holocaust™*” is inserted into just about all of these programming “*gems*”. The same old photos of emaciated typhus victim bodies are constantly being paraded around to remind “*us*” how evil Hitler truly was. (Yeah, right). Germany was much more advanced than even the USA of the time, hence “*Operation Paperclip*” commenced in which the USA snatched up Germany’s greatest scientific minds after the summation of WW2.

Looking at the big picture (which is usually ignored), the “*German state*” was attacked by the rest of the world, and held on to its principles for far longer than expected.

Hitler’s greatest “*sin*” was the monetization of labor (*giving labor true “value”*) and going against the Rothschild banks. Going against the jew-run banks made him “*enemy #1*”.

He also KNEW that there was a subset of Bolshevik jews who were fomenting communist revolution within Germany. Most people are unaware of the secret agreements that he had with the zionists to “encourage” jewish emigration to what was then

known as Palestine. These agreements were celebrated by both the German government and the zionists at the time. A commemorative coin was even issued to celebrate the agreement. THAT aspect of history is never mentioned on the *"American Hitler Channel"*.

When it comes to WW2, Germany, and even the Allies, the *"American History Channel"* is 99% propaganda and 1% truth. If those of *"the greatest generation"* could see the world of today and what it has become, being jew-controlled, they would have thrown off their American uniforms and fought on the side of the Germans...

- **Agree:** Curmudgeon
- **Thanks:** Joe Levantine

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

46. Bragadocious says:

July 17, 2023 at 1:42 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 100 Words 

Stuff Unz doesn't bring up:

Britain blew up Nord Stream (the Russians said so)

Britain blew up peace talks in March 2022

The Skripal poisonings (the anti-Russian hoax that the Brits have used to push WW3)

But in the Unz precincts, Britain always gets a pass from the hall monitor. According to this worldview, Britain is comprised of lovely people who just want peace and goodwill among nations, but are being led astray by Jewish bankers and American warmongers.

And yet—polls show that the American public is much more amenable to a ceasefire in Ukraine than the British public are. If “the global dominance of American media propaganda” is factual, how do you account for this? Why did Jeffrey Sachs (a favorite of Ron Unz) recently say “the British are the most irresponsible, they have nothing to add but they love war, because they still think they're the British empire.”

47. [July 17, 2023 at 1:46 pm GMT](#) • [1.2 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

Hardly anyone discussed it for the first twenty years after the end of the World War II, while it gradually moved to the center of American life in the 1970s, just as wartime memories were fading

How can Unz write such an absurdity? Is he unaware of the Nuremberg trials where the details of the hoax were thoroughly documented, and even cast in stone (see below)? Then there was the little matter of the creation of the state of Israel, which was largely predicated on the hoax, is he unaware of that too?

Unz is saying, 'OK, the Holocaust is suspect and might not have happened the way 'holocaust scholars', Unz's phrase, tell it. But, it was of no consequence and only came to the awareness of the public in the 1970s, when even the Jews had forgotten it. No big deal. We're seeing a new strategy, minimization of the hoax.

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

48. Joe Levantine says:

July 17, 2023 at 2:14 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 300 Words 

@Critical Thinking

[Wars are not the desires nor results of peoples.....but of individuals” – Sir Patrick Hastings]

“ “Why of course the people don’t want war. Why should some poor slob on a farm want to risk his life in a war when the best he can get out of it is to come back to his farm in one piece? Naturally the common people don’t want war...after all it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along...the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them that you will be attacked, and denounce the peacemakers for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same in any country.”

Field Marshal Hermann Goering quote.

Yet in any country that claims to be a democracy, a dubious claim at best, the people bear responsibility for not opposing or sabotaging the war moves of their psychopathic leaders. That the people allow themselves to be manipulated and coerced into sending their most precious sons, and waste their hard earned tax contributions, for the bidding of war profiteers is the given that should make the study of history a most important matter, not through the mindless programming of impressionable students, but by including in history lessons the perspective of the opposing party along with active debates at the classroom floor without any restrictions or intimidations.

The same way that the public was exposed to Holocaust survivor’s books and lectures, survivors from the carpet bombing of German cities should have been allowed to tell their side of the story. That would teach students to think for themselves. But

what we are getting is a totally subdued Germany putting octogenarians in prison for challenging the Jewish/Allied narrative.

In this article, Ron Unz is doing what should have been done generations ago. Lew Rockwell called him the Great Ron Unz. I could not agree more.

• **Agree:** [Arthur MacBride](#), [Tiptoethrutulips](#), [1jonny](#)
ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

49. [Dumbo](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 2:29 pm GMT](#) • [1.2 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@anon](#)

Your comment makes no sense. I have no idea what you are saying.

Anyway, Hitler wasn't that bad, at all, he even liked squirrels. Like Bernhard Goetz. Someone who likes dogs and squirrels cannot be a completely bad person. But Hitler liked also women and children. And bears and eagles.

American presidents and Churchill killed many more people than Hitler did. Many, many more. Only Stalin was on their league.

[MORE]

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

50. Levtraro says:

@Ron Unz

Untenable. The fact that they accepted “outrageous terms imposed at Versailles” as you write means that they were simply defeated and sought peace to save their skins, they had no other option.

• **Replies:** @Ron Unz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

51. Patrick McNally says:

July 17, 2023 at 2:43 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 200 Words ↑

@Ron Unz

This turn to the neocon Zelikow is particularly funny, especially in light of other things which get spouted here. Zelikow's aims are simply to encourage a Russo-German conflict. Hence, a willingness to praise German imperialism in 1916 in order to better motivate more German workers to go on with the conflict.

When you brought him up last year, I specifically requested any information which allegedly shows that Ludendorff wanted a peace. All of your general comments have been about a vague "Germany" without any real description of the political actors. There isn't anything about the fact that Bethmann-Hollweg made some gestures. But it has always been recognized by historians that he did this as he was losing influence, in a bid to retain some relevance. Now if someone could produce detailed documents showing that Hindenburg and Ludendorff were actually sending offers to the Allies for a a peace without annexations then that really might change the judgment of history. But no one has been able to describe anything produced by Zelikow which would support this.

<https://historynewsnetwork.org/article/180608>

German Chancellor Bethmann Hollweg, in private correspondence with Colonel House, hinted that his country was ready to return Belgium to independence and withdraw from parts (but not all) of Alsace-Lorraine in France. Whether he could have gotten the belligerent Kaiser Wilhelm II and his military chieftains, Hindenburg and Ludendorff, to agree to such concessions is another question altogether, one that Zelikow leaves unanswered.

• **Troll:** [Petermx](#), [Brosi](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

52. [Hapalong Cassidy](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 2:52 pm GMT](#) • [1.2 days ago](#) • [100 Words](#) [↑](#)

“The population saw the heavy destruction inflicted by these German bombing raids and was never informed of the British attacks that had preceded and provoked them, so public sentiment greatly hardened against making peace with the seemingly diabolical German adversary.”

If the Ukraine situation escalates into WW3 and the US is nuked by Russia, I can see the majority of surviving Americans feeling the same way due to the propaganda- that Russia nuked us entirely unprovoked. So instead of overthrowing whatever remains of our leadership at that point, the survivors will willingly agree to march over and invade Russia.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

53. QCIC says:

July 17, 2023 at 2:53 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 100 Words 

@Quartermaster

Drop the *ad hominem*, just deal with the facts:

-NATO expanded against Russian wishes and against Western promises.

—The US dropped out of the ABM treaty. Russia said they would have to resond and they have done so.

—The West has meddled in many Russian border countries including Ukraine. Russia stepped in to stop this.

Combined these look like the West is trying to destroy Russia.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

54. Trinity says:

July 17, 2023 at 2:54 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 300 Words ↑

Good rule of thumb. Whoever is demonized by the Jew aka American media is really the “good guy.”

The Huns aka Germans of WWI spearing babies with bayonets = lie

Hitler

Gaddafi

Ahmadinejad

Assad “gassing children” = lie

The Founding Fathers

Robert E. Lee

Stonewall Jackson

David Duke

William Pierce

Putin

Mel Gibson

Bobby Fischer

etc. etc. etc

And the scumbags are lionized.

Churchill = stumbling drunkard, lackey for the Jew. Probably compromised or blackmailed, he was well aware of what the Jew was doing in the Soviet Union even drunk.

FDR= scum. Adulterer. Sleazy. Huey Long was a threat to possibly give FDR a run for POTUS but was assassinated by some Jew. Cabinet was Jew friendly to say the least. Considered by some to have had Jewish ancestry.

Eisenhower= pencil pusher who miraculously rose to a 5 star General despite never seeing any combat as a soldier. How is this possible. Talk about affirmative action. Forced integration on Whites at gunpoint in Arkansas. Nickname: ” The Dutch Jew.”

MLK= Speeches were written mostly by some Jew, who plagiarized A bit here and there. Beat prostitutes, mostly White prostitutes, engaged in drunken orgies. Was nothing more than the original “reverend”, on par with scum like Jackson and Sharpton. Another lackey for the Jew.

Baby Nut&Yahoo = nuff said.

Einstein = plagiarism, pervert, and God only knows what else.

The Kennedy’s = Marilyn, Mary Joe, Forced integration at gunpoint in Alabama, skirt chasers, rapists and murderers in the family tree, bootlegging, etc., Teddy the drunk, wow, what a family.

Cue: Abraham, Martin and John by Dion. haha.

etc., etc....

Compare each list. Who looks like the good guys to you?

55. Maxine Fisch says:

July 17, 2023 at 3:02 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 100 Words ↑

In terms of Hitler's and the Nazis' background and thinking, I would like to recommend the following ground-breaking book (no, I didn't write it) which I have read:

The Pink Swastika:

<https://scottlively.store/product/the-pink-swastika-homosexuality-in-the-nazi-party-4th-edition/>

It's about the role of homosexuality just before and during the Third Reich.

It is well-documented.

• **Troll:** Carolyn Yeager

• **Replies:** @SolontoCroesus

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

56. Agent76 says:

July 17, 2023 at 3:07 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 100 Words ↑

Nov 22, 2013 Thomas DiLorenzo – The Revolution Of 1913

From the Tom Woods show (podcast on iTunes), Loyola economics professor Thomas DiLorenzo discusses three events from 1913 that greatly escalated the transmogrification of America from the founder's vision (limited government) to its current state (unlimited government).

[MORE]

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

57. QCIC says:

July 17, 2023 at 3:11 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 200 Words ↑

@Carlton Meyer

I have warmed up to the idea that the Russian advance on Kiev was a feint designed to preempt or dilute a Ukrainian attack on Crimea. It seems Russia may have been seriously unprepared for a full onslaught and had to make the bold yet strange moves up North with the modest forces they had assembled by that time.

I wonder if the notion that the advance was intended to promote negotiations is a palliative for Russian parents since having sons die hopelessly outgunned in a feint is not something to be discussed in polite company. Even though the feint was crucial and those may be heroic deaths, facing the reality of disposable heroes is not for the faint-hearted (no pun intended).

When I think about General Ben Hodges' bloodthirsty talks, I now wonder if he is describing the actual original Ukrainian battle plan which he probably helped create. He uses phrases like "Sweep down and take Crimea" with a LOT of commitment.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

58. Zachary Smith says:

July 17, 2023 at 3:17 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@Patrick McNally

I agree with you that nobody in 1916 wanted any kind of “peace” which didn’t include a settlement where they substantially won the war.

After you review the link provided by Mr. Unz, here is another one with my early thoughts on the same topic for you to consider.

<https://www.unz.com/runz/american-pravda-lost-histories-of-the-great-war/?showcomments#comment-5681509>

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

59. forkyou says:

@BuelahMan

I personally don’t have the time—nor the desire—to read every book on the holocaust, because there must be literally thousands.

Many, if not a majority, of those books were written by non-jews, including plenty of germans.

Are they all in on the conspiracy?

60. Ron Unz says:

July 17, 2023 at 3:21 pm GMT • 1.2 days ago • 100 Words 

@Levtraro

Untenable. The fact that they accepted “outrageous terms imposed at Versailles” as you write means that they were simply defeated and sought peace to save their skins, they had no other option.

I think the dismantling of the Warsaw Pact is a good analogy. The reason the Soviets agreed to withdraw their troops from East Germany was that the West promised NATO wouldn't then advance into Eastern Europe. But after the Soviets withdrew and lost their bargaining leverage, the West reneged on the agreement and eventually expanded NATO to Russia's borders.

Similarly, the Germans agreed to withdraw their troops from occupied French territory and scuttle their fleet because they were led to believe that the resulting peace agreement would be fair and based upon Wilson's Fourteen Points. But after they lost their bargaining leverage, the Allies changed their mind and imposed an extremely harsh peace.

• **Agree:** Joe Levantine, QCIC

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

61. forkyou says:

@Trinity

you are obviously jewish

• **LOL:** Trinity

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

62. Ron Unz says:

July 17, 2023 at 3:29 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 200 Words ↑
@saggy.

Is he unaware of the Nuremberg trials where the details of the hoax were thoroughly documented, and even cast in stone (see below)?

Perhaps my language was a little loose in those quoted paragraphs from 2018, but my meaning was clear. Obviously, many major elements of the Holocaust narrative were promoted during the Nuremberg trials in 1946 and around the same time President Truman mentioned the six million figure in one of his speeches.

But as far as I can tell, there then was virtually no mainstream media discussion of the Holocaust story in the years that followed, with strong indications that it had quietly been understood to have probably just been wartime propaganda, and Beaty explicitly claimed this in his very high-profile 1951 book, which no one seems to have ever challenged.

Prof. Peter Novick focused on exactly this lack of attention in his widely-discussed book that I cited.

If you can find any substantial mainstream discussion of the Holocaust or its major elements during roughly the period 1947-1960, I'd be very interested.

• **Agree:** Levtraro

• **Thanks:** Brosi

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

63. Prince Saradine says:

July 17, 2023 at 3:51 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@Chebyshev

Kristallnacht itself is grossly exaggerated and may well have been a psyop by international Zionist forces or the intel agencies of foreign governments hostile to Germany (the former seems most likely as the provocateurs seemed strangely ignorant of the basic Nazi command structure—e.g., someone dressed as an SS officer tried to order SA officers to lead attacks on Jews). See Ingrid Weckert's *The Bizarre Story of Kristallnacht*. Download it for free here:

<https://christiansfortruth.com/books/>

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

64. Patrick McNally says:

July 17, 2023 at 4:04 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 200 Words 

@Ron Unz

> But after they lost their bargaining leverage, the Allies changed their mind and imposed an extremely harsh peace.

Ludendorff had absolutely no bargaining leverage, and he knew that very well. The failure of the German spring offensive to force France to submit to German terms before US forces arrived guaranteed Germany's defeat. If the war had gone on into 1919 then the US plans were to begin dumping poison gas onto Berlin.

—

In July 1918, General William L. Sibert, the head of America's chemical warfare program, ordered that 3,000 tons of the methyl in shell and drums must be ready on March 1, 1919. The army's secret plan for the spring 1919 offensive called for a massive use of poison gas that would have turned the fighting into largely a chemical war.

—

— Scott Christianson, *Fatal Airs*, p. 40.

It would have been a disaster for Germany if the war had been carried on. The plans were to wipe out the Berlin population with wholesale attacks. Ludendorff simply played a dirty trick on the politicians whom he despised. He knew that he was defeated, so resigned and turned the government over to the Social Democrats and Catholic Centrists. They took over the government and signed the peace since they had no choice. Once that was done, Ludendorff accused them of a stab in the back even though he never had any realistic alternative.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

65. Trinity says:

July 17, 2023 at 4:06 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@forkyou

Perhaps they whored themselves out for money. (((Publishing companies))) promising “their” books would be on (((The New York Times Bestseller List))), whine, dine, and pocket line.... Kind of like John Grisham and his fairy tale, “A Time To Kill” which reversed the races of the victim and perpetrators but still hyped up the anti White movie/novel as ” based on a true life incident.” lolol, yeah right.

Jews were POW, SO WHAT. Jews were responsible for the genocide of 3-7 million in Ukraine in 1932-1933. Even IF the holocaust Jew narrative were 100% accurate, karma is a bitch. The Jew attempted the same shit in Spain but was unsuccessful, now we see that WWII never ended for the Jew. IF the holocaust were real it surely did not teach the Jew the error of his ways.

66. SolontoCroesus says:

July 17, 2023 at 4:08 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words 

@Carlton Meyer

with the greatest respect —

@ 1:41: “Churchill and FDR had secretly agreed to dismantle the French empire”

What is the source for knowledge of this “secret” agreement?

—

btw: at the risk of too-much ‘reading between the lines’ —

Andrew Buchanan’s discussion of **U.S. Engagement in Italy during WWII** suggests that *dismantlement of the Italian empire* — or at least subversion of Italian interests, economy, and politics — was also on FDR’s agenda; in the case of Italy, prompted by Bernard Baruch.

• **Thanks:** Chuck Orloski

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

67. Prince Saradine says:

July 17, 2023 at 4:10 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@Ron Unz

Hey, Ron, have you ever read *The Bizarre Story of Kristallnacht* by Ingrid Weckert (downloadable for free here:

<https://christiansfortruth.com/books/>)? From what I've read in many sources, it seems the traditional account is grossly exaggerated; but from the evidence presented in that book, it appears that it may have been partly the work of Zionist or foreign intel provocateurs (the former being more likely as the latter would have understood the Nazi command structure enough to know that a man dressed as an SS officer can't be expected to get an SA officer to obey his orders). You should take a look at the book, even if you end up finding Irving's semi-traditional account more persuasive. Great interview, by the way.

• **Replies:** @Patrick McNally

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

68. [July 17, 2023 at 4:12 pm GMT](#) • [1.1 days ago](#) • 200 Words [↑](#)

[@Levtraro](#)

Wait. So Germany was doing well in WWI but because of the good heart of German leaders they sought peace to stop the killings and then they proceeded to accept outrageous terms imposed on them. Those German leaders of 1916 were really nice people.

You need to understand the evil influence of Wall Street. They had loaned Britain and France massive sums and worried they could not be repaid if peace occurred in 1916. Germany began its unrestricted submarine warfare (only in British waters) in 1916 to pressure Britain to join peace talks. (It says so in the Zimmerman telegram). But Wall Street told the Brits to hang on, their boy Wilson would send Yanks into the war as soon as he was reelected by promising not to enter the war.

The war went on for two more years until the Americans arrived to save the day and the Germans accepted Wilson's just peace, only to be screwed by the Brits who stole big chunks of German land and allowed Wall Street to loot Germany via reparations to collect all war loans, plus interest.



Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

69. Levtraro says:

July 17, 2023 at 4:13 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 300 Words ↑

@Ron Unz

I think the dismantling of the Warsaw Pact is a good analogy. The reason the Soviets agreed to withdraw their troops from East Germany was that the West promised NATO wouldn't then advance into Eastern Europe. But after the Soviets withdrew and lost their bargaining leverage, the West reneged on the agreement and eventually expanded NATO to Russia's borders.

I also think the dismantling of the Warsaw Pact is a good analogy. The reason the Soviets agreed to withdraw their troops from East Germany was that they were weak after decades of decadence due to Marxist ideology, they could not sustain their empire in Eastern Europe, so they withdrew, they had no choice.

The Russians today complain they believed in the promises of the West not to expand NATO to the East and Russophiles cry out loud about the lying West. I call BS. Everybody and the Russians knew they were weak and Eastern Europeans will line up to join NATO. Then the Russians started to do things right and here we are.

Similarly, the Germans agreed to withdraw their troops from occupied French territory and scuttle their fleet because they were led to believe that the resulting peace agreement would be fair and based upon Wilson's Fourteen Points.

If you believe the Germans believed those promises, perhaps you don't know much about heads of states, being an honest person all your life. Heads of states don't trust each other at all. They don't trust their mothers ffs! They act out of necessity to keep as much of their power as they can and they pretend they had righteous reasons. It's in their job description.

The Germans of WWI were weak as were the Russians in the 90s so they pretended to be peaceful to hide their WEAKNESS. Weakness is the worst sin of the head of state.

• **Replies:** [@QCIC](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

70. [ariadna](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 4:21 pm GMT](#) • [1.1 days ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

Well, if this outrageous article does not merit a scathing attack in NYT, LA Times and WaPo, complete with copious links to this site, then the editors don't know a Holocaust denier and an anti-semiter from any hole anywhere! And please, don't let it be just another ineffectual Guardian piece of trash again...

• **LOL:** [Curmudgeon](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

71. che guava says:

July 17, 2023 at 4:22 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@War

I read the one on the Sound of Music. Too earnest, and who wrote, directed, or produced it? I recall my mother taking me to see it as a small child, the nat-soc. elements seemed like the 'good ones' to me at the time.

Slovenian band Laibach performed it in D.P.R.K. abt. seven years ago, but D.P.R.K. appears to have had a strict contract with the band, so only the title song can be shown.



The record or CD is available, a few other videos are online (a couple very strange, not in a good way), but AFAIK, the above is the only one from the Sound of Music tour in D.P.R.K.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

72. July 17, 2023 at 4:29 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 200 Words ↑

@Quartermaster

This is so ignorant I must assume that it comes from a paid troll:

A goal of Russia, even as Soviet Russia, has been the destruction of NATO.
Instead, because of the invasion, NATO has been expanded.

1. If Soviet Russia wanted to destroy NATO, it wouldn't have withdrawn its forces from Eastern Europe, allowed East Germany to join NATO, and disbanded the Warsaw Pact.

2. NATO had expanded all the way to Russia's borders long before the Ukraine conflict, even after promising not to expand to the east in 1991. The USA built missile launch bases in Romania and Poland in blatant violation of the INF treaty with Russia, built ten new military bases in Poland, and deployed an armored brigade there. NATO also formed armored battle groups (with British and German soldiers) and deployed them right up to Russia's borders.

3. Then our CIA couped Ukraine's democratic government in 2014 when it lost interest in joining NATO or the EU after Russia said that would cross a red line. Then NATO built a huge training base in Ukraine and sent billions of dollars to build up a massive Ukrainian army.

All the happened long before Russian troops moved across the border in 2022, mostly to prevent genocide.

Here are some basics for those minds ruined by CNN.



• **Agree:** [Agent76](#), [Curmudgeon](#), [Derer](#)

• **Thanks:** [Notsofast](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

73. Petermx says:

July 17, 2023 at 4:34 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 400 Words 

@saggy

I don't know how old you are, but if you were old enough to be aware of current events and life in the USA in the 1960s and 1970s, you might be aware of the lack of discussion of the "Holocaust," a word with a meaning that had nothing to do with Jews until the 1970s. I grew up in those years, and I recall the radical change in the American view of World War II. In the early 1970s, an avalanche of movies, "documentaries," and media coverage began laying out the claims of the so-called "Holocaust." Prior to that, the treatment of Jews in World War II had no more importance than many other facets of the war and was rarely discussed. If it had been, there probably would not have been a popular WW II comedy called "Hogan's Heroes" on American television that ran from 1965 to 1971.

I graduated from high school in the mid-1970s, and it was only then that the Jews and their supposed experiences during World War II became so widely discussed in the media. I can honestly say I don't recall any discussion of Jews and World War II in the 1960s, but I was also very young then, so that might account for that. But, in the early 1970s, an avalanche of anti-German hatred in the media began, and the "Holocaust" was at the center of it. I remember it like it was yesterday. My German parents came to the US after World War II, and they noticed the change. Despite coming from Germany and never abandoning their love for Germany, they were proud Americans. But when I brought home the discussion we were introduced to about gas chambers and Jews in the mid-1970s, along with it being discussed in the media, my mother commented, "This country has changed." My parents were very bothered by their country being denigrated daily. And when you consider that the mammoth-length memoirs of Churchill, de Gaulle, and

Eisenhower don't mention gas chambers, that makes sense. It did not become the central feature of World War II in the American media or in American minds until the 1970s.

• **Replies:** [@BlackFlag](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

74. [Petermx](#) says:

Outstanding analysis and commentary by Ron Unz.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

75. [Marcali](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 4:55 pm GMT](#) • [1.1 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@Anonymous](#)

The Haavara Agreement (Hebrew: הסכם העברה Translated: “transfer agreement”) was signed on 25 August 1933 after three months of talks by the Zionist Federation of Germany, the Anglo-Palestine Bank (under the directive of the Jewish Agency) and the economic authorities of Nazi Germany. The agreement was designed to help facilitate the emigration of German Jews to Palestine. While it helped Jews emigrate, it forced them to give up most of their possessions to Germany before departing. Those assets could later be obtained by transferring them to Palestine as German export goods.[1][2]

So your in-laws could have transferred some assets this way.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

76. Marcali says:

@anon

And whose fault is it that you could not have questioned the motives of Schicklgruber so far?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

77. Patrick McNally says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:03 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 300 Words ↑

@Prince Saradine

It's a silly book. The most likely explanation behind Crystal Night is that was planned by Hitler and Himmler, using Goebbels as an unwitting dupe, to discredit the SA and allow authority to be concentrated into the hands of the SS. In 1934, the Night of the Long Knives had been carried out as a concession to the army, which hated the SA. In 1938, Hitler moved against the army brass to shake things up and get his own chosen people into positions. This led to an apparent revival of the SA, who now joined on political attacks against the army. But the SS had been growing since 1934, and that was a new rivalry which the SA were not prepared for.

It all came to a head with the Night of Broken Glass. Goebbels put out calls to SA men, bypassing the normal chain of command. He whipped them up into a riotous state, and he was probably expecting that this would help his own promotion. But instead, Hitler suddenly expressed a disapproving view of the whole incident, and it was the SS which was promoted to the head of the class.

That would not have happened if Weckert's thesis had been correct. If it had really happened the way that Weckert asserts, this would mean that Himmler was laying down on the job while Zionist agents set themselves up all over Germany. If Hitler had remotely suspected this, then Himmler would not have been given the promotion which he got. The only good explanation is that Hitler was fully satisfied that no such security lapse had taken place and that Himmler had not failed to catch anyone, the way that Weckert implies.

- **Troll:** Arthur MacBride, Brosi
- **Replies:** @Alexandros

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

78. Dumbo says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:21 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

If the Versailles Treaty was bad, what to think of the situation post-1945?

Just look at Germany today. A mess. A completely defeated nation. Its young men are turned into queers, its young women are turned into whores. The population is being replaced by Arabs, now the governments wants to bring even more immigrants from Africa and India. Meanwhile young people complain about... “climate change”. Their pipeline was destroyed, their future jeopardized, their economy being dismantled, but not a peep of complaint. A demoralized nation.

Still, weirdly enough, lots of Germans remain arrogant and keep pushing for “climate change” legislation in Europe or sending pro-migrant NGO ships to bring Africans to Italy, sending tanks to Ukraine to be destroyed in a week, etc etc.

• **Replies:** @Odyssey

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

79. Arthur MacBride says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:28 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

Congratulations and thanks again Ron Unz, Mike Whitney and others.

“Truth Will Out ”

When I first began looking seriously into WW2 (later to expand to first half of 20th century), there was the hope but not the expectation.

They'll never allow it ; they are too strong, media control etc That was only around 16 or 17 years ago. I recall an interview of Dennis Wise re the total media blank out of his Greatest Story Never Told DVD series which had sold massively around the world ...

But it is happening, despite trolls, Hysteria Channel, The Simpsons etc.

It is **your responsibility**, dear Reader, to educate yourself. A stupid population is tax cattle/cannon fodder for the Bank. Mr Unz has a good library on this site. You have no excuse.

• **Agree:** Cyclingscholar, Kali

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

80. Sean says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:32 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

It was noticed about Churchill in 1914 that he seemed to love war, but he was distrusted by the Tory right after his involvement in the abdication crisis. It must never ever be forgotten that Churchill did not declare war on Germany, Neville Chamberlain did that on September 1, 1939. And this may come as a surprise but Churchill did not become prime minister until May 1940, and he was far from popular with Conservative MPs in the House, which was noticed by American journalists and led to instructions to cheer him.

I do not think the US is happy about the invasion of Ukraine because it is an unmitigated catastrophe for the long term geopolitical position of America in relation to China, which is a genuine threat to US primacy.

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

81. Cyclingscholar says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:51 pm GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

It was the vindictive nature of the French after WWI that led, inevitably, to WWII. Why countries suck up to French diplomacy/"hosting" peace talks again and again only to learn their lesson (as the US should have done with the Vietnam "peace talks") about French prevarication and glory seeking, I've never been able to understand. God help us if Putin, Biden and ZelenskY end up in Paris.....

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

82. Robertson says:

July 17, 2023 at 5:59 pm GMT • 1.0 days ago • 200 Words ↑

Good eye on comparing Churchill and Bidens vulnerability to financial scandal and potential blackmail. A willing intel-agency-penetrated media could make him not only impeachable, but criminally liable for crimes performed while he was vice president from 2008-2016. I doubt Trump in particular would pardon him.

In fact, if Joe could get NATO in a war with Russia, the Establishment might actually try to arrange a Republican Presidential victory because we'd already be at war, and a Republican could be used to gin up patriotic fervor and increase the desperately needed recruitment of young white men, who are not enlisting currently at anywhere near their historical rates. I wouldn't be surprised if our CIA had some real doozy false flags planned here if we ever get the necessary excuse to declare war on Russia to rile up our own natives. I'm sure we have simultaneous color revolutions probably planned to "start" in every nation on Russia's borders right at the beginning of our hoped-for involvement.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

83. [OrangeSmoke](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 6:05 pm GMT](#) • [1.0 days ago](#) • 200 Words [↑](#)

[@Carlton Meyer](#)

Thank you for that video and all the others that are very informative. Your work is very much appreciated.

How is that FDR was such a supporter of Stalin when it was well known that the Soviets were mass murders and brutal dictators. FDR's administration was calling Stalin "Uncle Joe" and providing financial and military support and eventually giving away Eastern Europe to dictatorial communist rule.

Reading the history, within minutes of the end of the war and establishing "new borders", the Soviet Union became the most dangerous threat. Even Gen. Patton said we fought the wrong enemy and died in an "accident". It seems that Operation Gladio was create to leave secret cells in countries in case of Soviet takeover of the European states. That may just have been a lie and justification but it shows the concern of Soviet aggression.

It is also well known that FDR was told that he had Soviet spies in senior positions in his administration which he flippantly dismissed. People like Alger Hiss and Harry Dexter White (Weiss) were just a few of the many in various agencies like the State Dept. and Treasury.

None of FDR's policies regarding the Soviets or spies in his administration makes sense. Any thoughts or books that shed some light on this?

• **Replies:** [@Carlton Meyer](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

84. geokat62 says:

Bryant describes Germany's very difficult domestic situation between the two world wars, **its problematic relationship with its tiny Jewish minority...**

Sound familiar, goy?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

85. Chebyshev says:

July 17, 2023 at 6:18 pm GMT • 1.0 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@The Germ Theory of Disease

Unless and until one is willing to admit that there was and is in the history of Europe an extra-legal Jewish international empire which had been, all along, operating under its own auspices, but without the cover of national sovereignty... you can't discuss European history accurately, or the course of its tedious wars, because you're not accounting for Pluto.

Indeed, one would not be accounting for Plutocrats. The orbits of venal Anglo leaders like Churchill and Biden, and their countries', are very malleable.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

86. Anonymous[661] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 6:23 pm GMT](#) • [1.0 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@saggy](#)

“...the Nuremberg trials where the details of the hoax were thoroughly documented...”

See statutes 19 and 21 among those the Jews created by which to conduct these so-called trials. They state explicitly that **no evidence shall be required to support testimony given on behalf of the prosecution** and that **no evidence may be provided on behalf of the defendants to counter such testimony.**


Result: an endless river of psychopathic horror fantasies created by vengeful Jews, and COMPLETELY lacking any supporting evidence.

• **Agree:** [Marshall Dillon](#)

• **Thanks:** [Curmudgeon](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

87. Zachary Smith says:

July 17, 2023 at 6:27 pm GMT • 1.0 days ago • 400 Words 
@Ron Unz

If you can find any substantial mainstream discussion of the Holocaust or its major elements during roughly the period 1947-1960, I'd be very interested.

Mr. Unz is not a stupid man – the excellent software of this site is proof-positive of that.

But he appears determined to avert his eyes away from any and all evidence which contradicts his current beliefs about WW1 and WW2 history.

It's true that people who make a search for the term "Holocaust" during the 1947-1960 time frame aren't going to find much information. *That's because the original descriptive terms for the Nazi horrors were ones like "mass murder" and most especially "Final Solution".*

The New Nazis – AKA Zionists – found it desirable to do a switcheroo of the names. Holocaust© is damned near a brand name these days – a person can get into some serious legal trouble if he messes with this Holy Intellectual Property in any way.

So why the change? Well, it would be a wee bit embarrassing for *Final Solution* to still be in common use when that is the precise program the shithole state has in progress for the subhuman Palestinian pests littering the stolen land of former Palestine.

Go to Google Scholar and put in the proper search terms.

Limit the time frame to something like 1930 to 1960, and you'll find plenty of information about what happened both before and during WW2.

One search result I particularly liked was a 1950 letter to the editor of the military journal *ORDNANCE*. Bern Dibner, a Lt. Colonel in the USAF Reserve, was writing about a 1949 article by the near-Nazi British General J.C.F. Fuller – a fellow who had been whining about the very immoral bombing campaign against poor Germany.

Dibner pointed out that 1) the Germans had done plenty of bombing themselves before it was their turn in the barrel, and 2) *“The Germans, operating on a tribal superstition, destroyed six million Russians, Poles, and Jews as “lower races. It would be interesting to see General Fuller’s record of moral indignation at the time those wanton acts were committed or exposed.”*

Dibner also remarked that “It has long been felt among military men that a basic reason for Germany’s military arrogance has been the fact that in the greater part of a century of military operations that it was her neighbors, and not Germany herself, that suffered physical destruction.”

What goes around, comes around. But in 2023 German memory of the WW2 era destruction has faded and they’re getting feisty again. Rearm! Get Russia!! This isn’t going to end well for them.

• **Replies:** [@Ron Unz](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

88. SolontoCroesus says:

July 17, 2023 at 6:29 pm GMT • 1.0 days ago • 400 Words ↑

Goebbels apparently hoped he could use the anti-Jewish riots to restore his influence in the Nazi hierarchy, . . . raising the remarkable possibility that the political fallout from an extra-marital affair may have played a crucial role in the outbreak of World War II.

Fanciful.

Peter Moreira based his *The Jew who Defeated Hitler: Henry Morgenthau, Jr., FDR, and How We Won the War* on the million pages that preserved Morgenthau's diaries, recordings, notes, etc.

In the book's *Prologue* Moreira observes that “[Morgenthau] was the early architect of the U. S. airplane program,” and fleshes out this claim in the first chapter, *Battling the Aggressors*:

“On the evening of **October 17, 1938** . . . [Morgenthau] showed the president the . . . letter that Harry Dexter White had crafted . . .

‘I know you are firmly convinced as I am firmly convinced that the forces of aggression must be stopped. By whom if not by us?’

. . . Would [FDR] dismiss the message, and with it, the plans to take a stand against aggressor nations?

{snip}

“[Morgenthau's] timing was splendid. Other advisers, such as Bernard Baruch, had been urging a rearmament program.

{snip}

“Convening a meeting at the White House a few days later, [FDR] told Morgenthau and other insiders he wanted to raise US aircraft production to a previously unthinkable number — 15,000 planes per year. . . . almost quintupling its aircraft manufacturing capacity.”

According to a timeline from Irving's *Hitler's War*, Warsaw set in motion events that culminated in Grynszpan's Nov. 7 assassination of vomRath and Kristallnacht: On that date, a fortnight after Morgenthau had obtained FDR's acquiescence to prepare for war, Warsaw ruled that “after October 31 no expatriate Poles would be allowed back into their country without

a special entry visa.”

Germany had been home to many such expatriate Polish Jews, and responded by “quietly shunting trains loaded with Jews across the line into Poland,” among them, Grynszpan’s family, as he learned by a postcard from his sister on November 3.

In other words, FDR’s administration, prodded by figures such as Morgenthau, White, and Baruch, had been planning for war and implementing actions to finance and execute air war well before Hershel Grynszpan shot vom Rath.

• **Thanks:** [Brosi](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

89. Curmudgeon says:

July 17, 2023 at 6:35 pm GMT • 1.0 days ago • 200 Words [↑](#)

@Zachary Smith

Curiously, you leave out more relevant Goebbels quotes.

“The English follow the principle that when one lies, it should be a big lie, and one should stick to it.”

“The war we are fighting until victory or the bitter end is in its deepest sense a war between Christ and Marx. Christ: the principle of love. Marx: the principle of hate.”

“As socialists, we are opponents of the Jews, because we see in the Hebrews the incarnation of capitalism, of the misuse of the nation’s goods.”

“Good propaganda does not need to lie, indeed it may not lie. It has no reason to fear the truth. It is a mistake to believe that people cannot take the truth. They can. It is only a matter of presenting the truth to people in a way that they will be able to understand. A propaganda that lies proves that it has a bad cause. It cannot be successful in the long run.”

and prophetically,

“We shall go down in history as the greatest statesmen of all time, or as the greatest criminals”

[Reply](#)[Agree/Disagree/Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

90. bjondo says:

@Anonymous

Seems like Harry Elmer Barnes— who was inclined toward pacifism— is the source of some common quotes on war

Sorry, mistaken. Einstein is the source of all quotable quotes.

wsb/5ds

[Reply](#)[Agree/Disagree/Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

91. Curmudgeon says:

July 17, 2023 at 6:48 pm GMT • 1.0 days ago • 100 Words 

@PadraigBen

This issue of Eugenics is consistently downplayed in any discussion of World War II,

What you omit, is that there was a large public outcry when the programme began, so the euthanasia bit was cancelled. Some sterilization continued, just as it did in some areas of Canada and the US, for the “mentally deficient”.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

92. Chebyshev says:

July 17, 2023 at 6:55 pm GMT • 1.0 days ago • 200 Words ↑

@forkyou

I personally don't have the time—nor the desire—to read every book on the holocaust, because there must be literally thousands.

Many, if not a majority, of those books were written by non-jews, including plenty of germans.

Are they all in on the conspiracy?

Why didn't anybody in America write a single book or even an article in the 1950s about the killing of millions of innocent Jews during WWII? Were all the historians and journalists in America in on a conspiracy of silence? I guess they didn't want to tarnish the good reputation of the defeated Nazis. Later, American scholars were finally able to tell the truth about the evil Nazis.

But seriously, the Holocaust story is the culmination of the dishonest official history of the Jews in Europe. The reality is that European Jews were generally materially better off than their Gentile neighbors and wished to be separate from them so that they wouldn't assimilate and thus "disappear" as Jews. The issue of assimilation came up a lot once Jews were emancipated in the 1800s. See *Esau's Tears* by Albert Lindemann.

• **Replies:** @Haxo Angmark

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

93. SolontoCroesus says:

July 17, 2023 at 7:00 pm GMT • 1.0 days ago • 300 Words ↑

@Maxine Fisch

ground-breaking book —

The Pink Swastika:

It's about the role of homosexuality just before and during the Third Reich.

Are you Trolling, as Caroline Yeager noted; are you flexing your first-time muscle as a spiteful mutant, or was it Ron Unz's mention of noted lesbian Gertrude Stein that motivated you to recommend this "ground-breaking book?"

interesting article by a leading biographer of famed Jewish modernist writer Gertrude Stein, who seemed totally mystified why her feminist icon seemed to have been a major admirer of Hitler and an enthusiastic supporter of the pro-German Vichy government of France.

<https://www.neh.gov/humanities/2012/marchapril/feature/the-strange-politics-gertrude-stein>

Are you referring to this Pink Swastika:

"The pink swastika

Summary:A collection of articles extracted from Wikipedia on the subject of homosexuality in Nazi Germany, and the persecution of gays during that time

or this one:

The pink swastika : homosexuality in the Nazi Party

Both are listed listed on WorldCat.

Of the second item, SPLC wrote:

Anti-Gay Religious Crusaders Claim Homosexuals Helped Mastermind the Holocaust.

“For decades now, “Holocaust revisionists” in the U.S. and Europe have published pseudo-scholarly papers and books claiming to prove that the Nazis never carried out a systematic extermination of Jews. In 1995, a book called The Pink Swastika made similar claims about the Nazis’ treatment of homosexuals during the Holocaust.

“Written by fundamentalist activists Scott Lively and Kevin Abrams, The Pink Swastika says that rather than being victimized by the Nazis, gay men in Hitler’s inner circle actually helped mastermind the Holocaust.

“While we cannot say that homosexuals caused the Holocaust, we must not ignore their central role in Nazism,” write Lively and Abrams. “To the myth of the ‘pink triangle’ — the notion that all homosexuals in Nazi Germany were persecuted — we must respond with the reality of the ‘pink swastika.’”

“Historians agree that this “reality” is utterly false. But many anti-gay crusaders have used the “gay Nazi” myth as proof that gay people are immoral and destructive.”

Same garbage.

Different day.

• **Thanks:** Arthur MacBride

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

94. Apostolos says:

July 17, 2023 at 7:04 pm GMT • 24.0 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Bragadocious

Up to now the Brits were having it all their way.

They reconquered US, they are still 'under the radar' major global players in most illegal activities either for money or for power.

But not so sure that this time they will get it clean as a nation.

Of course the grand names that are mostly either fully unknown to the public or semi obscure will not take the slightest punch just as the important Jews in WW2

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

95. Ron Unz says:

July 17, 2023 at 7:09 pm GMT • 23.9 hours ago • 200 Words ↑
@Patrick McNally

Talking about “German leaders” in this generic way means nothing on something like this. A.J.P. Taylor describes how Bethmann-Hollweg, as he became isolated and at risk of losing power to Ludendorff, attempting to make some gestures to the Allies. But Ludendorff never supported any of this.

Obviously, there were both pro- and anti-peace factions in Germany as in Britain, but Zelikow’s book provides overwhelming evidence that the former group had greater power in Germany during 1916 and into 1917, until the failure of their peace proposals undercut them. A few offhand paragraphs from Taylor’s book published decades ago certainly can’t match this overwhelming evidence, especially since Taylor essentially ignored the very high-profile 1916 peace effort in his brief account.

But all of this WWI material is really off-topic here since I’d merely included a couple of paragraphs about WWI at the beginning of my long article, only about 2% of the total. The comment-thread on my WWI article is still open, so you should use it for your views:

<https://www.unz.com/runz/american-pravda-lost-histories-of-the-great-war/>

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

96. Ron Unz says:

July 17, 2023 at 7:21 pm GMT • 23.7 hours ago • 300 Words ↑
@Zachary Smith

It's true that people who make a search for the term "Holocaust" during the 1947-1960 time frame aren't going to find much information. *That's because the original descriptive terms for the Nazi horrors were ones like "mass murder" and most especially "Final Solution".*

No, I'm obviously not referring to the term "Holocaust," which only came into use in the late 1970s or so. I'm referring to the major factual elements, such as gas chambers, extermination camps, or many millions of Jewish deaths.

One search result I particularly liked was a 1950 letter to the editor of the military journal *ORDNANCE*. Bern Dibner, a Lt. Colonel in the USAF Reserve, was writing about a 1949 article by the near-Nazi British General J.C.F. Fuller – a fellow who had been whining about the very immoral bombing campaign against poor Germany.

Dibner pointed out that 1) the Germans had done plenty of bombing themselves before it was their turn in the barrel, and 2) *"The Germans, operating on a tribal superstition, destroyed six million Russians, Poles, and Jews as "lower races. It would be interesting to see General Fuller's record of moral indignation at the time those wanton acts were committed or exposed."*

That would be a fine example except that it's merely a 1950 letter to the editor. Since you've been doing searches, perhaps you can locate a few similar examples in actual articles published in mainstream media outlets or by reputable historians.

As I've already emphasized, those official claims had been made at the Nuremberg trials and in one of Truman's speeches, and they were never officially repudiated, so it's hardly surprising that someone—possibly Jewish by his name—might have still mentioned them in a 1950 letter. But I think by that time, most knowledgeable people had quietly understood it had just been wartime propaganda-nonsense. Hence nobody challenged Beaty's 1951 bestseller that explicitly said that.

Note that Dibner even gets his story a little confused, since he seems to believe that the six million figure includes all the Russians, Poles, and Jews killed by the Nazis, rather than merely the Jews alone.

97. [Tiptoethrutulips](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 7:32 pm GMT • 23.5 hours ago • 100 Words](#) [↑](#)
[@Trinity](#)

You forgot to mention current Israel, The Land of Bilk & Money.

Where would we be without AIPAC, ADL, SPLC and Holocaust Studies at public universities? Of course, we can always choose those courses in “Fandom Rhetoric” to understand the analysis and impact of Harry Potter and Buffy, the Vampire Slayer.

Study the classics, i.e., Ancient Greece or Rome? Who needs that anymore?

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

98. Anonymous[183] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 7:40 pm GMT • 23.4 hours ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

These Unz emissions are like the part in Hellraiser where little Amy Greenblatt is hiding in the closet from her dead uncle, who's running around wearing her dead Dad's face like a mask, and the female Cenobite sneaks up on her and barfs squirmy maggots on her and she's got to not scream or she'll get caught. (The female Cenobite is scariest because I'd totally do her.)

Today's particular moments of sublime joy:

“unexterminated Gypsies”

citing prominent public intellectual “Andrew Anglin of the Daily Stormer”

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

99. [July 17, 2023 at 7:41 pm GMT • 23.4 hours ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@OrangeSmoke](#)

None of FDR's policies regarding the Soviets or spies in his administration makes sense.

I can only guess that everyone on FDR's team knew communism didn't work and Slavs are stupid so the Soviet Union would be in deep trouble once his Lend Lease aid ended.

He also expected to have his powerful ally China on the Soviet's border as a threat. FDR had armed the Soviets to destroy the German empire, and had armed the Chinese to destroy the Japanese empire. If he could spark a war between the Soviets and Chinese, they would destroy each other, leaving his United Nations based in New York to rule the world.

I'm just speculating, but surely these ideas were discussed.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

100. Liborio Guaso says:

July 17, 2023 at 8:07 pm GMT • 22.9 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

In the end it turned out that Hitler was a criminal but he had a limit, Churchill was also a criminal but he had no limit and he proposed to continue killing until he ensured white supremacy forever.

A racist degenerate who wished to use nuclear supremacy to subjugate the world forever.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

101. Poupon Marx says:

@Quartermaster

I didn't know you made a video:



• **LOL:** Arthur MacBride

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

102. [July 17, 2023 at 8:27 pm GMT • 22.6 hours ago • 200 Words](#) [↑](#)

[@Ron Unz](#)

If you can find any substantial mainstream discussion of the Holocaust or its major elements during roughly the period 1947-1960, I'd be very interested.

The holohoax has turned our world upside down, so that now we are governed by Jews who have allegiance only to Israel, who have forgotten the MAD doctrine, and have us on the verge of WW III.

Not to mention the endless death destruction and chaos that has existed in the middle east ever since the advent of Israel, all a consequence of the hoax.

But that's of no concern to you? The only thing of interest is the academics' take on the subject, and mainstream academics at that? What you are saying has no relation to the hoax or its consequences, beyond that the Jews were somehow able to totally corrupt not only governments and the press, but also academia, which is, admittedly, amazing.

You're acting as a hoax minimizer or apologist, maybe unconsciously, maybe consciously. After all, when the Jews have to admit the thing was a preposterous prima facie hoax from the very start, which occurred before 1906 when an article appeared in the NYT accusing Russia of an ongoing systematic and murderous extermination 6 million Jews, what do the Jews say then? Why ... it's no big deal, of course, no one really paid any attention to it, why even the academics didn't notice, i.e. exactly what you are saying right now.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

103. Brad Anbro says:

July 17, 2023 at 8:28 pm GMT • 22.6 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Ron Unz

Ron,

I respectfully disagree with your “assessment” of Antony Sutton, Ph.D. (now deceased). I stand by every comment that I made about him. I have read many of his books and many other authors agree with his writings concerning the transfer of technology to Russia and the U.S. corporations’ and financial industry’s help in Hitler attaining power and giving (selling) him the means with which to conduct World War II.

In regard to Mr. Sutton’s books on the transfer of American technology to Russia, he used the U.S. government’s own documents as a source for his writings. I cannot believe for one minute that a person with the education that Mr. Sutton possessed would use “dubious sources” for his writings concerning Germany both before World War II and during the war. His writings on this subject have been confirmed by many others.

I would much more believe Mr. Sutton’s writings than I would believe ANYTHING from the mainstream media or anything that I would see on television.

Thank you.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

104. anon[371] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 8:46 pm GMT • 22.3 hours ago](#) • 200 Words [↑](#)

“The legions of ignorant Westerners who mindlessly rely upon our disingenuous media may be denouncing Putin as “another Hitler” but I think they may have inadvertently backed themselves into the truth.”

Maybe, but Pres.Putin himself would not appreciate the comparison whether meant advertently or inadvertently. Hitler is even more reviled in Russia than in the West. Discussions like the above would meet with even less reception there. The ‘Great Patriotic War’ is still relevant to them & Hitler is about as popular as Stepan Bandera. Although claims about Hitler’s better side would probably find a wide audience in Ukraine itself. For Hitler fans though, Ukraine could also be viewed as pivotal. If Hitler had halted his invasion of the USSR after scoring an easy victory in Ukraine instead of pressing on immediately to Moscow in the winter of 1941/’42, he might have won the war, at least in the short run. In any case, Russia didn’t want Hitler in Ukraine anymore than it wants Biden in Ukraine, whatever his relative (alleged) merits in other regards. It’s just not up for discussion for them.

• **Replies:** [@Skeptikal](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

105. [Saran of Lemman](#) says:

[@unzfanguy](#)

Creation of the Golem.

• **Replies:** [@old coyote](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

106. July 17, 2023 at 8:57 pm GMT • 22.1 hours ago • 500 Words [↑](#)

Hi Ron,

Trained in philosophy this commenter is more prone to look at this issue with dis-compassion [Reason is me being an old Aramaic Orthodox Christian(who has his Sunday liturgy from the Jakobus (St.James's) liturgy of the First Jerusalem Christian Community, today celebrated in Syriac (modified Estrangelo Aramaic) in the 8th revised version. St Thomas, an Apostle of Christ brought it to us on the Indian West Coast, Kerala around 52 A.D. traveling with a Jewish merchant (Rabban or Habban? Pl. refer to Thomas Acts in British Museum)]

Theologically, one may say, the problem began with the Garden of Eden. The Greed and Bondage to material and sensual charm and glory had bedeviled the Jews of all variants down millennia along with the perpetrator/originator of the tradition, the Egyptian and Sumerian sorcery culture that subjugated them till Moses liberated them.

How many Jews want to hear today, "Thou shall not kill" (implying Lacto-Vegan culture and all wars deliberately created last 500 yrs. for money grab alone), "Thou shall not steal" (Big Banks plus), "Thou shall not take another man's wife" (Epstein & accused Hollywood film director stories) and amassing wealth by default and infinite lies ad nauseam? The entire marketing world controlled by the Jews is replete with and rinsed in superbly cooked lies and structured deceit. Prof. Thomas Dalton's columns in UNZ Review corroborate these. Such criminal or non-criminal factualities go unpunished to cause murderous destitution in a world where millions starve to please sub-fractional, multi-billionaire neocon Zionist Zealots! Where is the Jewish Conscience towards humanity Yahweh has planted in the Human as He Blew Life into their nostrils. I am glad there are conscientious Jewish priests like Rabbi Dovid Weiss who

categorically stated years ago that Judaism is not Zionism and does not require a land or state of their own to possess for their existence.

Greedy, unprincipled immoral people are not among Jews alone in this rapacious mortal sin accumulation. A good lot of Christians, Hindus, Japanese, etc., are all culpable, who are accountable for the same horrendous sins. That in no way exonerates the collective evil or individual guilt modern business/political Jews have committed individually or in sync with conglomerates. They are answerable to ABBA, their Creator. Hindus call it collective Karma. All are equal before Law. No escape, entitlement or exceptionalism for anyone anywhere. The next Holocaust will not see any concentration camps, only ashes with a nuclear extinction. No, enlightened world Jews wielding huge economic and political power have a dead serious responsibility to proactively fight for human evolution and not its devolution and extinction. That's the Jewish Challenge. Can you rise up to that?

George Chakko, former U.N. correspondent at the Vienna International Center (U.N.), is now retired in Vienna, Austria. 17 July 2023 22:57 CEST

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

107. QCIC says:

July 17, 2023 at 9:00 pm GMT • 22.1 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Levtraro

Levtraro wrote:

“The Russians today complain they believed in the promises of the West not to expand NATO to the East and Russophiles cry out loud about the lying West. I call BS. Everybody and the Russians knew they were weak and Eastern Europeans will line up to join NATO. Then the Russians started to do things right and here we are.”

Sort of. The world of geopolitics was different after Hiroshima August 6, 1945. I think the Russias had a glimmer of hope that the West would behave since Russia inherited a potent nuclear arsenal from USSR.

I think the Russian view would be more like: The USA should not press us with NATO and in other ways because we are still dangerous. Once the West pressured anyway, Russia thought, well these fools are doing what we expected. We will deal with them at a time and place of our choosing. My impression is that Russia was not really ready in early 2022 but had no choice to send in the troops before Russia was overcome by events. I assume they are very concerned that the leaders in the West seem too stupid to be trusted with nuclear weapons.

• **Replies:** @JPS

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

108. [forkyou](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 9:06 pm GMT • 22.0 hours ago](#) • 300 Words [↑](#)

[@Trinity](#)

yeah, maybe.

I'm a big believer in Occam's razor. I'm willing to entertain the idea that good-faith historians can go back, examine evidence, and potentially revise either the narrative or the numbers.

One of the ironies you immediately notice when you read comments on sites like this, which allow jew-haters to run wild, is that to a man, they all say the nazis didn't harm one little hair on a single yid's head, *but they should have killed them all*.

They also unrelentingly call, either overtly or not, for the extermination of the jews.

If given complete impunity, these jew-haters would kill as many jews as they have rounds of ammo.

So you're asking us to believe, in contrast to the absolute mountain of evidence and literally thousands of witnesses, that a European population which had been told for thousands of years that jews had killed their savior, and a German population that had been anti-jew to begin with, and made more so by relentless propaganda...you're asking us to believe that when given the opportunity and absolute impunity, that no jews were harmed?

it's just not adding up.

again, I'm not opposed to revising figures and examining new evidence that comes to light. but to say it's just a giant hoax and conspiracy is ridiculous.

It could certainly be true that uncle Adolf never gave the direct order. but his lieutenants knew exactly what he wanted, particularly Himmler.

Oftentimes, it's the underlings who are more gung-ho than the actual leader.

109. forkyou says:

@Trinity

| (((Publishing companies))) (((The New York Times Bestseller List)))

You probably think those triple parentheses are clever, but they're really just jewy as hell.

your screen name sounds christian, but I'm pretty sure you're a jew.

jew.

• **Replies:** @Trinity

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

110. Haxo Angmark says:

July 17, 2023 at 9:24 pm GMT • 21.7 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Chebyshev

“why didn’t anybody....write a single book or even an article in the 1950’s”....etc.

actually, Leon Poliakov’s *Harvest of Hate: The Nazi Program for the Destruction of the Jews of Europe* was Published in America by U. of Syracuse Press in 1954, having been originally published in France as *Breviare de la Haine* in 1951.

and Gerald Reitlinger’s 668 pp. *The Final Solution* came out in 1953.

but U.S. Zionist Organizations and their Jewish mass constituencies paid little attention to the Holocaust during the 1950’s because Israel was still parasiting off German “Holocaust Reparations”.

only when the German lucre began to run out in the late 1950’s did the Zionists begin casting about for some new source of funds....and that meant putting the bite on “American” Jews and America in general, which in turn meant pushing the Holocaust narrative much, much harder.

111. SolontoCroesus says:

July 17, 2023 at 9:30 pm GMT • 21.6 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@forkyou

One of the ironies you immediately notice when you read comments on sites like this, which allow jew-haters to run wild, is that **to a man, they all say the nazis didn't harm one little hair on a single yid's head, but they should have killed them all.**

They also **unrelentingly call, either overtly or not, for the extermination of the jews.**

If given complete impunity, these jew-haters would kill as many jews as they have rounds of ammo.

Gosh, I've been away for awhile and must have missed all the calls for "extermination of the jews."

In the spirit of keeping UnzForum on the up-and-up, please provide about

half-a-dozen examples of Unz forum participants "saying nazis didn't harm one little hair on a single yid's head;"

another half-dozen specific examples of the "unrelenting call for the extermination of the jews;"

and to completely, irrefutably validate your claims, provide Six examples of statements you have read on UnzForum that cause you to conclude that "these jew-haters would kill as many jews as they have rounds of ammo."

No cheating now!!

No fair getting Jonathan Greenblatt to do your homework!!

• **Thanks:** Kali

• **Replies:** @forkyou

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

112. Hegar says:

July 17, 2023 at 9:32 pm GMT • 21.5 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

An excellent article. I would just correct one thing:

WWII did not “pull America out of the Depression.” The Depression lasted throughout the war and only ended in 1946 when Harry Truman abolished Roosevelt’s socialist commands that had frozen the economy and prevented any adjustments from taking place.

And simple common sense shows that the Keynesian fantasies are false: While Keynes saw the pyramids as “thousands of people getting jobs,” he ignored the fact that the money spent doesn’t add anything to the economy. Likewise the war looks like “people getting jobs in war industries,” but what they produce is sent away to be destroyed. So the money from actual productive jobs is spent paying for other jobs that add no new productivity. Nothing the country can use.

Money itself isn’t wealth – only new productive additions are wealth. **What you are doing when paying people to be unproductive, is simply stretch out the already existing wealth over more people.**

You see “people getting jobs,” but you don’t see what the money could have been used for instead. It could have been used to pay for jobs that actually add to the economy.

Or to put it simpler: Do people really believe, like a Keynesian, that the country is enriched by paying a guy to dig a hole every day and fill it up again? How about instead paying him to do something that others can use?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

113. Ronald Unz's "Hitler, Churchill, the Holocaust, and the War in Ukraine" is extremely important and goes against everything we were taught in the public schools and have heard in the media.

I urge you all to pass along the link to this piece to everyone you know.

• **Replies:** [@JWalters](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

114. [Wokechoke](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 9:57 pm GMT • 21.1 hours ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@Bragadocious](#)

British influence is easy enough to quantify.

Jewish influence is slippery and you could miss it easily by labeling Blinken an American instead of a Jew. Or Edward Spiers as an Englishman.

Ben Wallace as far as I know is a pantomime British type. So is Boris Johnson. The type is well attested to in history and often condemned. Johnson has a Circassian and a Jew in the woodpile though. Sarkozy was French with Romanian roots amirite?

We could say that the Fictional Sir Harry Paget Flashman is the avatar of this Britishness. Waugh captured it well with his Basil Seal character as well.

Such characters are widely loathed for fucking up like Anthony Eden or Lord Halifax or glorified like Churchill or Lawrence for Fucking up in a different way.

No one discusses Jews up to their eyeballs in these scams at least in public.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

115. Wokechoke says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:08 pm GMT • 20.9 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@forkyou

They missed too many. One story that makes me laugh is that guy who escaped from a camp trekked across Poland and ended up living off the kindness of various peasants who housed and fed him.

Yeah right, Eastern European farmers and villagers took pity on this Czech-Yid so that he could get to inform the British about the camps.

Lol.

Try doing anything remotely similar on foot today asking for a meal bed clothing water and not have the first homeowner call the police on you.

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siegfried_Lederer%27s_escape_from_Auschwitz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

116. Ron Unz says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:09 pm GMT • 20.9 hours ago • 100 Words ↑
@SolontoCroesus

“On the evening of October 17, 1938 . . . [Morgenthau] showed the president the . . . letter that Harry Dexter White had crafted . . . On that date, a fortnight after Morgenthau had obtained FDR’s acquiescence to prepare for war, Warsaw ruled that “after October 31 no expatriate Poles would be allowed back into their country without a special entry visa.”

Hmmm... That’s interesting evidence from the Morgenthau diaries, suggesting that FDR was already focusing on Germany a couple of weeks before the *Kristallnacht* riots, so perhaps they weren’t as crucial as I’d speculated. It would be interesting if the diaries provided a timeline of when FDR began redirecting his plans for a war against Japan to one against Germany. But if the anti-Jewish riots weren’t the trigger, I do think they were probably a very important supplementary factor.

• **Replies:** @SolontoCroesus

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

117. Zachary Smith says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:12 pm GMT • 20.9 hours ago • 1,400

Words ↑

@Ron Unz

Since you've been doing searches, perhaps you can locate a few similar examples in actual articles published in mainstream media outlets or by reputable historians.

Afraid of what you'd find?

1) 1960: **Selected Translations of the Eichmann Case from German Magazine**

<https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA358887.pdf>

These mass executions by firing squads of course could not be kept secret for any length of time and aroused unwelcome attention; Eichmann and his helpers therefore introduced a new, silent method' of liquidation.

As early as 25 October, Dr. Ernst Wetzel, chief of the Race Policy Office of the Nazi Party and assigned to Rosenberg's Reich Ministry of Occupied Eastern Territories since the start of the Russian campaign, was able to report to the Reich Commissar for Eastern Territories, Gauleiter Hinrich Lohse, that the technique of mass murder would soon be greatly improved. Wrote Wetzel "Division Chief Brack of the Office of the Fuehrer has declared himself ready to help in the preparation of the required facilities and in the procurement of the gassing equipment. I would like to point out that Major Eichmann, the specialist for Jewish problems in the Central Reich Security Office, approves of this method. In view of the existing situation, there should be no hesitation in eliminating Jews who are unable to work by means of the methods proposed by Brack."

Wetzel did not hesitate to praise the advantages of this new method in complicated bureaucratic German. "In this manner it ought to be possible to forestall such incidents as occurred during the firing squad executions of Jews in Vilna; such executions, can hardly be approved because they must be accomplished in the Open." The methods devised by Victor Brack of the Fuehrer's Office had been expressly approved by Adolf Eichmann and had been tested successfully even before they were used in the East. They involved the use of buses in which mental patients were taken for a ride and killed by the exhaust gases under the euthanasia program from 1939 to 1941. The personnel operating these buses were camouflaged under the designation "public service fund for institution care." Contrary to Wetzel's statement, Brack was not the inventor of these gas chambers on wheels, but only a witness when Criminal Police Commissioner and SS Ifejour Christian Wirth used them for the first time in the Brandenburg Institute. Said Wirth at the time: "We have no sick people any more, we only have dead people." Wirth's murder bus enterprise flourished in the Lublin area with many modifications and improvements until a man appeared who at last introduced the final technical solution of the problem of killing. In 1941, the then SS Captain Rudolf Hoess was ordered by Himmler to expand the Auschwitz concentration camp into a mass extermination camp. Said Hoess: "Because it was the camp closest to a railroad line." Hoess thought from the very beginning that the exhaust gas method was outdated, inefficient, and too expensive. During an inspection trip through the Eulenhof extermination camp at Lodz and the Treblinka extermination camp (on the Bug River), Hoess found his opinions confirmed: "I observed the whole operation at Treblinka. They had several chambers there; each of them held several hundred people and was set up right along the railroad tracks,, In an engine room right next to

it, there were engines of large trucks and of tanks which were started up. The exhaust gases of the engines were fed into the chambers through pipelines and the persons in these chambers were killed; It used to take more than half an hour until all was quiet in these chambers.” Here is his criticism. “I was told that the engines were not running uniformly all the time and the exhaust gases were therefore often not strong enough to kill everybody in the chamber. Many were only unconscious and had to be shot later, on«” By way of experiment, Hoess dropped Zyklon B, a hydrocyanic acid preparation, into the cells of his camp. Said Hoess: “Death occurred in the jam-packed cells immediately after the pellets had been dropped.” The hydrocyanic acid, which had originally been intended as an insecticide, was now used for a new purpose — the extermination of “human vermin.” The death mills of Auschwitz-Birkenau were now in a position to run at full speed; their extermination capacity was 16,000 Jews, gypsies, and commissars per day.

2) 1955: **The Final Solution** Kurt R. Grossmann

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/4609769>

Four points still have to be clarified. First, how did the gassing procedure function? Second, was the extermination kept secret? Third, was it the only means of destroying the Jews? Fourth, how many Jews were killed by these various methods? The Jews, as we know, were selected by the Judenraac for deportation. All possible means of deception were applied by the Nazis in order to avoid panic and hysteria. In the cases of the Dutch Jews, for example, labor contracts for the East were given to them-and comparatively comfortable coach trains took them to the gas chambers. They refused to believe the railway workers' warnings: "We have our contracts," the Dutch Jews retorted. Few Jews escaped these mass expulsions.

Legal obstacles against wholesale killing of the German Jews were removed. Dr. Otto Thierack, the Reich's Minister of Justice, was authorized by Hitler to deviate from the existing law in order to establish a truly National Socialist administration of justice. So on September 14, 1942, he outlawed the German Jews, though they had already been expatriated in November 1941. Losing their civil rights they became "asocials," who could be surrendered to the police without further ado.

The extermination chambers, where mass extermination was executed, were built as bath houses. In Birkenau "the ground over the gassing cellar was converted into a well-kept lawn, in which stood at regular intervals mushroom-like concrete objects, and these, though they might not intrigue the newcomers very much, were the shafts down which, after methodically unscrewing the lids, the sanitary orderly was to scatter the amethyst-blue crystals" when the order was given, "Now let 'em eat it."

Slowly the gas escaped from the perforations in the sheet-metal columns. Generally the victims would be too tightly packed in to notice this at first, but at other times they would be few enough to sit in comfort, gazing up at the douches, from which no water came, or at the floor, which, strange to say, had no drainage runnels. Then they would feel the gas and crowd together away from the menacing columns and finally stampede towards the huge metal door with its little window, where they piled up in one blue clammy blood-spattered pyramid, clawing and mauling each other even in death.

After that the "exhauster" electric pumps removed the gas, the door slid open, "and the men of the Jewish Sonderkommando entered, wearing gas masks and gum boots and carrying hoses. . . ." Their first task was to wash away the blood and refuse before they dragged out the dead....

For a long time the Nazis tried to throw a veil of secrecy around these mass exterminations. When Hitler visited Lublin in 1942, Frank's police chief Globocnik, an Austrian, spoke of the danger of future discoveries to him. The Nazis invented a system to destroy the bodies completely, burning them and

pulverizing the bones. As the months passed, more and more of the gas chamber ordeal became known, inside and outside of Germany. Jewish Sonderkommandos only in rare cases could tell the story, because in the end they too had to make the trip to the gas chamber. In any case, as far as the high command of this genocide action was concerned, they tried to keep it a top secret.

1) 1949 **Operation murder**

<https://stars.library.ucf.edu/prism/183/>

By herding the helpless Jews into unsanitary and overcrowded ghettos, and by subjecting them to forced labor and systematic starvation, they decimated their numbers. Presently they discarded these slow methods of genocide for the quicker and more efficient ones of the firing squad, the gas van, and the gas chamber.

The Gas Vans were something I hadn't known about – put a bunch of people into a comfortable camper vehicle and en route kill them with carbon monoxide from the engine.

There are a great many more of these document – maybe it's time for Mr. Unz to make some searches of his own – ***ones where he hasn't put on his blinkers.***

- **Thanks:** [Brás Cubas](#)
- **Replies:** [@Anonymous](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

118. BlackFlag says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:17 pm GMT • 20.8 hours ago • 100 Words [↑](#)
[@The Germ Theory of Disease](#)

I don't know if you could quite say "empire" but Jews seem to be the only extremely influential non-territorial nation in world history. The gypsies, for example, were never influential. Mainstream political thinkers and historians haven't come to terms with the phenomenon. It doesn't help that they are terrified of broaching the subject. Do you know of other similar groups?

If we view the Jewish nation as having occupied a particular economic niche, how come we don't see similar groups in other regions, e.g. China? Other groups like Lebanese and Overseas Chinese don't exhibit the same features. They haven't lasted unassimilated for centuries in a region, like Europe, and formed cohesive international influential networks.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

119. tyrone says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:28 pm GMT • 20.6 hours ago [↑](#)

| Allied leadership harshly rejected any peace negotiations

.....hmmm, seems like something along those lines happened recentlydoes history repeat ?sorta.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

120. [Zachary Smith](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 10:28 pm GMT • 20.6 hours ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)
[@Ron Unz](#)

Note that Dibner even gets his story a little confused, since he seems to believe that the six million figure includes all the Russians, Poles, and Jews killed by the Nazis, rather than merely the Jews alone.

That's right, the letter writer used a figure which is not currently Politically Correct. He might even be sent to prison if he lived in 2023 Canada, US, or Germany.

Fact is, nobody in 2023 knows how many people the Nazis murdered. And they sure didn't know back in 1950 either.

Only that the number was a very large one.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

121. [BlackFlag](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 10:35 pm GMT • 20.5 hours ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)
[@Petermx](#)

The choice of the word "holocaust" is interesting. The literal definition is something like: a great destruction by fire. So basically, what the allies inflicted on the Japan & Germany. Were the atrocities committed against Jews used in an ironic sense? Or maybe it's because the word "holocaust" had become a popular war to refer to the potential of nuclear war so it already invoked vivid images in people's minds.

• **Replies:** [@Wokechoke](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

122. Trinity says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:40 pm GMT • 20.4 hours ago ↑

@forkyou

“My Name Is Nobody.”

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

123. One-off says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:42 pm GMT • 20.4 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

Just days after the war began, I pointed out that the total demonization of Russia and Vladimir Putin by our media and government seemed exactly similar to how they had treated Germany and Adolf Hitler three generations earlier.

The demonization has been nowhere near as successful, though. I attribute this to a variety of factors, among them previous demonizations of similar public enemies du jour, general war weariness, infinitely more sources for information, and first and foremost widespread distrust of both mainstream media and government. A new factor is the public has soured on the military, which happened to some extent with Vietnam but not to this degree.

This is not to discount there is a great deal of acceptance of the official narrative, but most minimize it and many outright reject the demonization. Rejection of the Putin as Hitler narrative likely has boosted Trump and wounded his primary rivals, and the same to a lesser extent is in evidence with the RFKJr. boomlet. Public opinion never deters the United States to abandon a planned war, of course, but it is hard to recall a time when there was this much public opposition so early after the train has semi-left the station.

It makes one wonder what would have happened if instantaneous information has been available in 1939.

• **Replies:** [@brostoevsky](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

124. Chebyshev says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:45 pm GMT • 20.3 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Haxo Angmark

actually, Leon Poliakov's Harvest of Hate: The Nazi Program for the Destruction of the Jews of Europe was Published in America by U. of Syracuse Press in 1954, having been originally published in France as Breviare de la Haine in 1951.

and Gerald Reitlinger's 668 pp. The Final Solution came out in 1953.

Ok, so there was one book written by a French author and one by a German author. So, still it appears there was nothing originally written in America about the Holocaust during this period.

Does either of these two books explicitly note the murder of millions of Jewish civilians by the Nazis? I'd think if they did make that claim, and this was taken seriously by American scholars, there would've been an outpouring of writing on the subject.

• **Replies:** @Ron Unz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

125. Mr Chow Mein says:

July 17, 2023 at 10:55 pm GMT • 20.1 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

The lesson learned is that empires die hard. How long did the Roman empire chugg along after its peak? And the families at the top never believe it is ever gone you only have to look at England, Poland and Turkie to see a belief in their continued influence beyond what actually is, Mussolini was the epitome of this delusion.

What you have to understand is because of the pyramid shape of power this cycle will continue because those at the top can burn through as many lives and resources at their disposal to keep the party going before it touches them, they haven't nothing to lose, everything to keep.

After this Ukraine war is settled it will take everything for the U.S to keep Poland and Germany apart, there are far swathes of land Germany considers its and Russia occupies some of it, I wondered why Germany went so easy with the war.

• **Replies:** [@BlackFlag](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

126. JWalters says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:03 pm GMT • 20.0 hours ago • 300 Words ↑

@Harry Pitts

I agree, and would add the following.

The article hints that the push for war in Ukraine resembles the possible engineering of WWII, and that there may have been Jewish involvement in the latter. The cautious hinting at these possibilities is understandable for a public figure. Because the very real Talmudic Mafia who engineered WWII (and WWI, Vietnam, Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, and Syria) and is now engineering WWIII between Russia and the Collective West, is a ruthless bunch.

As John Hobson explained in *Imperialism: A Study*, imperialist policies are driven by a tiny group of top financiers. See especially the section *The Parasites of Empire*. Key quotes are in the link below.

By their control of the financial system, they also control the major corporations, including media companies, and almost all the politicians. Thus, they deploy a nation's military to wage war on other countries so they can loot their resources. Currently they are aiming to loot Russia's vast natural resources.

The so-called "Anglo-American" empire is controlled by Talmudic Jewish financiers.

<https://warprofiteerstory.blogspot.com/p/war-profiteers-and-israels-bank.html>

They have no interest in the well-being of Britons or Americans. Instead, they rob and slaughter them in manufactured economic crises and wars for profit. The talking heads in the corporate media and most of Congress parrot their criminal cover stories. Both George W. Bush and Joe Biden are their agents.

Their pet project is Israel, so they can fulfill their “sacred” goal of ruling the world from Jerusalem. All the non-Jews are to be their slaves and cannon fodder, as per the teaching in the Talmud. They are not concerned about the vast destruction of a WWIII, as they were not about WWII or WWI.

They and their Talmudic doctrine are deeply evil. They and their doctrine must be unmasked and discredited for all time.

• **Thanks:** Arthur MacBride

• **Replies:** @Odd Rabbit

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

127. Alexandros says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:13 pm GMT • 19.8 hours ago • 200 Words 

@Patrick McNally

The normal way for Jews to deflect evidence and facts is to flatly reject it as silliness or racism and then revert back to the true and trodden propaganda line.

Weckert's book is loaded with facts, evidence and dissection of myths. So the Jews must attack it, pretend it is of no import.

The idea that the Chancellor, the chief of Propaganda and the chief of internal Reich Security would conspire to destroy their international reputation with a violent, out of control demonstration does not make sense on any level except in the projections of a mad Jewish mind.

The facts are this:

Goebbels, Hitler, Heydrich, Himmler et. al had no idea what was going on. When they found out they all actively tried to stop it.

The riot was largely spurred on by the deliberate murder of a German diplomat by a Jew, who likely committed the murder for this specific purpose.

SA groups were given false orders over telephone by anonymous individuals, and street agitators got the party started by smashing up windows in Jewish shops. Once the impression was on that this was a state sanctioned event, a limited riot by the baser elements occurred which damaged some 20% of the synagogues in Germany. A free expression of public indignation to Jew connivance, but obviously not a good look for the country.

• **Agree:** Carolyn Yeager, Arthur MacBride, Brosi

• **Replies:** @Wokechoke, @Brosi, @Patrick McNally

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

128. Derer says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:21 pm GMT • 19.7 hours ago • 100 Words [↑](#)

One of Hitler's main goals was to free the suppressed German populations of Central Europe...

It is a mean-spirited idiocy to defend Hitler (by Mike Whitney) on this issue. Hitler demanded to “free” a fraction of his nationals but he violently suppressed the whole Czech nation. It is all right to suppress Czechs because they have been suppressed for 700 years. Historically, the Sudeten Mountains are a natural borders for the Czech-land. The Sudeten Germans settlements across the mountain in Czech land have evolved over the years similar to Jewish settlements on the Palestinian West Bank.

• **Replies:** [@HdC](#), [@Odyssey](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

129. Wokechoke says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:26 pm GMT • 19.6 hours ago [↑](#)

[@BlackFlag](#)

Holocaust is Greek for Burned Sacrifice.

It's a highly suspicious term when you have the incantation of 6 million used in the same sentence.

“6 million died in the burned offering...to Yahweh...so that we could get Palestine. Amen.” Deny it and you lose your job goys.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

130. Wokechoke says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:29 pm GMT • 19.6 hours ago ↑

@Alexandros

Add some Jewish Lightning for insurance claims and you get Kristalnacht.

• **LOL:** Brosi

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

131. [SolontoCroesus](#) says:

[July 17, 2023 at 11:33 pm GMT • 19.5 hours ago](#) • 200 Words [↑](#)
[@Ron Unz](#)

But if if the anti-Jewish riots weren't the trigger, I do think they were probably a very important supplementary factor.

Agree.

But who was driving the agenda?

Contrary to Patrick McNally's opinion, the details Ingrid Weckert adds to information about the events of Nov 7 – 10 seem evidence-based, ingenuous, and persuasive.

Coupled with the knowledge that Louis Brandeis, arguably highly influential in international zionist affairs, **did** want German Jews to leave Germany and more-or-less delegated Rabbi Stephen Wise to get it done –(by May 1933 Wise's son, James, had published Swastika: The Nazi Terror, as slur that has stuck to the ancient symbol to this day); aware that Wise was highly energetic in the task (later on, to further prod Morgenthau, Wise told the Secretary that “Germans were making soap and lampshades of Jewish flesh;”) and aware, as [Francis Nicosia](#) describes, that zionists in Palestine had already formed *Mossad e/Aliyeh Bet* to persuade/assist German Jews in leaving Germany and crashing the British barriers to entry to Palestine, I think it's reasonable to speculate that at least part of the destructive action against Jews was manufactured by zionists as the last tactic to induce them to leave — similar to the way zionists fell upon Iraqi Jews to drive them from Iraq to Palestine.

• **Agree:** [Brosi](#)

• **Replies:** [@Patrick McNally](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

132. Corpse Tooth says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:46 pm GMT • 19.3 hours ago • 100 Words 

@Ron Unz

“... while still other theories suggested Hitler was the illegitimate grandchild of a Rothschild. But, according to Rabbi Antelman, these theories are incorrect. Rather, he claims, Hitler was the deliberate creation of a ritual participated in by his mother, Klara Polzl, and a Sabbatean Frankist. The ritual was held on the 9th of Av, known as Tisha B'av, which is observed by Jews as a fast day commemorating the destruction of the First and Second Temples, but commemorated by Frankists as Sabbatai Zevi's birthday, on which they celebrate with their sex rites.”

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

133. J.M. says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:54 pm GMT • 19.2 hours ago • 800 Words ↑

The more I read about Hitler, the more convinced I am that his views about the Versailles Treaty were fairly commonplace among Germans living at the time. It seems to me that if Hitler hadn't emerged as the leader who promised to restore Germany (to its original borders), someone else would have taken his place. The real problem was the injustice of the treaty itself which exacted reparations that could not be repaid along with the partitioning of the German state. It was the onerous settlement of Versailles that ensured there would be Second World War not Hitler.

Not just the Germans, but the COMINTERN led by the 'Russians' too:

Fourth Congress of the Communist International

The Versailles Peace Treaty

5 December 1922

The World War ended with the downfall of three imperialist powers: Germany, Austria-Hungary and Russia. Four exploiting Great Powers emerged from the war as victors: the United States, Britain, France and Japan.

The peace treaties, the crux of which is the Versailles peace treaty, are nothing other than an attempt to stabilise the world domination of these four victorious powers; politically and economically, by reducing the rest of the world to the level of a single colony exploited by them, and socially, by creating an international union of the bourgeoisie designed to strengthen bourgeois rule both over the proletariat of their own countries and over the victorious revolutionary proletariat of Russia. With this end in view, a whole series of small vassal states were set up around Russia and armed by the Entente in order to strangle Soviet Russia at the first convenient opportunity. The defeated nations were made to repay fully the losses suffered by the victorious powers during the war.

It is now blatantly clear that the assumptions on which the peace treaties were built were mistaken. The attempt to establish a new balance of power on a capitalist basis had proved unsuccessful. The last four years present a picture of continual vacillation, constant uncertainty, economic crises, unemployment and labour shortages, ministerial crises, party crises and international political crises.

By holding an endless series of conferences, the imperialist powers are trying to delay the disintegration of the world system founded on the notorious peace treaties, and to conceal the bankruptcy of the Versailles peace.

In Russia attempts to overthrow the dictatorship of the proletariat have proved unsuccessful. The proletariat throughout the capitalist world is all the more firm in its support for Soviet Russia. Even the leaders of the Amsterdam International have been forced to declare openly that the overthrow of proletarian power in Russia would be tantamount to a victory for world reaction over the entire proletariat.

Turkey, outpost of the growing revolution in the East, has taken up arms and successfully opposed the implementation of the peace treaty. One of the most vital parts of the peace treaty is being solemnly buried at the Lausanne conference.

The prolonged world economic crisis has shown that the economic conceptions of the Versailles peace treaty were profoundly wrong. Britain, the leader of European imperialism and extremely dependent on world trade, is not in a position to consolidate its economy without the restoration of

Germany and Russia. The United States, the strongest imperialist power, has finally turned its back on the peace treaty and is trying to consolidate an independent policy of world-wide imperialism. To realise this aim, it has enlisted the support of important sections of the British Empire – Canada and Australia.

The oppressed British colonies, the basis of Britain's world power, are rebelling against their rulers: the entire Moslem world has been swept by open or clandestine revolt.

All the assumptions of the peace treaty have proved invalid, with a single exception: that the proletariat in the bourgeois countries has to bear the entire burden of the war and the Versailles treaty.

Read on @

<https://www.marxists.org/history/international/comintern/4th-congress/versailles.htm>

The Fourth Congress of the Far Left socialist parties from all over the planet affiliated to the Communist International was held in November 1922. Its internationalist character is well expressed in its objective position on the injustice of the Versailles Treaty and the motivations behind that.

After this, subsequent congresses turned inwards toward the “defence of the Soviet state” and the Marxist objective analysis of Versailles passed into the background, replaced by a pragmatic search for “Imperialist” allies to “defend the Soviet State”.

It is the latter, along with its associated history, to which the Russian people today are the heir to.

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

134. old coyote says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:54 pm GMT • 19.2 hours ago ↑

@Saran of Lemman

The former Christian West IS the golem, the monster conjured via Kabbalist Satanism.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

135. Carolyn Yeager says:

July 17, 2023 at 11:54 pm GMT • 19.2 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@SolontoCroesus

In addition to being “Fanciful,” it speaks poorly of Ron Unz’s scholarship to take so much of David Irving at face value. It’s pretty ridiculous to still believe, after all this time, the rumor that Goebbels was behind the attacks on Jews when we know it was anti-German interests already put in place that were behind them. (See Ingrid Weckert’s book on Kristallnacht <https://carolynyeager.net/damage-kristallnacht-greatly-overstated-world-press>) It’s also known that David Irving did not and does not like Joseph Goebbels and wrote a very biased book about him! My own speculation is that Irving is jealous of Goebbels’ chummy relationship with Hitler right up to the end.

Unz’s reliance on just a few sources—sometimes only one!—for his articles makes him a poor historian. And yet folks here are convinced he’s a genius who can never be wrong.

• **Troll:** Kali

• **Replies:** @Ron Unz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

136. Anonymous[661] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 12:10 am GMT](#) • 18.9 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

[@Zachary_Smith](#)

Didn't Eichmann also testify that the Germans captured a Russian submarine, extracted its engine, then dragged it over 300 miles to Auschwitz and hooked it up to a wooden cow shed to kill people with monoxide fumes? Ludicrous nonsense, of course, but who can blame the guy for wanting to have some fun by pulling on a few Jewish dicks? Anyway the Russians have no record of that supposedly captured submarine. And, somehow, Israel also claims they inadvertently destroyed some 500 hours of interrogation tapes of Eichmann. How convenient.

Let's cut the bullshit already. In the 1930s Germany received more Nobel prizes in physics and chemistry than any other country. They invented rocket/missile technology and nuclear bombs (on which, oh the irony, Jews heavily rely to this day to protect illegitimate Israel). If they had actually planned to exterminate the entire Jewish population I am sure they would have created more efficient means than were dreamed up by half-assed neurotic Jews.

Even fantasy spinner Raul Hilberg had to admit under oath that there was no scientific evidence of gas chambers. None, nada, zip.

• **Agree:** [anarchyst](#), [brostoevsky](#)

• **Replies:** [@Mefobills](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

137. Odyssey says:

July 18, 2023 at 12:13 am GMT • 18.8 hours ago ↑

@Dumbo

Good summary. Even here their arrogance shows and they are probably the dumbest group collectively. They are all panzer brigadiers who don't even know the meaning of their own name.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

138. Brás Cubas says:

July 18, 2023 at 12:29 am GMT • 18.6 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Patrick McNally

I gather that Unz views a certain discourse as shaping opinion in a certain direction, whereas Zelikow, as per your analysis, allegedly would view the same discourse as shaping opinion in an opposite direction. In Unz's case he wants primarily to change the dominant view about World War Two, because that's the one with the most points in common with present-day Ukraine; the inclusion of World War One is merely an accessory for enhancing Germany's image, and further trashing the West's. In the case of Zelikow, assuming you are correct, World War One was a much better instrument for his hypothetical purpose than World War Two, because the former was Jew-neutral. Unz may have been aware of Zelikow's possible intentions, and simply assessed that in order to score that (very small) point on one flank Zelikow necessarily opened his other flank to an attack.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

139. HdC says:

July 18, 2023 at 12:29 am GMT • 18.6 hours ago ↑

@Derer

Czech president Hache travelled to Berlin to ask the German government to protect his country against Soviet invasion. Germany complied with Hache's wishes. See newsreels to find out the warm reception German troops received from the citizenry.

• **Agree:** Arthur MacBride

• **Replies:** @Patrick McNally

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread


140. Odyssey says:

July 18, 2023 at 12:34 am GMT • 18.5 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Derer

The Germans are Asians who for centuries stole the land from the Serbian-speaking tribes (from which the separate nations of Czechs, Poles, Slovaks, etc. later arose). The Rhine River has long been the border between Germans and Serbs who founded all the old cities, as evidenced by the original toponyms (Brandenburg, Leipzig, Dresden, etc.). For example, Berlin was founded and named by Serbs, who lived there for 600 years before the Germans came.

After 1000 years of German penetration into the East, we now have the hypothesis that the 'good' Germans, led by their benevolent leader, pre-emptively attacked the USSR because the Russians were planning to conquer Europe. No one asks why the Russians would conquer Europe when there are no resources and raw materials there. At one time, a border between Germans and Serbs was even established by Charlemagne – Limes Sorabicus (Serbian border).



• **Replies:** [@Reg Cæsar](#), [@Zachary Smith](#), [@Brosi](#)
Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

141. [Gerry](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 12:41 am GMT • 18.4 hours ago](#) • 300 Words ↑

[@Anonymous](#)

“inclined toward Pacifism”

If there is one question that has bothered me to no end for some 40 years now given my families background from the Balkins and a war that my family and I are still victims of to this very day, is the question of Christians murdering Christians? Read this piece here and it surely must provoke some serious questions if not soul searching?

[MORE]

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

142. [Reg Cæsar](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 12:55 am GMT • 18.1 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Prem](#)

| If a government uses the instruments of power in it's hands...

The Führer used the greengrocer's apostrophe?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

143. Reg Cæsar says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:04 am GMT • 18.0 hours ago • 100 Words ↑
@Odyssey

For example, Berlin was founded and named by Serbs, who lived there for 600 years before the Germans came.

Founding Sodom-on-the-Spree isn't something a healthy person would want to brag about. The Prussians are like the English—they didn't replace anybody, they *became* them. Just with a Germanic language rather than an indigenous one.

• **Replies:** @Odyssey

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

144. TKK says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:16 am GMT • 17.8 hours ago • 100 Words ↑
@Prem

PBS has a new film out extolling the glories of the Weimar culture and its effect on Germany.

The promo has two gay men, sort of side cuddling – in public – and then a woke voice brags that the Weimar Republic was everything Hitler hated!

Like he was a demon for discouraging men to frolic sexually in the streets!

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

145. Emil Nikola Richard says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:28 am GMT • 17.6 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

Yergin explained that during the mid-1930s the imperious chairman of Royal Dutch Shell, who had spent decades at the absolute summit of the British business world, became greatly enamored of Hitler and his Nazi government.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henri_Deterding

was the person of interest. If you google image search (henri deterding gravesite) you can find a great photo. The one I found was getty image property and I couldn't post a working link to it. He was not a collaborator. He was a main guy.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

146. Сила в правде says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:35 am GMT • 17.5 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Anonymous

Yeah, when you consider Darwin, Bacon, Locke, Smith, Hobbes, Mill, Bentham, Newton, Cromwell, MI6, Russell, the House of Windsor, Balfour, Rhodes, Crowley, and so on, there's a case to be made that the British impact on the world has been equally deleterious to that of the Jews (their allies). Of course, the Jews are far more nefarious if measured by demographic proportion.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

147. whew says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:39 am GMT • 17.4 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

Czechoslovakia had been established as an important strategic ally for France to use against Germany

ahh, yes, ye ole “this only happened because these other people wanted it” trope. like the “Wilson founded Czechoslovakia” trope, ignoring the basic desires of the main group(s) involved.

consider that just because a group, or person, may support something, for whatever reason, doesn’t mean that this is *why* that thing actually happened.

the Czechs had only been fully independent for twenty years after having previously spent nearly 700 years under German suzerainty

the Holy Roman Empire did not own the Czech lands, Czechs were fully independent within the HRE (as the saying goes: neither holy, nor Roman, *nor an empire*) and actually were the most independent of its constituents. the Czechs were not ruled by Germans until the Thirty Years’ War, which was a barbaric period that started with an attempt to suppress the Czechs, and a genocide of Czechs occurred leading to the majority German population of so-called Sudetenland.

Bohemia was a united kingdom for over a millennium – just by the official history, nevermind the hidden. there was no reason to divide it because one group had majority in one part, especially since large populations of other people lived in those parts (yes, Czechs did live in the so-called Sudetenland, even deep within it). Germans had no interest surrendering land where they were a minority, so why support hypocrisy?

The Czech government was also quite friendly with Stalin’s Soviet Union

is that why Benes refused Stalin’s support?

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

Subscribe to New Columns

148. Mefobills says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:10 am GMT • 16.9 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Charkes the Bald

*This is the part that most **Libertarians cannot fathom**, because of ideology: The simple fact is that any people which is defined rightly as being greedy and acquisitive is also prone to being bribed. If your group morality is based on any form of 'might makes right,' your group morality is based on amoral gain at the expense of others. If you see acquisition and wealth taking as inherently your right, then your morality always is essentially imperialistic and violent, barbarous. And you will be more than open to both making and receiving bribes.*

Libertarian ideology is a Jewish thought construct.

The "Austrians" when they came to America were even housed and given succor by usury recycled through finance capital (private banks), especially Rockefeller.

Plato talked about greed and acquisitive behavior as "Pleonexia." Libertarians euphemize the every-man as some sort of hero, when the population actually consists of all kinds of rubes, sociopaths, psychopaths, etc.

Only government can be evil, and this then gives our (((friends))) room to maneuver and ply their usury game.

• **Agree:** werpor

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

149. Zachary Smith says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:15 am GMT • 16.8 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Odyssey

No one asks why the Russians would conquer Europe when there are no resources and raw materials there.

My opinion, for what it's worth, is that Stalin was a "missionary Communist". Another possible reason is that extending Russia to the Atlantic shores of Europe would safeguard that flank of Russia forever.

Whatever his motives, he had certainly turned Russia into an armed camp.

• **Replies:** @werpor

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

150. SafeNow says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:24 am GMT • 16.7 hours ago • 100 Words ↑



Bear with me if I shift from national policy, to the level of individuals. 16 million Americans served during WWII. (3/4 of them overseas). Virtually every one of those 16 million (who survived) had his life horribly upended, totally unnecessarily. (Including my father, and two uncles.) If you have never seen "The Best Years of Our Lives," you should view it. Many critics consider it the best film ever produced.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

151. Ann Nonny Mouse says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:35 am GMT • 16.5 hours ago ↑

@Justrambling

Yes. It's particularly frightful that holocaust denial is jailable in Germany. And can Germans name baby boys Adolf? And can Jews name baby boys Joshua? The latter really genocidal so the Jews boast about him, even sing about him, and "Josh" seems a common name among them, but Hitler definitely not genocidal.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

152. Mefobills says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:37 am GMT • 16.4 hours ago • 500 Words ↑

@Anonymous

| Let's cut the bullshit already.

It's not just bullshit, it's blood libel.

You cannot accuse a people of mass murder and get away with it. There are three necessary things for a murder:

- 1) A motive
- 2) A murder weapon
- 3) A body

1) The Jews were only 1% of the population, but they did conspire along with their cousins in the finance center to buy up 1/3 of Germany. In addition the Jews were instrumental in WW1, which the Germans called a "stabbing in the back." Jews were also instrumental in the hyperinflation, which then caused mass starvation. The hyperinflation was a "bear raid" shorting attack similar to how George Soros operates today. The Reichsbank had been privatized under the Dawes plan (an American).

So, we can say there was motive, to kill the Jews. Any rational population would be pissed off at being dispossessed from the lands of their fathers.

2) A murder weapon. So far there is masturbating machines, and now the "gas trucks" but the big one is the holocaust murdering gas chambers.

I always challenge any Jew to come up with a single picture of an industrial scale murdering gas chamber. I would also like to see some sort of engineering plans. Some diary entries would be nice, since people of that era kept diaries.

But, Zip Nada Zilch. There are no photos. Silence -Crickets. Or, no evidence since it was bombed.

3) The bodies? Well they were buried alive, or cremated, so no evidence. Quick lets publish some pictures of starved bodies due to American bombing.

The greatest generation burned Germans alive in firebombing campaigns. At end of war they flew around in their P51 mustangs strafing everything that moved. The rail roads were bombed to smithereens. The German skies blackened with bombers, as American industrial capacity output bombers faster than they could train pilots to fly them.

I have an uncle who walked across Germany (he is still alive) and helped liberate the camps. It is all I can do to get him to talk about the subject, he mostly just gets mad when I bring up the Jews. There is a lot of guilt in their head, which is why they won't talk about it. I don't need to go into all of it here, lets just say that I know things from first hand witness who has to be pushed to talk to a relative (me), who means him no harm.

We Americans killed a lot of the Jews, and the Jews themselves are pushing blood libel accusations against the Germans.

The Jews are pretty disgusting in this regard; it is not honorable to push guilt narratives and blood libel accusations.

Where are the damn pictures? Prove your case Jews, or shut up. I happen to know almost firsthand that you are liars.

• **Agree:** [HdC](#)

• **Replies:** [@saggy](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

153. BlackFlag says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:52 am GMT • 16.2 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Mr Chow Mein

Yes, Germany's acquiescence is quite a mystery. Some would point to US bases and say they are occupied. But at this time, if Germany refused to renew leases, would America really be able to garner the political support to use military force to keep the bases?

Is it as simple as German politicians and political parties simply bribed or blackmailed?

Do they really see Russia in its weekend state a threat to Europe?

Are they so ideologically aligned with America, liberalism, rules-based democracy that they sacrifice their own interests?

What about trade incentives? If so, can't China pry them away by offering a better deal?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

154. Odyssey says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:53 am GMT • 16.2 hours ago • 400 Words ↑

@Reg Cæsar

This came (if it came) 1400 years after the founding of the place that was first a fishing village and 800 years after the beginning of the arrival of the Germans. Spandau, Kopenick and other parts of the city are Serbian names. Prussians spoke Serbian until almost 200 or so years ago when it was forbidden by law. For hundreds of years, the genocide of indigenous Serbs took place in today's Germany, forced assimilation, prohibition of using the language, even wearing shoes, and marriages, etc.

Nevertheless, the significant Serbian population somehow survived until WW2 when it was decimated so that there are now about 60 thousand of them. Even the House of Hohenzollern (and Mecklenburg as well) is of Serbian origin (just like Empress Catherine, Martin Luther and Leibniz); Queen Victoria officially declared the name of the English royal house by taking Albert's surname, but since it was 'bizarre' (i.e., Serbian) it was never used and instead became the House of Windsor.

Btw, about Churchill...

Tavistock was working even before it was officially founded. Churchill attended their training. His mother's father was the director of Rothschild's daughter company who had a luxurious house on Fifth Avenue where there was a cologne fountain in the lobby. He sent his two daughters to England to marry as best they could. Churchill's mother fell in love with King Edward and was married to Randolph Churchill.

She cheated on him with the king Edward while her husband also chased whores and got infected. The king sent him to be a governor in Ireland. Churchill's mother boasted that she had

slept with 260 men. The future Serbian king Milan, who studied in Paris, slept with both, her and her sister. He sent letters to her sister inviting her to marry him.

Churchill was a correspondent from Africa on the Boer Wars, but he was never there and reported from Rhodesia where he was with his lover because he was homosexual. Made up that he was kidnapped by the Boers and escaped from captivity and returned to England a great hero. Churchill proposed to establish the first concentration camps in South Africa where English committed a genocide because gold was found on the territory of the Boers.

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3101024/Was-Winston-Churchill-lovechild-King-Serbia-Book-makes-extraordinary-claims-British-hero-s-mother-secret-relationship-Balkan-prince.html>

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

155. [anonymouseperson](#) says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:58 am GMT • 16.1 hours ago ↑

The great irony about Churchill was that he wanted to preserve the British empire and keep Britain a white country. Yet he zealously supported two needless wars with Germany that prevented both of his goals from being realized.

• **Agree:** [HdC](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

156. Wizard of Oz says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:05 am GMT • 16.0 hours ago • 100 Words [↑](#)

@Ron Unz

The reason the Soviets agreed to withdraw their troops from East Germany was that the West promised NATO wouldn't then advance into Eastern Europe. But after the Soviets withdrew and lost their bargaining leverage, the West reneged on the agreement and eventually expanded NATO to Russia's borders.

Why do you believe that?

Just reading links on UR I am left with little doubt that the not novice g East was entirely related to Gorbache's fear of a revived German threat and nothing to do with anything beyond East Germany East of which the Warsaw Pact still existed.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

157. brostoevsky says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:23 am GMT • 15.7 hours ago [↑](#)

@Carlton Meyer

The similarity of the circumstances of both those conflicts have uncanny parallels. That is what Mr. Unz is referring to in his article.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

158. Chuck Berry's Fetish says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:23 am GMT • 15.7 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

the Poles then rather arrogantly refused any border negotiations with Germany, thereby lighting the fuse which eventually led to the German invasion six months later and the subsequent British declaration of war.

There's always that moment, in every Ron Unz article or interview, when Ron hilariously jumps the shark, after a long and steady buildup. This one was a real howler: "rather arrogantly refused any border negotiations..."

All of Ron's articles are very funny, if you read them right.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

159. Ron Unz says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:26 am GMT • 15.6 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Haxo Angmark

actually, Leon Poliakov's *Harvest of Hate: The Nazi Program for the Destruction of the Jews of Europe* was Published in America by U. of Syracuse Press in 1954, having been originally published in France as *Breviare de la Haine* in 1951.

and Gerald Reitlinger's 668 pp. *The Final Solution* came out in 1953.

Sure, I'm aware of those two books. But Poliakov was a longtime Jewish activist and Reitlinger, who I think was also Jewish, was an art historian, so neither of them were the sort of mainstream figures I'm describing.

Just before that, Paul Rassinier, a French socialist who served in various concentration camps, published the first major Holocaust Denial books, though it was some years before they were available in English. I think a Jewish activist named Berg published several Holocaust Denial works also around the same time, based upon his interviews with many Jews around Europe.

But I don't think that any of these works on either side got almost any mainstream media coverage during this period.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

160. Ron Unz says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:39 am GMT • 15.4 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Patrick McNally

This turn to the neocon Zelikow is particularly funny, especially in light of other things which get spouted here. Zelikow's aims are simply to encourage a Russo-German conflict. Hence, a willingness to praise German imperialism in 1916 in order to better motivate more German workers to go on with the conflict.

That's exactly the sort of total nonsense for which you've become notorious on this website.

Zelikow's book seemed very solid and was based upon years of archival research. The story he told was reasonably positive to Imperial Germany and extremely negative to Britain and the US, and I just can't see why making the British and American governments of 100 years ago look bad would be part of some sinister plot to promote our present-day conflict with Putin's Russia.

The bottom line is that Zelikow's work is the first and only book in the English language covering this topic, he's a reputable academic figure, his text seems quite solid, and it received favorable reviews. So any reasonable person would treat it as authoritative unless there's some strong argument on the other side. And only a dishonest propagandist such as yourself would consider a couple of paragraphs buried from some anti-German history book published decades ago as falling into that category and refuting such a solidly-researched book.

Furthermore, as I mentioned in my own article, Zelikow's excellent research merely confirmed what I already knew from reading the contemporaneous 1916 media coverage and Lothrop Stoddard's excellent 1917 volume.

• **Replies:** @Brás Cubas, @SolontoCroesus

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

161. brostoevsky says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:41 am GMT • 15.4 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@One-off

Something different would've happened in 1939. The American people won't fight for the American Zionist empire as we get no benefit from it. Young men are constantly berated, belittled and looked down upon. There is no motive for them to fight for a system that does nothing for them. As a US citizen I'd rather fight for Russia in that conflict. But I'm biased and spent roughly 8+ years living in Russia. If American troops go against Russia they'll get spanked so fast it'll be effing hilarious. With a real historical context comparing Putin to Hitler seems more and more like a compliment surprisingly. Hopefully the cabal gets their asses handed to them this time. Putin is leading the charge. That dude is fighting one big fight. I wish him the best of luck.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

162. JPS says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:42 am GMT • 15.4 hours ago • 100 Words [↑](#)

@QCIC

Anybody who says the Russians would have agreed to any of the things they agreed to if they would have known the US would turn Ukraine into a Banderist state and start a proxy war with Russia by means of terrorizing the Russian speaking population along old WWII battle grounds is a liar. There would have been no pull back from the Iron Curtain or dissolution of the Soviet Union. We'd still have Leftist kikes in this country carrying water for the Soviet Union like they did over four decades of the Cold War!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

163. threadhopper says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:50 am GMT • 15.2 hours ago [↑](#)

If BS were snowflakes, the 'Holocaust' would be a blizzard.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

164. Ron Unz says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:53 am GMT • 15.2 hours ago • 400 Words [↑](#)
@Chebyshev

Ok, so there was one book written by a French author and one by a German author. So, still it appears there was nothing originally written in America about the Holocaust during this period.

As I mentioned upthread, those two books were known to me, but neither really fell into what I was calling “mainstream coverage.” And since the US government had publicly promoted the Holocaust in 1946 and never officially retracted those claims, I think the issue fell into half-way zone for the next 15 years, with some people still believing it but many not.

Raul Hilberg is the academic father of Holocaust Studies and I think the story of what inspired him to focus on the topic probably gives a flavor of this situation:

According to Wikipedia, Hilberg’s family of Austrian Jews coincidentally arrived in the United States on the exact day in 1939 that war broke out, and in his early teens he was soon horrified to read all the news reports of the ongoing extermination of his fellow Jews in the continent his family had left behind, even telephoning Jewish leaders asking why they were doing so little to save their kinsmen from annihilation. He subsequently served in the U.S. military in Europe, then majored in Political Science at Brooklyn College after the end of the conflict. The inspiration for his future scholarly focus seems to have come when he was shocked by a remark made by one of his lecturers, Hans Rosenberg:

The most wicked atrocities perpetrated on a civilian population in modern times occurred during the Napoleonic occupation of Spain.

When Hilberg asked how Rosenberg, himself a German-Jewish refugee, could have so totally ignored the murder of 6 million Jews, a monstrous crime committed just a couple of years earlier, Rosenberg sought to deflect the question, saying that “it was a complicated matter” and “history doesn’t teach down into the present age.” Since Rosenberg was a student of Meinecke, whom Lipstadt has bitterly denounced as an implicit Holocaust Denier, one wonders whether Rosenberg may have shared the beliefs of his mentor but was reluctant to admit that fact to his overwhelmingly Jewish students in emotionally-charged postwar Brooklyn.

<https://www.unz.com/runz/american-pravda-holocaust-denial/#the-rediscovery-of-the-holocaust>

So Hilberg's post-WWII German-Jewish professor didn't explicitly deny the Holocaust, but it seems clear that he was very skeptical of its reality. My strong suspicion is that Rosenberg's views were very similar to those of most mainstream American historians and journalists during this period, explaining why the ADL and other Jewish activist groups never challenged Beatty's 1951 bestseller for ridiculing the Holocaust as debunked wartime propaganda.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

165. Ron Unz says:

July 18, 2023 at 4:08 am GMT • 14.9 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Carolyn Yeager

In addition to being “Fanciful,” it speaks poorly of Ron Unz’s scholarship to take so much of David Irving at face value. It’s pretty ridiculous to still believe, after all this time, the rumor that Goebbels was behind the attacks on Jews when we know it was anti-German interests already put in place that were behind them...My own speculation is that Irving is jealous of Goebbels’ chummy relationship with Hitler right up to the end.

Unz’s reliance on just a few sources—sometimes only one!—for his articles makes him a poor historian. And yet folks here are convinced he’s a genius who can never be wrong.

Look, David Irving is probably the best WWII historian, extremely careful in his use of source material, and his analysis of the circumstances of the *Kristallnacht* riots in his Hitler and Goebbels books seemed very solid and persuasive to me. Perhaps he’s wrong in that particular case, but I’d certainly go with his account over that of some random German writer, about whom I know nothing.

While I suppose it’s possible that Jewish groups somehow orchestrated the anti-Jewish attacks across all of Nazi Germany, that seems exactly like the sort of thing that agitated conspiracy-activists would tend to believe without a shred of solid evidence.

• **Replies:** @Alexandros, @Franklin Ryckaert

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread


166. saggy says: • Website

July 18, 2023 at 4:22 am GMT • 14.7 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Mefobills

But, Zip Nada Zilch. There are no photos. Silence -Crickets. Or, no evidence since it was bombed.

The schematic for the Auschwitz gas chambers was published by prominent hoax historians, R Van Pelt and A Dwork in "Auschwitz, 1270 to the present'




More on these real 'gas chambers', aka fumigation rooms, here

...

<https://web.archive.org/web/20221101074132/https://www.holocaust101.org/>

There not only the info that we don't have, there is the info that we do have, like death certificates for the prisoners that died at Auschwitz and monthly summaries known as Death Books, captured by the Soviets but hidden for 50 years.



I.e., we know exactly what when on in the camps, yet the hoax is not affected, in govt, press, or academia. It would be unbelievable if it were not happening.

Of course none of that is important to Unz, he only wants to know what the academics were saying in 1950-60 that's the real pressing issue, but, who the hell cares besides Unz.

• **Agree:** [Carolyn Yeager](#)

• **Replies:** [@Kali](#), [@Carolyn Yeager](#), [@Franklin Ryckaert](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

167. [peteypies](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 4:35 am GMT • 14.5 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Dumbo](#)

The Good War....by Studs Terkel.....a great read....also Hard Times..... about the depression

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

168. [Zane](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 4:45 am GMT • 14.3 hours ago](#) ↑

A rigged poll in the UK recently declared that the warmongering villain Churchill was the Greatest British Man To Ever Live.

Wow. That's quite some assertion.

The West never can face itself in the mirror regarding " World War 2 " .

• **Thanks:** [Carolyn Yeager](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

169. Zane says:


July 18, 2023 at 4:53 am GMT • 14.2 hours ago ↑

I'll just add one more personal observation about SIR Winston Churchill, if you please.

He just looks like a total creep.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

170. Fiendly Neighbourhood Terrorist says:

July 18, 2023 at 5:04 am GMT • 14.0 hours ago • 500 Words 

Ron Unz is the perfect illustration of the principle that reading a lot does not buy either knowledge or brains. Consider this, right at the beginning:

“Germany had been very successful during the early years of the First World War, repeatedly defeating the Russians while occupying portions of northern France, but nevertheless its leaders then sought to end the horrible mutual slaughter in 1916...Germany agreed to an armistice—an end to the fighting—on the basis of President Woodrow Wilson’s Fourteen Points, which seemed to offer a fair peace without a victory for either side. But this turned out to be a bait-and-switch operation, since once Germany had withdrawn its army from French territory and given up its powerful naval forces, the Allies then imposed a brutal starvation blockade upon the weakened country, inflicting many hundreds of thousands of civilian deaths until the new German government finally accepted very harsh peace terms.”

It may be breaking news to Unz, but

1. Germany was not “doing very well” until it made its peace proposals in 1916: it had lost the Battle Of The Marne and with it the chance of knocking France out of the war. It had only won the Battle Of Tannenberg owing to the incompetence of Tsarist Court favourite generals Rennenkampf and Samsonov [German officer Hoffmann, who was a deputy to Ludendorff, made the point that Rennenkampf need only have “closed with (the Germans) and he would have won”]. It had had to rush forces to Austria and the Ottoman Empire to stop them from being knocked out by Russia and the Western Allies/ANZAC respectively. All its overseas colonies were gone, with only Paul von Lettow-Vorbeck holding out in German East Africa. It had lost the months long Battle Of Verdun and was on the defensive everywhere along the Western Front. It made its peace proposals because it was stuck fast with no way to win.

2. Germany was militarily in collapse by mid 1918 after Ludendorff’s Spring Offensive petered out. Ludendorff himself told the Kaiser that the war was lost, just as von Moltke the

Younger had told the Kaiser that the war was lost after the Marne in 1914. By late 1918 mobile warfare was fully restored, masses of French light tanks had taken the initiative, the Germans were falling back in the West, the Ottomans were crumbling before Allenby's Offensive, the Italians had crushed the Austro-Hungarian Empire and it was disintegrating in real time, Bulgaria was suing for peace, and the German navy was in mutiny.

3. Why on earth would Germany have given up its "powerful" navy (which had been confined to port some 1917, except for U Boats, and was in mutiny) if it had thought it would secure a just and equitable peace?

4. The blockade against Germany began in 1914 and continued through the war. Anyone who knows a thing about the history of WWI knows the average German lost 15-20% body weight during the war years from reduced nutrition. Blockade runners trying to break the blockade were regularly intercepted by British "blockade squadron" cruisers in the North Sea, and included one civilian crewed submarine that made multiple trade voyages to America before Woodrow Wilson manufactured consent to enter the war. The blockade merely *continued* until the Weimar Republic signed Versailles. It did not begin after the war.

With so much utter drivel merely at the start of the article, before even getting to Hitler, there's no point in reading further.

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

171. JimDandy says:

July 18, 2023 at 5:45 am GMT • 13.3 hours ago ↑

@BuelahMan

I'm not disagreeing with you, but high-I.Q. people can also be crazy and delusional. I hear in-breeding contributes to that.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

172. 24th Alabama says:

July 18, 2023 at 7:18 am GMT • 11.8 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

The dismissal of A.J.P. Taylor from his Oxford Lecturer position in 1964 was one of the low points in British academic history, a purely political decision to punish him for asserting that Germany and Hitler were not solely responsible for causing WW II. The British elites, particularly the Jews, were deeply offended that their preeminent historian had failed to conform to the official propaganda line when he authored "The Origins Of WW II."

The irony was that Taylor was anything but an admirer of Hitler or Germany, and considered Hitler to be a rank opportunist. Taylor had been sharply critical of efforts to "appease" Hitler prior to the War, but as he delved into the archives he realized that Germany's adversaries were also culpable and the Jewish victim narrative was questionable, as later confirmed in more detail by David Irving and David Hoggan.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

173. Robertson says:

July 18, 2023 at 7:51 am GMT • 11.2 hours ago • 200 Words .↑

If there wasn't so much cellphone footage of January 6th proving otherwise, we would be led to believe the Capitol Police put down a real planned insurrection and attack on congress instead of a farcial provocateur-provoked crossing of waist-high metal bike-rack-barriers and the walking-through opened-from-the-inside magnetic doors. Cellphones captured the masked-up bullhorn-yelling "scaffold commander" (almost certainly lefty political commentator John Nichols according to J D Rucker, who has a nice article about that), we have plenty of cellphone footage of Ray Epps on the ground exhorting others to enter the capital building the night before and the day of. Neither of these 2 men were arrested. The guy who rolled up the temporary fencing in front of the capital lawn was never arrested either. We have him on cellphone video.

Can you imagine how aggressive the media narrative would be if they didn't have to worry about pesky cellphone videos? When the Ferguson, Missouri trial of police officer Darrel Wilson was due, the Justice Department of Attorney General Eric Holder had the FDA declare a no-fly zone over Ferguson and declared drones illegal to fly over the suburban city. They didn't want the arsonists caught on camera. Lefties now claim police body cams somehow infringe on down market communities' rights. They want to hide truths so they can lie about police brutality, obviously.

It's too bad there weren't cellphone cameras in the 1940s. We would be harder to lie to about that decade if there had been.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

174. Slav says:

July 18, 2023 at 8:25 am GMT • 10.6 hours ago ↑

Germany's original borders were those pre-1938. Look on any map in last 1000 years. The borders of Bohemia and Moravia almost never changed.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

175. Brosi says:

July 18, 2023 at 9:38 am GMT • 9.4 hours ago ↑

@Odyssey

The Serbs also created Wakanda! The Negroes then stole it from Serbia too. For thousands of years every country and ethnic group has been waging war on Serbians for no reason. Oy vey. Anti-Semitism is nothing compared to Anti-Serbianism.

STEVAN TOMOVICH PH.D.

THE HEBREW ORIGIN OF SERBS



Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

176. [RoHa](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 9:44 am GMT • 9.3 hours ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

It will take me a long time to read through all of this, but one thing caught my attention. That was the implication that the US only started taking part in the war at DDay. The writer surely did not intend to imply that. The US joined in in December 1941. US land forces started operations (with impressive incompetence) against Germany in December 1942. This was long before DDay.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

177. Anon[282] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 10:22 am GMT • 8.7 hours ago](#) [↑](#)

Great essay, Ron.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

178. [Brás Cubas](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 11:08 am GMT • 7.9 hours ago](#) • 300 Words [↑](#)

[@Ron Unz](#)

Upon reading your reply, and checking Zelikow's background, I had second thoughts about my previous comment. It seems McNally was overstating Zelikow's machiavellianism. It appears that Zelikow might be categorized as neocon-light at most, and the following passage in Wikipedia especially drew my attention:

[MORE]

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

179. Alexandros says:

July 18, 2023 at 11:08 am GMT • 7.9 hours ago • 300 Words ↑

@Ron Unz

Come on now, Irving is not even close to the best WW2 historian out there.

He has done invaluable research for the field, but that is not the same thing. He is biased, pre conceived and uncritical in the use of sources. His thesis that the holohoax happened but Hitler didn't know about it is childish, contradictory and has brought him a lot of grief in his professional life. Listening to Irving talk about WW2 is like a guy in the interrogation booth trying to craft a story that does not line up with the evidence. He thought he could have his cake and eat it too. The Jews were not impressed and they made him pay for it.

It's the same thing with the Kristallnacht. Irving can't have Hitler being responsible, so he tries to put all the blame on Goebbels. This idea might work on an unsuspecting public which have been conditioned to view the leadership of Germany as a cadre of unhinged madmen, but to a real historian it should be absolutely untenable.

Goebbels was an extremely intelligent and talented man. He prided himself on the Prussian order he imposed on his Gau in Berlin. He was acutely aware of the importance of propaganda when it came to public perception. To suggest that he organized such a riot, even going against the wishes of Adolf Hitler, is a mad thesis in the face of all the evidence to the contrary. The only thing supporting it is the false perception of Goebbels as a madman, and some questionable diary entries coming from a Soviet Archive (ie. likely faked).

Ingrid Weckert's conclusions are far more cogent and in line with the available facts. Anyone who has read both books would not be in any doubt as to which is more trustworthy.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

180. Anonymous[209] • Disclaimer says:

July 18, 2023 at 12:29 pm GMT • 6.6 hours ago ↑

The Jew supremacists shut down the fake Irish sockpuppets because the goyim know. Now they have six million fake Greek sockpuppets like Alexandros to Jewsplain us how WWII is all about them.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

181. [forkyou](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 12:55 pm GMT • 6.1 hours ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

[@SolontoCroesus](#)

yeah, I don't think so. Do your own homework.

either way, think it's incredibly disingenuous and intellectually dishonest to suggest that if, for example, there were a one-day moratorium on any consequences for killing jews, like a one-day open hunting season where you could kill as many jews as you wanted with zero repercussions, that a good percentage of posters on TUR wouldn't pack their pickup trucks full of guns and ammo and have themselves a good-ol' day of jew-hunting.

ironically enough, the jews they would most likely target would be the ones easiest to identify—the hasids.

the irony is that the hasids are anti-trans, anti-LGBT, anti-abortion, extremely conservative—both culturally and politically—and as far as I can tell, don't like black people.

which, aside from the funny clothing and customs, makes their values perfectly aligned with the white nationalists.

• **LOL:** [SolontoCroesus](#)

• **Replies:** [@SolontoCroesus](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

182. Franklin Ryckaert says:

July 18, 2023 at 12:56 pm GMT • 6.1 hours ago · 100 Words ↑

@Ron Unz

“...While I suppose it’s possible that Jewish groups somehow orchestrated the anti-Jewish attacks across all of Nazi Germany, that seems exactly like the sort of thing that agitated conspiracy-activists would tend to believe without a shred of solid evidence...”

Indeed, the idea that “the Jews orchestrated *Kristallnacht*” has been debunked here:

<https://www.hdot.org/debunking-denial/>

Chapters : Introduction: Kristallnacht, Kristallnacht: Hitler’s Authorization, Kristallnacht: Hitler Did Not Try to Stop it, Kristallnacht: No Jewish Conspiracy, Kristallnacht: the Fate of Perpetrators, Kristallnacht: Damages and Death.

A pity for Carolyn Yeager *e tutti quanti*.

• **LOL:** Brosi

• **Replies:** @Carolyn Yeager

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

183. Odd Rabbit says:

July 18, 2023 at 12:57 pm GMT • 6.1 hours ago ↑

@JWalters

I agree, You said all the essentials in a nutshell

It’s great that we can freely discuss these things here and try to get the world on a better track.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

184. Brosi says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:14 pm GMT • 5.8 hours ago • 600 Words ↑

@Alexandros

jewish PsyOp Reichskristallnacht By Ingrid Weckert

...Conclusion

I have tried to point out just a few unmentioned aspects of the Crystal Night issue which, in my opinion, give a picture of what actually happened that is entirely different than the one generally accepted. I am convinced that neither the German government nor the leaders of the National Socialist Party instigated the Crystal Night. Ultimately it was not the Jews but the Germans who suffered most as a result of this event. Even persons sympathetic to National Socialism are still appalled when they think of the Crystal Night. Many are under the impression that murder and arson were quite common under National Socialism and that no Jew could be sure of his life or property. National Socialist Germany was supposedly a country without any civil rights. The Crystal Night incident was indeed one of the darkest episodes of German history in the era of 1933 to 1945. **But based on all of the available evidence, these demonstrations were neither thought up nor organized by German Party or government officials. In fact, they were completely surprised and shocked when they learned of the riot and destruction. The pogrom must have been thought up and organized by those who actually benefited from it and who wanted to create havoc in Germany.**

Who could they have been? If we keep in mind the deep involvement of the Jewish organization LICA in the murder of vom Rath, we may ask: *Could the Jews themselves have hoped to benefit from a pogrom? In the aftermath of the Crystal Night, the world press became overwhelmingly sympathetic to the Jews, which is precisely what they wanted above all else. The Zionists in particular counted on worldwide support in their struggle against England, which then ruled Palestine as a British mandate. Jewish immigration to Palestine was strictly limited at that time by the British because of vehement Arab opposition to the arrival of ever larger numbers of Jews. As a result, the number of Jewish immigrants dropped in 1938 to the lowest level since the beginning of the century, when the Zionist mass migration to Palestine began.*

To stabilize the situation, the British formulated a partition plan dividing Palestine into Arab and Jewish portions. Despite serious reservations, the Jews agreed to the plan, but the Arabs did not. They responded with an uprising known as the Arab Revolt. In March 1938 the British government sent Sir Harold MacMichael as High Commissioner to Palestine. He succeeded in suppressing the uprising, but to appease the Arabs he promised to urge his government to abandon the partition plan and halt further Jewish immigration. MacMichael returned to London in October 1938 to discuss his proposals with the British parliament. The scheduled date for the final decision was 8 November 1938, the day on which the Crystal Night violence actually began.

German Embassy Secretary Ernst vom Rath had been shot just one day earlier, on 7 November. The conspirators no doubt hoped that vom Rath would die immediately, in which case the anti-Jewish demonstrations would

probably have also started on the 7th. Could someone have hoped that a pogrom in nearby Germany would influence the British to change their Palestine policy? Or that it would induce the outside world to exert pressure on Britain to open Palestine to the Jews who were being so terribly treated in Germany?

Perfidious England and her Jewish Pals orchestrated Kristalnacht, just like they did Gleiwitz. It fits the same 2000 year old biblical pattern in every way. The Jew cries out in pain as he strikes you.

• **Agree:** [Arthur MacBride](#)

• **Thanks:** [Kali](#)

• **Replies:** [@anarchyst](#), [@Franklin Ryckaert](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

185. [werpor](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 1:29 pm GMT • 5.6 hours ago • 400 Words](#) ↑

[@Zachary_Smith](#)

Stalin was a nationalist. Of course he had to deal with the circumstances as he found them. He most certainly understood that the West wanted to transfer their entire bag of methodologies into the USSR. He was and is still demonized. But more because he was far more clever than he was made to appear. He anticipated that the West would move on Russia. ... Which of course meant Jews in whatever guise they wore.

He well understood the overall situation. Or else why did he remove the entire manufacturing capacity east of the Urals anticipating the capacity would be vulnerable to any incursions from the west no matter how that might happen. That manufacturing capacity was able to operate without threat. And of course it operated day and night churning out tanks, guns, and munitions.

Russians have no interest in being conquered by the west. Their methods are essentially defensive. Of course after WW II Stalin kept the west at bay by extending Russian territorial control — the perimeter holdings comprised a protective curtain against the Jewish controlled West.

The Jews run a system constantly in need of further expansion. As Putin pointed out the Russian people have had plenty of evidence of Russia being a prize the Jews cannot resist. The Russians resisted and broke Napoleon. They resisted the Bolsheviks and purged them in the late 1930s. They resisted the Germans in the 1940s. They purged the oligarchs in the 1990s and now in 2023 Putin is again armouring Russia against yet another attempt to bring Russia into the Western orbit of the Rules Based Order. The Rules Based Order is based on a never resting vision of Global domination.

The “world” in the Jewish conception is one where they would control the entire ball of wax. But thankfully the Russians, Chinese, and no doubt most thinking people prefer the emerging characterization of the “world” being multi polar instead.

Nationalism is not in the Globalists’ interest — nationalism having been intentionally denigrated as the cause of WW II. When in fact it was, even in those years, the Globalist impulse which was the cause of that global conflagration. Wherever the Jews get hold of the levers of power they subvert the national interest of its citizens — while using the force of the arms they hijack from those citizens — to project their banking oligarchy outward — manifesting as Globalism.

• **Replies:** [@Poupon Marx](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

186. Patrick McNally says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:37 pm GMT • 5.4 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Ron Unz

At the time of the early Cold War, the emphasis would have been on bringing West Germany into the fold of NATO. Any historian of modern Germany with a prominent place at Harvard or wherever would have been more concerned with writing things that promote the sense of Germans as good allies, not harping on atrocity stories from the recent war. Even major Jewish leaders such as Rabbi Stephen Wise or Chaim Weizmann would have realized after 1945 that shifting emphasis in the coming years was a smart idea.

Even so, Gerald Reitlinger and Leon Poliakov did produce books at that time. Whether or not one finds their claims convincing, the point is that there is no reason to expect that Cold War academia should have wanted to push the matter any further at the time. What was more the rage at the time was studying how Germany and Japan could be made into useful allies, not arguing about atrocities from the last war. By the 1970s the 'economic miracle' of postwar West Germany was so well known that these considerations no longer applied.

• **Replies:** @Ron Unz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

187. SolontoCroesus says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:38 pm GMT • 5.4 hours ago ↑

@forkyou

it's incredibly disingenuous and intellectually dishonest to suggest that if, for example, there were a one-day moratorium on any consequences for killing jews, like a one-day open hunting season where you could kill as many jews as you wanted with zero repercussions, that **a good percentage of posters on TUR** wouldn't **pack their pickup trucks full of guns and ammo and have themselves a good-ol' day of jew-hunting.**

fun exercise — (in intellectual honesty)

Match up States with largest pickup truck ownership
with

States with most Jews.

(Lucky thing Arabs invented Zero so the number of pick ups in NYC where most Hasids live *aside from Kryas Joes –can be tabulated)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

188. Patrick McNally says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:57 pm GMT • 5.1 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Alexandros

The facts, as David Irving describes, is that Goebbels egged on the SA in a way which bypassed their traditional command structure. Then the whole incident was used to discredit the SA and grant enhanced authority to Himmler. Weckert's really silly claim is that supposedly a significant underground SPECOP was carried out by hidden Jewish organization all across Germany, and Hitler responded by promoting Himmler. That makes no sense at all. If such a failure of internal security had occurred, then Himmler would have been replaced (and possibly sent to Dachau) while the whole SS and Gestapo would have been shaken up. It was obvious that Hitler was not perturbed by any purported security failure, so we can discount the whole idea that undercover Zionist agents played any role in Crystal Night. What it did accomplish was to discredit the SA at a time when they seemed to be coming back, and instead strengthened the SS. That's the logical place to look for an explanation.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

189. Kali says:

July 18, 2023 at 1:57 pm GMT • 5.1 hours ago • 200 Words ↑
@saggy.

Of course none of that is important to Unz, he only wants to know what the academics were saying in 1950-60 ... that's the real pressing issue, but, who the hell cares besides Unz.

Ron Unz is doing everything he can to bring the truth, as closely as it can be ascertained, to as wide an audience as possible, using as many credible (and incredible) historical sources as he has examined (which is more than most of us) in order to do so.

And you have a problem with him asking for evidence that the alleged extermination of European jews was considered anything other than wartime propaganda in those early years following the war, why?

Right now, it appears that the amorphous “masses” are being lied into yet another disastrous war by the leaders of the west and their mockingbird media. If by Ron and others sounding the alarm, maybe, just maybe, enough people will hear the truth before it's too late, and war will be averted as outraged people demand answers.

So rather than nit-picking and fault finding, how about you express a little gratitude instead. – The same goes for the Yeager woman.

Regards,
Kali.

• **Agree:** threadhopper

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

190. Patrick McNally says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:04 pm GMT • 5.0 hours ago • 100 Words 

@SolontoCroesus

> the details Ingrid Weckert adds to information about the events of Nov 7 – 10 seem evidence-based, ingenuous, and persuasive.

The only persuasive argument Weckert is able to make is that there was likely an unstated political motive behind the events of Crystal Night, rather than just the matter of general riots. As I've said, the most logical political motive, based on cui bono, is that Goebbels was being manipulated by Hitler and Himmler to discredit the SA, who were trying to revive their influence since Hitler had moved against the army. Weckert does not at all seriously address the implications of the kind of security failure which her thesis implies, a failure which would have been the fault of Himmler if her thesis were true. The fact that Himmler so greatly rewarded by Hitler after Crystal Night is a clear sign that no security failure was suspected to have occurred.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

191. [anarchyst](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 2:29 pm GMT • 4.6 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Brosi](#)

You make an excellent point.

Fast forward to the 2020 “summer of love” riots.

The perpetrators were the same people who had an agenda and controlled the situation (the powers that be).

These “riots” are a parallel to the Kristallnacht jewish-engineered “riots” in Germany.

Regards,

• **Replies:** [@Brosi](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree/Disagree/Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

192. [fnn](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 2:44 pm GMT • 4.3 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

[@Patrick McNally](#)


| Zelikow’s aims are simply to encourage a Russo-German conflict.

It’s hard to visualize such a thing when about 75% of the Germans (or whoever is living in Germany these days) are aggressively anti-patriotic to the point of advocating for the disappearance of the Germans. It says something strange when the Greens are the most pro-war party in Germany. What is the role of Germany in all this when Germany is militarily toothless and is in the process of deindustrializing?

• **Replies:** [@Patrick McNally](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree/Disagree/Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

193. Patrick McNally says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:49 pm GMT • 4.2 hours ago • 200 Words 
@HdC

> Czech president Hache travelled to Berlin to ask the German government to protect his country against Soviet invasion.

What a ridiculous hoax.

—

At noon on 14 March, Keitel reported to Hitler that the Wehrmacht was poised on the Czech frontier, and could invade – as ordered – at 6 A.M. Hundreds of Luftwaffe bombers were standing by. To throttle, if not entirely silence, foreign criticism Hitler informed Prague that it would be to their “great advantage” if Dr. Hacha, despite age and infirmity, would travel to Berlin that very day to meet him. At 2:15 P.M. the German legation in Prague reported that Hacha would come to Berlin by train that evening – his heart would not stand the strain of flying. Hitler confidently instructed Keitel to issue orders to the army to invade at 6 A.M., whatever the outcome of the talk with Hacha. Keitel was to return to the Chancellery building at 9 P.M.

—

— David Irving, *The War Path*, p. 187, 2013 edition.

There was nothing about fears of an alleged Soviet invasion involved in this.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

194. Franklin Ryckaert says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:55 pm GMT • 4.1 hours ago • 100 Words ↑
@Brosi

“...Josef Goebbels, the Nazi Minister of Propaganda, was informed that disturbances had broken out in two locations in Germany. Hitler and Goebbels discussed these incidents before attending a dinner together at the Old Town Hall in Munich. Several eyewitnesses reported that Goebbels and Hitler had an intense discussion together at dinner that night. Goebbels’ diary entry for that day read: “I go to the Party reception in the Old Town Hall. Colossal activity. **I brief the Führer about the matter. He orders: let the demonstrations go on. Withdraw the police. The Jews must for once feel the people’s fury. That is right...**”

Source: <https://www.hdot.org/debunking-denial/kn2-hitlers-authorization/>

But of course, now you will claim that the Jews wrote Goebbels’ diary...

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

195. Ron Unz says:

July 18, 2023 at 2:57 pm GMT • 4.1 hours ago • 200 Words ↑
@Patrick McNally

At the time of the early Cold War, the emphasis would have been on bringing West Germany into the fold of NATO. Any historian of modern Germany with a prominent place at Harvard or wherever would have been more concerned with writing things that promote the sense of Germans as good allies, not harping on atrocity stories from the recent war....Even so, Gerald Reitlinger and Leon Poliakov did produce books at that time.

Look, you're just a dishonest shill...

You haven't explained the huge national 1951 bestseller by Prof. John Beaty, who'd been a senior figure in wartime Military Intelligence, and denounced the Holocaust as a debunked wartime propaganda-hoax in his book, which was publicly endorsed by a long list of top American generals.

Since Beaty was a rightwinger, very critical of Jewish groups, he was ferociously attacked by the ADL and other Jewish activist organizations, which denounced him as deranged, but they never challenged his explicit Holocaust Denial. How does that make any sense?

Once his book became so enormously popular, it drew a couple of harshly negative academic reviews that strongly focused all his alleged factual errors, some of which were indeed errors. But those reviews also never challenged his Holocaust Denial.

[https://www.jstor.org/action/doBasicSearch?
Query=ti%3A%22The%20Iron%20Curtain%20Over%20America
%22](https://www.jstor.org/action/doBasicSearch?Query=ti%3A%22The%20Iron%20Curtain%20Over%20America%22)

What possible explanation is there, other than that few mainstream people believed in the reality of the Holocaust during the early 1950s?

• **Replies:** @Patrick McNally, @Andrew Mathis

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

196. Rabb says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:03 pm GMT • 4.0 hours ago • 100 Words [↑](#)

Thanks Ron, very interesting.

I hope that we all note that many of the courageous truth researchers/tellers today are, like Mr Unz, themselves Jewish. Mr Unz, do you personally fear that, when the shit hits the fan, the masses will generalize and not distinguish good from evil Jews?

Separately, isn't it interesting that, if the research RFK cited is correct, Covid tended to spare east Asians, Jews, and Finns. Finns have the highest IQ in Europe and, as we know, Asians and Ashkenazi Jews have high average IQ. Could IQ be a marker for a targeted virus?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

197. Patrick McNally says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:17 pm GMT • 3.8 hours ago [↑](#)

[@fnn](#)

If anything, your comment just highlights why Zelikow would wish to encourage a kinder, gentler image of Ludendorff, Hindenburg and Kaiser Wilhelm II. As you say, it's a problem when the Greens are the most pro-war party out there.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

198. Carolyn Yeager says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:18 pm GMT • 3.8 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@saggy

Thanks Saggy. This is a real problem that we have that well-meaning but basically cowardly and/or under-educated 'revisionist' types who comment here do not want to acknowledge. *Don't turn our people's salvation or our truth over to the care of Jews!* And just say no to bullshit. Don't talk nicey-nice to it, or try to *persuade*. Facts are facts, aren't they? Evidence is evidence, although Jews and other lefties have been able to persuade many of us that that is not always so.

These people don't want to be on the wrong side of what is a fun activity for them. Entertainment trumps everything else! Entertainment or bust! Isn't that the secret Jewish weapon? "Let us entertain you."

• **Troll:** Robertson

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

199. [Patrick McNally](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 3:27 pm GMT • 3.6 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Ron Unz](#)

There isn't anything substantive about Beaty's book. It's no surprise that Arthur Butz made a brief mention of it and then went to focus more on Reitlinger and Hilberg as authors of importance. Beaty goes off about the Khazar hypothesis. Whatever one's opinion on that issue of Khazars and Jews, it has no real pertinence to the issue of what actually happened in camps located in the east of Europe during 1942-4. No serious academic would have taken Beaty seriously one way or another. Saying that it was a best-seller is meaningless. Lots of stupid books have been best-sellers, and that will remain true in the future. From the point of view of establishment academia at that time, the important issue would simply have been that of promoting West Germany as a dependable Cold War ally and leaving other issues for a later time. This would also have been fully accepted by Jewish organizations of the time.

• **Troll:** [Robertson](#)

• **Replies:** [@Robertson](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

200. [Andrew Mathis](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 3:51 pm GMT • 3.2 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Ron Unz](#)

"Look, you're just a dishonest shill..."

What an asshole

• **Troll:** [Robertson](#)

• **Replies:** [@Brosi](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

201. Brosi says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:54 pm GMT • 3.2 hours ago • 700 Words ↑

@anarchyst

| Fast forward to the 2020 “summer of love” riots.

Or rewind back to the Russian or even the French Revolution.

One fascinating extract from that Weckert essay concerns Moro Giafferi, a Jewish Lawyer:

Grynszpan was arrested at the scene and was taken to a police station. Although he was a totally obscure Polish Jew with no money and no apparent supporters, nevertheless one of France's most famous lawyers, Moro Giafferi, appeared at the police station a few hours after the shooting and told the police that he was Grynszpan's attorney. Nothing could possibly have appeared about the shooting in any newspaper before his arrival. How then could Moro Giafferi have possibly known about the shooting? Why was he so eager to defend this young foreigner? And finally, who was going to pay his attorney fees? As it turned out, Giafferi took good care of Grynszpan during the following year

...

Incidentally, the Grynszpan family also survived the war. The young man's father, mother, brother and sister were deported to Poland as a result of the Polish passport affair and shortly thereafter were somehow able to emigrate to Palestine. Amazingly enough, this took place at a time when immigration to Palestine was limited to persons who possessed at least 1,000 English pounds in cash. Grynszpan's father, a poor piece-work tailor, certainly never had a fortune of 4,000 English pounds. Many years after the war the father testified at the Eichmann trial in Jerusalem that he and his family had to give up all of their money except for ten marks per family member when they arrived at the German-Polish border in October 1938. ¹⁰ How did they ever raise the 4,000 English pounds only a short time later for their migration to Palestine? Who organized their move?

Perhaps the answer to all of these questions is ... **Moro Giafferi! He was not a sorcerer, but someone even more powerful: he was the legal counsel of the LICA. The LICA was founded in Paris in 1933 by the Jew Bernard Lecache and operated as a militant propaganda organization against real or imagined anti-Semitism.** Its main office is still in Paris at the same address it was at in 1938. (Now known as the LICRA, it unsuccessfully sued Robert Faurisson a few years ago.) Moro Giafferi was well worth the fees LICA paid him as its legal counsel. He apparently enjoyed spectacular scenes. He had already achieved international renown at a mass meeting in Paris following the Berlin Reichstag fire of February 1933. Without knowing at all what had happened, he nevertheless delivered a spiteful speech against National Socialist Germany in which he accused Hermann Göring of setting the fire. In February 1936 Giafferi hurried to Davos, Switzerland, where the Jew David Frankfurter had shot and killed Wilhelm Gustloff, the head of the Swiss branch of the German National Socialist Party. During the subsequent trial it was clearly established that Frankfurter had been a hired murderer with backing from an unidentified but influential organization. All clues pointed to the LICA, but with Moro Giafferi as his defense counsel, Frankfurter remained silent about who, if anyone, had hired him. Amazingly enough, Frankfurter's

answers to questions about the shooting showed the same pattern as Grynszpan's answers almost three years later after Giafferi arrived to help following the shooting of Ernst vom Rath.

After Hitler shut down the Weimar bar mitzvah, Jew and Jewish lawyers working for a Jewish organization called LICA started paying for fancy Jewish lawyers to represent Jewish Assassins. This could come right out of Kinoshah where Rittenhaus shot the Jew Grossman who consequently came after him with Jewish Lawyers and Jewish Lawfare.

But it gets better when this power Jew lawyer Giafferi (think of Alan Dershowitz) happens to be up to his neck in not only the vom Rath assassination, but the assassination of Gustloff and even the Reichstag fire! Clearly, LICA is the 1938 French equivalent to the ADL or the SPLC. Their sole purpose is to project Jewish power and to protect depraved Jews from meeting Christian Justice. Finally, Both Frankfurter and Grynszpan appear to have survived the "Holocaust" despite being notorious Jews who had assassinated NSDAP officials.

• **Replies:** [@Prince Saradine](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

202. Franklin Ryckaert says:

July 18, 2023 at 3:55 pm GMT • 3.1 hours ago • 200 Words 

@saggy

About those Auschwitz Death Books:

“...Jewish people killed directly after their arrival without prior registration in the camp books were not entered into the death records. This can be shown again by documentary evidence.

On 21 and 24 January 1943, 3.383 Jews considered unfit for work were “specially lodged” in Auschwitz. The term was a variant of “special treatment” (see telex from Heinrich Schwarz to SS-WVHA of 5 March 1943), which in turn was an euphemism at the concentration camp administrations for unnatural deaths without a judicial decision.

However, the death books contain only 2.841 Jewish deaths for the entire months of January 1943. Therefore, it is clear that Jews selected as unfit for work at the ramp and killed after their arrival without registration in the camp books were not registered in the death books either, even when this was still practised for the deaths among registered Jews.


In summary, the death books do not allow any conclusion on the total number of Jews ceased in the Auschwitz concentration camp complex nor that Jews were not murdered there...”

Source:

<https://holocaustcontroversies.blogspot.com/2013/08/open-letter-to-nick-kollerstrom-on.html#more>

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

203. Chebyshev says:

July 18, 2023 at 4:15 pm GMT • 2.8 hours ago 

The formula for world peace is to allow large nations to have adequate amounts of weaponry, and to not allow hostile neighbors of those large nations to have too many weapons. Versailles and recent NATO expansion plans violated this.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

204. Carolyn Yeager says:

July 18, 2023 at 4:31 pm GMT • 2.5 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Franklin Ryckaert

Linking to Deborah Lipstadt's page of holocaust hysteria without even a word of actual argument from your own lips (let alone brain) just shows what a empty bag of tepid air you are.

After all these years you've been pushing "the Holocaust happened" refrain as an Internet 'commenter' on other people's sites and you don't even have a single page of your own making. What does that say for your knowledge of the actual happenings? You have none. All you have is unstinting hatred of German National Socialists, for whatever Freudian reason it is.

Poor Franklin is reduced to teaming up with Jews, and the U.S. Special Envoy for Combating Antisemitism, no less.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deborah_Lipstadt Aren't you a little confused, my boy?

• **Agree:** Brosi

• **Replies:** @Franklin Ryckaert

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

205. Brosi says:

July 18, 2023 at 5:05 pm GMT • 2.0 hours ago • 400 Words ↑

@Andrew Mathis

If you want to play white knight you could certainly find someone more worthy of a rescue operation than Patrick McNally.

Here are just a few of the more poignant comments by Ron Unz concerting the troll known as “Patrick McNally” who constantly spams UR threads :

<https://www.unz.com/runz/more-falsehoods-of-world-war-ii>

I wonder whether you’ve actually ever read any Suvorov’s books or merely rely upon what you can glean from Internet reviews and such.

<https://www.unz.com/runz/why-everything-you-know-about-world-war-ii-is-wrong>

I wouldn’t pay any attention to “Patrick McNally.” He’s a notorious fraud who hangs around this website, regularly making absurd claims such as that few of the early Bolshevik leaders were Jewish.

I sometimes wonder whether you’re related to Bernie Madoff or perhaps trained under him. He was an extraordinarily bold fraudster, and you seem much the same.

Look, you’re just a dishonest propagandist, very possibly paid to spend endless hours on this website spouting your obfuscating nonsense.

Your sophisticated arguments are clearly aimed at stupid, gullible people.

But your willingness to simply ignore all this direct personal testimony simply proves once again that you’re just a dishonest shill.

You, on the other hand, seem to have ultra-conventional views on Jews, the Bolshevik Revolution, and World War II. That raises all sorts of questions about why you would spend so much of your time on this website, writing such detailed comments totaling hundreds of thousands of words defending the conventional narrative and disputing the obvious reality that the top early Bolshevik leadership was overwhelmingly Jewish. Why put in so much time and effort on this fringe-website? Don't you have a job at which you need to be earning a living?

Perhaps you're just a very publicly-spirited citizen, and commenting on this website is your primary leisure activity. But I think it's far more likely that commenting on this website is your job and how you earn your living. Presumably you're being paid by the ADL or some similar sort of organization to obfuscate those facts they wish to see obfuscated.

I whole heartedly agree with Mr. Unz.

• **Agree:** [Prince Saradine](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

206. [Poupon Marx](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 5:14 pm GMT](#) • [1.8 hours ago](#) • [900 Words](#) ↑

[@werpor](#)

The prime mover for the Jeuropeon Junion was The Coudenhove-Kalergi Plan – The Genocide of the Peoples of Europe

<https://expose-news.com/2022/03/19/the-genocide-of-the-peoples-of-europe/>

[MORE]

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

207. SolontoCroesus says:

July 18, 2023 at 5:23 pm GMT • 1.7 hours ago • 700 Words ↑

@Ron Unz

1. I re-read the pages in *Hitler's War* where Kristallnacht is discussed; found no reference to Goebbel's romantic entanglements nor any indication that he had fallen precipitously from Hitler's good graces.

I assume that material is from Irving's Goebbels book, which, astonishing as it is, is on the shelves of none of the 50+ libraries in my area.

2. USHMM's version of Kristallnacht events emphasizes that the events of Nov. 7 – 10, 1933 had a direct impact on migration of Jews to USA.

<https://encyclopedia.ushmm.org/content/en/article/kristallnacht>

McNally noted in #195 that Weckert's version claimed "there was likely an unstated political motive behind the events of Crystal Night, rather than just the matter of general riots."

I agree.

I made no effort to trace the "Hitler liked Himmler better than Goebbels" plot — had enough of that with kindergarteners. Furthermore, In Breitbart & Lichtman's *FDR and the Jews*, pointed mention is made that "NSDAP *quelled physical violence against Jews until Kristallnacht*", so it makes little sense that NSDAP leadership would tolerate "just a riot."

There had to be a political agenda.

USHMM's mention of the impact on immigration suggests to me that BOTH SIDES — German leadership and zionist leadership and Europe-based Jewish activists — saw in the simmering turmoil an opportunity for a final push for greater migration of German Jews out of Germany and into Palestine; and to force FDR to admit more German Jews to USA.

The two “adversaries,” NSDAP and Zionists, had been working toward the same goal — removing German Jews from Germany — for four or five years, as Black’s *Transfer Agreement* revealed. These goals were consistent with Louis Brandeis’s Feb. 14, 1933, directive to Rabbi Stephen Wise that **“all 587,000 German Jews must leave Germany. No Jew should remain in Germany. Let Germany share the fate of Spain.”**

As well, Francis Nicosia’s *Zionism and Anti-Semitism in Nazi Germany* devotes several pages (137-) to detailed discussion of the ways Zionists operated “under the noses” of Gestapo and in collaboration with German SD [Security Services]; that emigration had been extended to Austrian Jews:

“By the end of October 1938, Eichmann’s Central Office for Jewish Emigration in Vienna boasted that it had already forced the emigration of more than 50,000 Austrian Jews, considerably more than had ever been removed from pre-*Anschluss* Germany in a single year.”

In the same time period, Nicosia noted,

“immigration opportunities into other countries for German and Austrian Jews were disappearing. Entry into Palestine had also become more difficult as a result of British restrictions on Jewish immigration . . .”

Nor were the British or any other country welcoming European Jews into England.

The Truth About FDR and the Jews, Rafael Medoff quotes FDR’s repeated statements that “a Jews should be scattered thinly among many locations;” and lists the numerous times and ways that FDR resisted, frustrated, and was downright antisemitic in his attitude toward increasing Jewish migration into USA.

Nevertheless, USHMM reports:

“At his press conference on November 15, 1938, one week after Kristallnacht, President Franklin D. Roosevelt denounced Nazi Germany’s terror attack on Jews, saying, “I myself could scarcely believe that such things could occur in a twentieth-century civilization.”

Yet he stuck to the quota system, although

Labor Secretary Frances Perkins, whose department oversaw the Immigration and Naturalization Service, persuaded President Roosevelt to allow approximately 12,000 Germans, most of whom were Jews and already in the United States on visitor visas, to remain in the country indefinitely. Although he knew extending the visas could raise congressional objection, the president made his position clear. “I cannot,” he said, “in any decent humanity, throw them out.” Indeed, no Jews were forced to leave the United States to return to Nazi-occupied Europe for the duration of the war.

and

“Some lawmakers who hoped to change the country’s restrictive immigration quota laws saw an opportunity in the wave of sympathy among Americans for refugees after Kristallnacht. On February 9, 1939, Senator Robert F. Wagner (D-NY) and Representative Edith Nourse Rogers (R-MA) introduced identical bills into Congress to offer refuge to 20,000 children under 14 from the Greater German Reich.

In short, the pattern of events suggests to me that Kristallnacht was not a planned event, but that both sides “did not let a crisis go to waste” and, while simultaneously seeking to minimize damage and outrage and and deflect culpability, exploited the events to further their disparate aims.

• **Replies:** [@SolontoCroesus](#), [@Patrick McNally](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

208. Skeptikal says:

July 18, 2023 at 5:32 pm GMT • 1.5 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

@Ron Unz

Don't forget that Kaiser Wilhelm was Queen Victoria's grandson. And that the English royal family is actually German.

There was no intrinsic reason for the English to hate the Germans.

Which didn't stop Edward VII from scheming to start the war against Germany and beat her down. Under the influence of a group of plotters. Germany, just recently unified, in 1871, was advancing economically and socially (such as Bismarck's programs to the living standards and public health of all Germans, especially urban workers) and posing a competitive threat to the British Empire.

This is the view of Docherty and Macgregor (and other historians) as they set forth in great detail in *Hidden History: The Secret Origins of the First World War*.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

209. Poupon Marx says:

July 18, 2023 at 5:34 pm GMT • 1.5 hours ago ↑

@Carlton Meyer

Eric Hoffer is essential reading. "The True Believer", a study of mass movements. His other books are illuminating. His life mirrors mine in some ways.



Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

210. [SolontoCroesus](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 5:38 pm GMT](#) • 1.4 hours ago • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@SolontoCroesus](#)

edit:

Kristallnacht was not a planned event, but that both sides “did not let a crisis go to waste” and, while simultaneously seeking to minimize damage and outrage and and deflect culpability, exploited the events to further their disparate aims.

This statement is more even-handed than what, on second thought, I think is warranted.

The duplicity surrounding Hershel Grynszpan fits a pattern of provocation that would have predictable results, especially as those “results” were nudged along by activists-on-the-ground. Those provocations were, obviously, *planned* and carried out by- and for- Jewish interests.

Jews persons-groups set in motion the events that culminated in Kristallnacht.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

211. Skeptikal says:

July 18, 2023 at 5:58 pm GMT • 1.1 hours ago • 300 Words ↑

@anon

Isn't it possible that American officers might have had little to say about crematoria in, say, Auschwitz, because

(1) it was the Russians who liberated Auschwitz and saw the conditions there firsthand, and

(2) there might have been a motivation to discredit anything the Russians said about the war, or about the Germans, since they—the Russians—were already the new adversary, and

(3) American officers might not have been able to communicate directly with German, Polish, Russian, Gypsy, French, and other prisoners and so might have remained in the dark?

I am curious as to Ron Unz's take on the information presented in Danuta Czech's Auschwitz Kalendarium. I know that Unz does not himself speak German, so his ability to check up on source material is limited. However, the Auschwitz Kalendarium, and its documentation, have been translated into English, as Auschwitz Chronicle.

It seems to me highly possible that Jewish factions wildly exaggerated the numbers of Jews burned in the crematoria. IMO delving into this history and trying to figure out just how many died, and how, in KZs located in Germany, France, Poland, Austria, and elsewhere is an absolutely legitimate project for historians. Evidence of the invoices etc. from the Topf company that built the crematoria and evidence from the Chronicle is clear on the existence of selections and crematoria. This evidence needs to be answered. I haven't read Irving's books, so I don't know how he deals with this. I have, however, read the complete Auschwitz Kalendarium.

The narrative of the monster Hitler as being the sole cause of WW2 is ridiculous.

Certainly, Hitler and Germany had national security interests just as Putin and Russia have valid national security interests. However, I also consider somewhat ridiculous Mr. Unz's statement that Hitler was getting along pretty well with the Jewish German community before 1938. Yes, this was pre-ovens, but not pre-reeducation camps, and other totalitarian policies that especially targeted Jews. A shocking era for assimilated Jewish Germans who realized that they had better flee for their lives, or go underground, while they still could do so.

• **Replies:** [@Patrick McNally](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

212. Franklin Ryckaert says:

July 18, 2023 at 6:16 pm GMT • 47 minutes ago • 200 Words ↑
@Carolyn Yeager

I didn't know that that website was of Deborah Lipstadt, who is indeed of somewhat dubious reputation. I found that website on the blogroll of *Holocaust Controversies* and quoted from it without having read its "about" information.

Yes indeed I believe that essentially the holocaust did happen, no matter how much "embellished" with Jewish exaggerations or even outright lies, no matter how much misused in what Norman Finkelstein calls "the Holocaust Industry" and no matter how much its belief enforced by "anti-Holocaust denial" laws (after all Jews will be Jews).

And I do condemn Hitler for his crimes against the Jews and his even more sinister plans with the Slavic peoples of eastern Europe, no matter how much success he had in the beginning with restoring Germany, and no matter how much guilty the Allies themselves were.

But such an opinion requires a "both-and" way of thinking instead of black-and-white thinking to which you have wedded yourself.

I will conclude with a little task for you to read and then revise (or rather revoke) your *Auschwitz: the Underground Guided Tour*:

<https://holocaustcontroversies.blogspot.com/2017/05/rebutting-twitter-denial-most-popular.html#auschwitzswimmingpool>

If you are honest, you will follow my advice.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

213. Patrick McNally says:

July 18, 2023 at 6:22 pm GMT • 41 minutes ago · 100 Words ↑

@SolontoCroesus

> I made no effort to trace the “Hitler liked Himmler better than Goebbels” plot — had enough of that with kindergarteners.

It’s rather that Hitler liked the SS better than the SA. Goebbels was sort of embarrassed by the Crystal Night, but his career did not suffer. However, the SA basically received a 2nd Night of the Long Knives from this. They had attempted to regain influence in 1938 when Hitler moved against the army officers. But they lost out to the SS as a result of the Night of Broken Glass. That was the likely motive for the incident.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

214. Patrick McNally says:

July 18, 2023 at 6:26 pm GMT • 37 minutes ago ↑

@Skeptikal

> Hitler and Germany had national security interests just as Putin and Russia have valid national security interests.

So did Poland after watching Hitler occupy Czechia. The Poles would have been stupid to place any trust in Hitler with any deal over Danzig after March 15, 1939.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

215. [European Truther](#) says:

[July 18, 2023 at 6:39 pm GMT • 24 minutes ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@Anonymous](#)

Anyone with a modicum of intelligence would differentiate between the international globalist class who rule Britain, and the British themselves.

Many British are low IQ unthinking yobs, due to the dysgenic effects of the globalists' wars, and social policy. Many are not, and there is a vibrant and well-informed truth movement.

[Reply](#)[Agree/Disagree/Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

[← Affirmative Action and "The Dog That Di...](#)

[Leave a Reply](#) -

Remember My InformationWhy?

[Email Replies to my Comment](#)

Submitted comments have been licensed to *The Unz Review* and may be republished elsewhere at the sole discretion of the latter

[Subscribe to This Comment Thread via RSS](#)

[Subscribe to All](#)

[Ron Unz Comments via RSS](#)

[Personal Classics](#)