Ženy jsou policií nové myšlenky, Jared Taylor

UR unz.com/jtaylor/women-are-the-new-thought-police

February 10, 2023

← AFFH: Nejnovější závodní podvod podcastyArchiv Jareda Taylora Ženy jsou novou myšlenkou policie

<u>Jared Taylor</u> • 10. února 2023 • 2 100 slov • <u>38 Comments</u> • <u>Odpovědět</u> <u>Sdílejte s Gabem</u>

A dominují univerzitním kampusům.

Odkaz na video

Toto video je dostupné na Rumble, BitChute a Odysee.

Kredit miniatury: YouTube screengrab.

Je téměř klišé poukazovat na to, že ti nejfanatičtější zastánci tolerance a rozmanitosti fanaticky snášejí *rozmanitost* myšlenek. Levice ráda obviňuje konzervativce z toho, že jsou "fašisté". Pokud tím myslí tyrany, kteří umlčují své protivníky, mluví o sobě. Očekáváme, že nebílí a takzvaní "progresivisté" a lidé s neobvyklými sexuálními návyky se budou snažit lidem držet hubu. Nerad to říkám, ale problém jsou i ženy.

To bylo jasné ve velkém průzkumu o svobodě projevu ve všech 13 kampusech University of Wisconsin.


UW System Student Views on Freedom of Speech

Summary of Survey Responses

February 1, 2023



Zúčastnil se obrovský vzorek 10 500 studentů s 95procentní spolehlivostí a mám podezření, že výsledky by byly podobné na jakékoli americké univerzitě.



Respondenty byly 61 procent ženy a 39 procent muži, 85 procent běloši a 15 procent nebílí. Ne méně než 30 procent žen uvedlo, že nejsou heterosexuální, a 13 procent uvedlo, že nejsou cisgender, což znamená, že pohlaví v jejich rodných listech bylo špatné. Sedmnáct procent mužů uvedlo, že nejsou heterosexuální, a 9 procent nebylo cis.


Tato skupina hlásila své politické sklony takto:

| | Very Liberal | | Somewhat Liberal | | Moderate | | Somewhat Conservative | | Very Conservative | | No Response | |
|---------------|-----------------|------|---------------------|------|----------|------|--------------------------|------|----------------------|------|-------------|-----|
| | Count | 96 | Count | 96 | Count | 96 | Count | 24 | Count | 56 | Count | - 9 |
| Eau Claire | 202 | 23.6 | 237 | 27.7 | 193 | 22.5 | 135 | 15.8 | 45 | 5.3 | 45 | 5.3 |
| Green Bay | 122 | 19.5 | 120 | 19.2 | 168 | 26.8 | 106 | 16.9 | 52 | 8.3 | 58 | 9.3 |
| La Crosse | 172 | 17.7 | 229 | 23.5 | 261 | 26.8 | 187 | 19.2 | 75 | 7.7 | 49 | 5.0 |
| Madison | 306 | 27.6 | 330 | 29.8 | 230 | 20.8 | 140 | 12.6 | 36 | 3.2 | 66 | 6.0 |
| Milwaukee | 206 | 22.1 | 207 | 22.2 | 259 | 27.8 | 126 | 13.5 | 48 | 5.1 | 87 | 9.3 |
| Oshkosh | 137 | 17.8 | 149 | 19.3 | 229 | 29.7 | 143 | 18.5 | 55 | 7.1 | 58 | 7.5 |
| Parkside | 88 | 22.4 | 80 | 20.4 | 112 | 28.6 | 48 | 12.2 | 29 | 7.4 | 35 | 8.9 |
| Platteville | 94 | 8.4 | 203 | 18.1 | 315 | 28.1 | 285 | 25.4 | 158 | 14.1 | 67 | 6.0 |
| River Falls | 66 | 13.5 | 106 | 21.7 | 112 | 22.9 | 109 | 22.3 | 56 | 11.5 | 40 | 8.3 |
| Stevens Point | 190 | 20.4 | 217 | 23.3 | 229 | 24.6 | 135 | 14.5 | 83 | 8.9 | 76 | 8.2 |
| Stout | 157 | 16.2 | 182 | 18.8 | 276 | 28.5 | 171 | 17.6 | 92 | 9.5 | 91 | 9,4 |
| Superior | 52 | 15.5 | 68 | 20.3 | 100 | 29.9 | 46 | 13.7 | 38 | 11.3 | 31 | 9. |
| Whitewater | 102 | 12.6 | 157 | 19.4 | 215 | 26.5 | 178 | 22.0 | 93 | 11.5 | 65 | 81 |

Vote. With the exception of UW-Platteville, there are more liberal respondents than conservative respondents. The greater prevalence of liberal-learning relative to conservative-learning students is consistent with national data on political affiliation among millennials and adults with some college education

Toto jsou data za všechny areály, ale podívejme se níže, na celý systém. Velmi liberální a poněkud liberální dohromady tvoří 40 procent s poněkud nebo velmi konzervativními na 26,4 procenta. Co si tento dav myslí?


Zde jsou procenta studentů, kteří si myslí, že "vyjadřování názorů vnímaných jako urážlivé lze považovat za akt násilí vůči zranitelným lidem". Názory *vnímané* pouze jako urážlivé.



Začněte vlevo s muži a ženami. Téměř 38 procent žen – dvojnásobek procenta mužů – si myslí, že úhel pohledu může být jako rána pěstí do obličeje. Přesuneme-li se doprava, růžové a šedé čáry, více než 40


procent lidí, kteří nejsou cissies a neheteros, zaujímá stejnou oříškovou pozici, s nižšími čísly pro normální lidi. Když přejdeme k zeleným sloupcům, běloši, jak se očekávalo, nejsou tak ořechoví jako nebílí, ale dokonce i nebílí jsou o něco méně ořechoví než ženy: 37,2 procenta pro ně oproti 37,7 procenta pro ženy. Ve žlutém máme úroveň šílenství podle akademické disciplíny, přičemž lidé z humanitních a společenských věd si s největší pravděpodobností myslí, že názor může být také násilím, a více rozumu ve vědě a podnikání. Napravo ve fialové máme to, co byste očekávali: Velmi liberální studenti jsou nejbláznivější ze všech,

Když je otázka trochu jiná, zda "lidé, kteří vyjadřují urážlivé názory, škodí těm, které urážejí", je oříšek ještě zarážející.




Počínaje levicí, 47 procenty žen, více než polovina non-cissies a non-heteros a 45 procent non-bělochů. Opět platí, že ženy jsou dokonce více nevěrné než nebílé. A ve fialové barvě, zuřivých 69,4 procenta velmi liberálních studentů si myslí, že názory – pouhé názory – škodí. Tipoval bych, že hodně fanatických "velmi liberálních" typů jsou bílé ženy.

Měly by tedy univerzity "zakázat vyjadřování názorů, které podle některých studentů poškozují určité skupiny lidí?



Tato čísla jsou mnohem nižší, ale s obecným hodnocením bychom očekávali, i když nebílí, v zelené barvě, jsou o něco ochotnější než ženy, vlevo v modré, zakázat názory, které si někteří studenti myslí, že *škodí* . Překvapuje mě, že tato čísla jsou mnohem nižší než procento lidí, kteří si myslí, že špatné myšlenky jsou jako násilí a ubližování lidem. Proč je nezakázat? Myslím, že studenti nemají odvahu svých fanatismu. Zajímavé je, že studenti si spíše myslí, že by měl být řečník v kampusu nepozván, pokud někteří studenti považují zprávu za urážlivou. To znamená dostat řečníka, který již souhlasil, že přijde.




Jako obvykle jsou nejtyraničtější právě libové ve fialové barvě, následují sexuálně nejednoznačné v šedé a růžové, následované nebílými v zelené, přičemž ženy – z nichž pouze 39 procent jsou tyrany – jsou relativně tolerantní.

Zpráva uvádí, že 7 procent studentů si myslí, že pokud se urážlivý řečník pokusí promluvit, je v pořádku ho přehlušit hlukem. Tři procenta říkají, že je v pořádku položit na něj ruce a odtáhnout ho z pódia.

O prvním dodatku panuje obrovská neznalost. Na otázku: "Povoluje První dodatek vaší univerzitě zakázat projevy nenávisti na akademické půdě?" správnou odpověď dostalo pouze 26,4 procenta. První dodatek neuznává nic takového jako "nenávistné projevy".


| | Yes | No | Not sure |
|--|------|------|----------|
| Does the First Amendment allow your university to ban hate speech on campus? | 32.4 | 26.4 | 41.5 |

Zde jsou procenta studentů z Wisconsinu, "kteří nevyjádřili své názory, protože se obávali, že jim instruktor dá nižší známku".




To je více než polovina mužů, ale také pěkný počet žen. Sexuálně konvenční lidé si koušou jazyk častěji než sexuálně nenormální lidé, stejně jako běloši častěji než nebílí. A podívejte se na konzervativce napravo ve fialovém. Opravdu drží své pasti zavřené. Zajímalo by mě však, co ti, kdo nejsou cisy a nebílí, a dokonce i libové touží říct, ale neodvažují se. Musí to být hodně zralé věci.

Zde je skutečný test pro ty, kteří zmeškali své skutečné povolání sovětského agenta NKVD pracujícího pro Lavrentije Beriju, zde zobrazený se soudruhem Stalinem.



Kdo si myslí, že "studenti by měli nahlásit instruktora, který řekne něco, co *někteří* studenti považují za škodlivé?"




Podívejte se na ty dívky vlevo. Padesát dva celých šest procent by odradilo profíka a ne-cisíci a neheteristé jsou ještě připravenější vrhnout se. Všechny tři skupiny jsou ještě horší než nebílé, v zeleném. Samotné libové napravo ve fialové barvě vypadají, jako by se nemohli dočkat, až učitele vyhodí za to, že řekl něco, co jen některým studentům způsobilo škodu.

Mimochodem, co je to "škoda"?


Řekněme, že ukážu své třídě, kdo byl diagnostikován s HIV v roce 2021, přičemž černoši budou na prvním místě, následováni Hispánci.

Racial and ethnic differences in HIV diagnoses persist.






Co kdybych poukázal na to, že vzhledem k rozdílům v populacích různých skupin to znamená, že černoši mají devětkrát vyšší pravděpodobnost než běloši, že se nakazí HIV, a Hispánci 3,5krát častěji? Bude polovina třídy krvácet z nosu? Pokusil by se mě nějaký nehetero vyhodit? Nevím. Ale kdybych to řekl, za svůj život nemůžu přijít na to, jak nadřazenost bílých způsobuje, že černoši a Hispánci si navzájem dávají HIV, hádám, že BIPOCs a neheteros by dostali infarkt a já bych byl odveden pryč. za kulku NKVD zezadu do hlavy. Je zřejmé, že "ublížení" pouze znamená, že jejich choulostivé pocity jsou zraněny fakty. Robin DiAngelo si velmi dobře vydělává tím, že jezevci bělochy kvůli jejich takzvané "křehkosti".



Zdá se mi, že heterobílí muži jsou ze všech nejméně křehcí lidé.




Musíme poděkovat University of Wisconsin za jemnozrnný – a ponurý – obrázek intelektuálního klimatu na akademické půdě. Ženy nepomáhají ani mimo školu. Tento průzkum z roku 2017 zjistil, že

většina žen – 57 procent – chce zákony zakazující takzvané "nenávistné projevy" o černoších. Podpora mužů ve výši 36 procent byla o 20 bodů nižší.

Women Support Banning Hate Speech against African Americans

Would you favor or oppose a law that would make it illegal to say offensive or insulting things in public about African Americans?



Stejný průzkum zjistil, že 63 procent žen si myslí, že nenávistné projevy jsou násilí. Pouze 43 procent mužů to udělalo – dalších 20 bodů.

Is Hate Speech Violence? Democrats, African Americans, Latinos, Women, Millennials, and Seniors Say Yes

Is hate speech an act of violence?

| | Hate Speech Is Violence | Hate Speech Is Not Violence | Diff |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| All | 53% | 46% | +7 |
| Democrat | 66% | 34% | +32 |
| Independent | 48% | 51% | -3 |
| Republican | 41% | 58% | -17 |
| White American | 46% | 53% | -7 |
| African American | 75% | 25% | +50 |
| Hispanic American | 72% | 27% | +45 |
| Male | 43% | 56% | -13 |
| Female | 63% | 37% | -26 |
| 18-29 | 60% | 40% | +20 |
| 30-44 | 51% | 49% | +2 |
| 45-64 | 48% | 51% | -3 |
| 65+ | 57% | 41% | +16 |
| CATO INSTITUTE 2 SURVEY | 2017 FREE SPEE | CH AND TOLERA | NCE |

Myslím, že musíme připustit, že čím větší moc ženy mají, tím méně svobody slova budeme mít. A na univerzitách dominují ženy. Tento článek z *Wall Street Journal* se jmenuje "Generace amerických mužů se vzdává vysoké školy".


THE WALL STREET JOURNAL



By Douglas Belkin Follow Sept. 6, 2021 1/12 pm ET Píše se v ní, že na konci akademického roku 2020-2021 bylo pouze 40 procent vysokoškoláků mužů a tito studenti předcházejí častěji než ženy. Podle některých projekcí bude v příštích letech získávat vysokoškolské tituly dvakrát více žen než mužů. Studentské vládě dominují ženy.


Článek také poznamenává, že došlo k tak nemilosrdnému tlaku na nábor nebělochů, že na vysokou školu se zapsali *spíše* černoši ve vysokoškolském věku než běloši – navzdory 15bodovému rozdílu IQ mezi bílými a černochy.

Tento graf z Journalu je komplikovaný, ale velmi informativní.



Uvidíte, že na každé úrovni příjmu mají černoši ve vysokoškolském věku vyšší pravděpodobnost než běloši ve vysokoškolském věku, že budou studovat vysokou školu. Na nejvyšších příjmových úrovních dole je ve škole pouze 70 procent bělochů ve vysokoškolském věku, ale více než *84 procent* černochů. U bílých žen je mnohem pravděpodobnější, že chodí do školy než u bílých mužů, a Asiaté obou pohlaví jsou prakticky mimo žebříček.

Vysoké školy vysávají lidi, kteří tam nepatří. Proto je musí naučit opravnou angličtinu a dát jim falešné obory. Je překvapením, že průměrné IQ absolventů neustále klesá?



Podívejte se na sloupce uprostřed. V 60. letech měl průměrný absolvent vysoké školy IQ 111. V roce 2010 to bylo 100 a nyní bude jistě nižší.

Ale proč by bílí muži chtěli chodit na vysoké školy plné liberálních žen, z nichž 30 procent není ani heterosexuálů. Bílí muži nemohou mluvit svobodně a jsou obviňováni ze všeho, co se kdy komukoli, kdykoli a kdekoli na světě pokazilo. Vysoká škola a vězení jsou pro bílého muže jistě nejnepřátelštějšími místy v Americe.

Článek v *Journal* cituje profesora z University of Wisconsin, Jerlanda Jacksona, který říká, že vysoké školy mydí ženy a nebělochy pomocí nápravy, poradenství a držení rukou, ale nedělají nic pro bílé muže, protože mají privilegia. "Zatím nemáme nástroje na pomoc bílým mužům, kteří potřebují pomoc," říká.

Young men get little help, in part, because schools are focused on encouraging historically underrepresented students. Jerlando Jackson, department chair, Education Leadership and Policy Analysis, at the University of Wisconsin's School of Education, said few campuses have been willing to spend limited funds on male underachievement that would also benefit white men, risking criticism for assisting those who have historically held the biggest educational advantages.

"As a country, we don't have the tools yet to help white men who find themselves needing help," Dr. Jackson said. "To be in a time when there are groups of white men that are falling through the cracks, it's hard."

Je to dobrá nebo špatná věc? Absolventi vysokých škol by měli během svého života vydělat o 1 milion dolarů více než absolventi středních škol, ale kdo ví, zda je to stále pravda? Největší škody budou mít bílé rodiny. Navzdory letům feministického křiku si ženy stále chtějí vzít muže, kteří jsou vzdělanější a vydělávají více peněz než oni. Spousta bílých žen to nezvládne. Také pro obě pohlaví platí, že čím déle jsou ve škole, tím jsou praštěnější a liberálnější. Muži, kteří nechodili na vysokou školu, zjistí, že je nemožné ani mluvit s těmito vysokoškolskými dívkami, kterým se zamíchal mozek a myslí si, že jsou genderově queer. Určitě si je nebudou chtít vzít.

Věci se budou jen zhoršovat. Hádejte, kolik škol Ivy League má nyní ženu jako prezidentku? Pět z osmi. Harvard je v čele s černoškou jménem Claudine Gay, která vede místo.



Jaké jsou šance, že tyto ženy ocení bezplatné dotazování před zraněnými pocity nebo povzbudí muže, aby byli muži? Vysokoškolské vzdělávání bylo zničeno více než kterákoli jiná instituce v zemi. A neznamená to jen, že jsme špatně vzdělaní. Ztěžuje to i zakládání rodin a plození dětí. Na některých z těchto míst bychom měli vyhodit celou administrativu a polovinu fakulty a začít znovu.

(Opětovně publikováno z American Renaissance se svolením autora nebo zástupce)

← AFFH: Nejnovější závodní podvod Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců

• Kategorie: <u>Ideologie</u> • Štítky: <u>Akademie</u>, Feminismus, Politická korektnost

← AFFH: Nejnovější závodní podvod

Skrýt 38 komentářů Zanechat komentář

Commenters to Ignore...to FollowEndorsed Only

Trim Comments?







1. Vergissmeinnicht says:

<u>February 10, 2023 at 10:10 pm GMT • 3.4 days ago</u> • 100 Words ↑

Women are **less** intelligent than men (sorry, egalitarians, that's a fact!), believe in **more** BS (horoscope, as the obvious example) and are **less** freedom-loving...

Truly, a Civilisation cannot achieve its zenith *if* women are allowed to vote.¹

- 1. Sure, *some* electresses are okay. Remember: *Right now* women already vote **more** than men do − because ≈52% of the populace are women and, also, women outlive men.
- **Agree:** <u>gT</u>, <u>Kratoklastes</u>, <u>Achmed E. Newman</u>, <u>Johnny LeBlanc</u>, <u>RockaBoatus</u>, <u>Passing By</u>
- LOL: The Real World
- Replies: @MarkU

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

2. <u>Dr. Robert Morgan</u> says:

Jared Taylor: "... college-age black men are more likely than college-age white men to be enrolled in college ... White women are a lot more likely than white men to be in school ..."

Bruthas in skoo caw dat's where da white wimmens be at!

• Agree: A. Clifton

3. Jamsportle says:

February 11, 2023 at 2:19 am GMT • 3.2 days ago • 100 Words ↑ Unless a young White man plans on becoming a scientist, engineer, or doctor, he's better off learning a trade, and excelling at it. If he's smart enough to actually belong in a college, he's smart enough to be a first rate machinist or furniture maker. And I can personally assure them, the better sort of woman can see who's a dummy or a sissy and who is not. Leave the harridans to the cubicle rats.

-Discard

- Agree: Realist, HdC, Mark G., Achmed E. Newman, RockaBoatus
- **Replies:** <u>@Reverend Goody</u>
 ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide
 Thread

4. Rabbi Shlomo says:

Look at that fine young man running Harvard. In the photo he looks like a cross between Jonathan Capehart and Steve Urkel.

As a friend of the Black community I can tell he's going places.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

Subscribe to New Columns

5. <u>Reverend Goody</u> says:

@Jamsportle

Thank you. I learned a new word – harridan. I'll use it in a sentence – Hillary is a harridan, who shrivels my nuts.

• LOL: Achmed E. Newman

6. Andreas says:

"It was always the women, and above all the young ones, who were the most bigoted adherents to the Party, the swallowers of slogans, the amateur spies and nosers-out of unorthodoxy."

Sound familiar?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

7. <u>Dumbo</u> says:

Well, going to college is a bad deal for men right now — let women take over the higher education system, and destroy it, like they do with almost everything else. Then men will come and rebuild it.

8. Realist says:

<u>February 11, 2023 at 3:32 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago</u> • 100 Words ↑ Yes, women in college and positions of power are a significant problem. One of the reasons is women are easier to gaslight.

The problem with the current college situation is it is an institution of indoctrination rather than education.

Only those studying STEM should be in college...with very few exceptions. In a meritocratic society, the college population would be about twenty-five percent of what it is now.

The Chart showing the mean IQ of the highest degree obtained through decades was especially telling. Not only because of the decline through the years but because it shows how low the average college IQ was for many decades. A reasonable minimum IQ for undergraduates would be 120 and for graduate students 130. This would significantly reduce the desire for stupid useless courses of study.

• Replies: @MarkU

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

9. Graham Seibert says:

Thank you, Jared. My recommendation for the near future would be to emigrate to someplace where sanity still reigns and wait it out. Try Central and Eastern Europe.

America will have its renaissance, but not in time for the present generation of students.

10. Chebyshev says:

<u>February 11, 2023 at 4:09 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago</u> • 100 Words ↑

Although it's true that female college students are hostile to the public expression of offensive views on campus, and that this stifles open discussion of controversial topics, the university library catalog is typically unaffected.

At good schools with large libraries, you can check out old books by white racialists, white nationalists, and white supremacists written over the past 120 years. This is somewhat ironic given that library employees are disproportionately women. While you won't be able to publicly endorse the contents of these works, you can quietly read them and have your worldview reshaped.

11. Chris Moore says: • Website

February 11, 2023 at 4:17 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago • 300 Words ↑ It sounds to me that fake "Jews" have used their fake "Holocaust" to create an atmosphere of intolerance for free speech and truth-telling, for fear that it will lead to "hate speech" and "persecution" and even "mass murder" of "vulnerable populations." But who they're really concerned about protecting — only concerned about protecting — are the fake "Jews."

In other words, the "liberal" and neocon method actors are both consciously and subconsciously protecting the golden calf, globalist-agenda money printing machine, and enjoying their "sexual liberation" in the bargain.

Who does Jared Taylor work for? The fake "Jews." So what's he bitching about?

This kind of sadomasochistic hypocrisy and double think coming from the fake "conservatives" is what is destroying Western Civilization/Christendom. These fake conservatives masquerading as the "keepers of tradition" are a Trojan horse parasite inside the body of Christ that is insidiously killing Moses-Christianity. They may be nominally opposed to these sick and insane "liberals," and some of them may even believe to the core they're opposed, but they're all working for the same Satanic Man, so they're really not opposed at all.

They're "Jewish Century"-indoctrinated reprobates, and a lot of them don't even know it.

What to do... what to do...? I'll say what I've always said: Moses-Christianity MUST go to war with Marxist-Zionists, and must destroy them ALL. There is no other way.

And they've brought this upon themselves by practicing Satanism, Voodoo, and Witchcraft, which are absolutely essential to the Golden Calf who has grown into the Golden Bull. ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

12. MarkU says:

<u>February 11, 2023 at 5:01 pm GMT • 2.6 days ago</u> • 100 Words <u>↑</u> <u>@Vergissmeinnicht</u>

Women are less intelligent than men (sorry, egalitarians, that's a fact!)

That isn't actually true at all. The truth is that the male IQ curve is a lot flatter (women are more concentrated towards the average) this means that there is a bigger proportion of highly intelligent men but also a bigger proportion of dimwits in the male population.

• Replies: @Mark G., @Kratoklastes

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

13. <u>freedom-cat</u> says:

<u>February 11, 2023 at 5:12 pm GMT • 2.6 days ago</u> ↑ Jared was worried recently about the growing potential for prison time for racists.

Jared's eyes have that wild look of craziness in them. Now, he is sporting a Hitler-like mustache.

If he doesn't get arrested when the thought police make their moves we will know he's certainly a spook.

14. MarkU says:

<u>February 11, 2023 at 5:23 pm GMT • 2.6 days ago</u> • 100 Words ↑ <u>@Realist</u>

Yes, women in college and positions of power are a significant problem. One of the reasons is women are easier to gaslight.

Yes indeed. The experiments on obedience by Stanley Millgram in the 1960's showed that women tend to be more compliant to authority than men. It hardly surprising therefore that women are more likely to buy into whatever garbage is being promoted. That is probably the main reason that women are preferred to men for administrative roles these days, they are less likely to question orders.

• Replies: @Realist, @anarchyst

15. James Forrestal says:

<u>February 11, 2023 at 5:23 pm GMT • 2.6 days ago</u> • 600 Words ↑

Perhaps we could learn something by comparing and contrasting the WSJ narrative which is the ostensible subject of this piece with another one by the *same author*, which was also published recently in the Journal. Both were written by a narrative promoter named Douglas **Belkin** — Mr. Taylor apparently forgot to mention his name.

Mr. Taylor's ruminations focus almost exclusively on the *content* of Mr. Belkin's screed, but examining the *narrative frame* of *both* Belkin rants might perhaps be more enlightening.

Let's start by looking at the headline of the article cited by Mr. Taylor:

A Generation of American Men Give Up* on College

Note the unequivocal attribution of agency, of "fault", to members of the male community themselves. Masculine individuals** belong to a group that has been officially designated by those in power as a so-called "evil omnipotent oppressor group," so anything bad that happens to them is their own fault. It's like, obvious. The rest of Belkin's narrative strictly adheres to this frame. Needless to say, the term "disparate impact" appears nowhere in Belkin's article. Taylor gets lost in the details of the "facts" cited by Belkin, but those are just there to flesh out/ reinforce his narrative frame.

Compare this to the narrative frame which Belkin adopts [and aggressively promotes] in <u>this WSJ piece</u> from a mere 2 months ago, where he claims that so-called "Anti-semitism" Is Rising at Colleges, and Jewish Students Are Facing "Growing Hostility".

Belkin makes it clear that, because People of Semitism have been officially designated by those in power as a so-called "innocent powerless victim group," anything bad that happens to them (including ill-defined "bad feelings" and imaginary anecdotes), simply *must* be the fault of an intersectionally lower-ranking group — what Belkin terms "bad goyim." Despite their overrated "yiddishe kops," they somehow lack even the merest iota of moral agency.

Does Belkin even attempt to claim that High-functioning Gypsies are an "underrepresented minority" at institutions of higher learning? Does he attempt to define this putative "poisecution" in anything approaching an objective, verifiable manner? Of course not. He simply rants aimlessly and histrionically about how the semitic community is endlessly "oppressed" in some vague, unverifiable (but totally real) manner by the evil goyim. He's well aware that jews are massively (and obviously) overrrepresented at these institutions — but this well-known fact is entirely irrelevant to his narrative. He doesn't care.

So on the one hand, we have clearly documented underrepresentation of male (and in particular White male) goyim at institutions of higher learning — and it's "self-evidently" their own fault. On the other hand, we have a massively overrepresented, objectively privileged minority that is supposedly "suffering" from imaginary/ anecdotal "poisecution" — and it's everyone else's fault. Huh.

Belkin's reflexive moral particularism is, of course, a characteristic feature of toxic semitism. Contrasting his two screeds just makes it a little more obvious than usual. What's particularly notable about this phenomenon is that many narratives of this type are a little more subtle — their ostensible

content incorporates bad faith appeals to faux "universalist" morality, while their instrumental *purpose* reinforces systemic tribalism.

*Mr. Taylor chooses to reinforce this frame by the use of the term "drop out" in the his very next sentence after citing the Belkin piece. That's... interesting.

**Unless they "identify" as the opposite sex, engage in sodomy with members of the same sex, or in some other way participate in officially-sanctioned sexual fetishes.

16. anonymous[110] • <u>Disclaimer</u> says:

<u>February 11, 2023 at 5:30 pm GMT • 2.6 days ago</u> • 200 Words ↑

Jews knew generations ago that women are the rot in every civilization. Virtue-signaling, "Feelings", anti-male and antichild – no creature in nature kills its own children as much as human females, and it goes without saying that white females will gladly destroy their own civilization if it means knifing their ex-boyfriend or ex-spouse. At their core, they are emotionally deranged nihilists who will follow whatever cause feeds their own ego or desire for vengeance. It's been more than 100 years since they got the right to vote, and more than half a century since Third Wave Misandry – er, feminism – started tearing apart Western civilization; it's fair to say we now know how that turned out. It's poetic justice when their simian "lovers" maim and murder them. If you are leaving your son to the public indoctrination system, you are engaging in child abuse. And don't expect your b**** wife to care: she's the same one who wanted your 16 year son to get the clot shot so she could get back to "normal". You're less than a man if you support this culture. If anything, you should be trying to tear it down.

• Replies: @Kratoklastes

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

17. Realist says:

<u>February 11, 2023 at 6:53 pm GMT • 2.5 days ago</u> ↑ @MarkU

Out of buttons, but thanks.

18. anarchyst says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 12:27 am GMT • 2.3 days ago</u> • 200 Words ↑

@MarkU

You are correct in your assertion that women are more compliant when it comes to authority. This was the case, even in times and places where slavery was legal.

Although from time to time, there may have been cruelty exacted on slaves, such methods of control were rare and almost always counterproductive.

The slave owners KNEW that if they wanted to get any output from their slaves, they would have to be treated with a certain degree of empathy and kindness, not unlike children.

One of the oldest psychological "tricks" that overseers would use to keep their charges "in line" was to exact severe punishment on one male slave for a relative minor infraction. The punishment was meted out in front of the slave women, who were then instrumental in keeping their men slaves "on the straight and narrow", to avoid further punishment.

Quite often, this "trick" was performed when a new batch of slaves was being "commissioned" (assigned to their new "masters").

19. anarchyst says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 12:34 am GMT • 2.3 days ago</u> • 100 Words ↑

It appears that "rabid feminism" has bitten hard-core feminists right in the ass. The number of mentally ill transsexual males who claim to be women and are competing on woman's sports teams is increasing...

Women who claim that "they can do anything a man can do" are finally getting their comeuppance.

Perhaps younger women will learn from today's lesson:

There is no "equality" between men and women on sports teams. When it comes to brute strength and endurance, men will always have the edge.

I realize that there are exceptions to every rule, but in the grand scheme of things, women's and men's sports competitions must be separate.

Feminism is a idea that should be consigned to the scrap heap of history. Men and women ARE different...and do complement each other.

• Agree: MarkU

• **Replies:** <u>@The Real World</u>, <u>@Jamsportle</u>
ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide
Thread

20. Mark G. says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 1:23 am GMT • 2.3 days ago</u> • 100 Words ↑ @MarkU

That isn't actually true at all. The truth is that the male IQ curve is a lot flatter (women are more concentrated towards the average) this means that there is a bigger proportion of highly intelligent men but also a bigger proportion of dimwits in the male population.

While all of what you say is true, two thirds of all college debt is held by women. They are going to college and majoring in subjects which lead to jobs that don't generate enough income to pay back their loans. Men, by not doing that to the same extent, seem to be acting more intelligent to me.

• Replies: @Malla

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

21. <u>Briano7</u> says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 1:53 am GMT • 2.3 days ago</u> ↑ At the end of the day, women are like children. They live in their imaginations and won't be told.

22. Mac says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 4:25 am GMT • 2.2 days ago</u> • 500 Words ↑

The article subject is center, around which the cons expand their schemes, and though I see as two groups, the con female steerers, and the other the false subservient females that act as subtle oppression on us everywhere, spread out ignorance. The cons knowing failure of most females to be serious, pushed focus on breeding, then mono religion, then false skool, then false suburban picket fence nonsense, and men went along with false femy life, ignoring steering what matters, as the cons steer down the future. The overt false social veneer is obedient femy bowing under dominant frauds as 'authority', which relies on the ignorant female social veneer false social polite, failure to be serious. result is now the cons pose a threat now on every doorstep because cons are serious as others are not.

That's not to leave out the few serious females, feminized men also problem, or dumb arrogant, sportz watchers, who deny threats on us. The ongoing, failure to fight for power and future, failure to teach next generation, is femy failure and results destruction. Failure to pressure on selves and others. Sun tzu art of war, pressure of the immediate, or what is first strike principal, to snap people out of it, which the cons use, and did with covid pressure psych test. Point being, takes us pushing more masculine or serious.

People look for easy false answers, but only way to stop the cons plans is to engage, and steer. Personally share info, not high energy but calm serious, which is male energy, and tell others to share, and, watch for smiley infiltrators. The act to share info can impart strength, boost those who need sense they aren't alone, to make effort also. In other instances some need a jolt. The steering is what matters, power can be bumpersticker on car or window, which can run over false media, shift power and

pressure, and make the go-alongs uncomfortable, so they act and do their part, or expose themselves as enemies by failure to act. To bring pressure, not wait to be hit on the head by the cons, is to steer.

The con pushers would have no power without those refuse to do anything to push against the false, and reminds me of a paper came across years ago, on natural law might is right, had a line similar to 'your neighbor is the enemy', about the ignorants, and also the cons among us, which are at base the same. The requirement to focus and push is a life basic, but parents failed to teach, except the con breeders.

In any event the article subject is most core, because again its around selfish false weak ignorant lesser type females subtle oppression that the cons expand their destruction. Should make note and share, it's not enough to sit reading. Appreciate the article.

•

23. Kratoklastes says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 4:56 am GMT • 2.1 days ago</u> • 300 Words ↑ @MarkU

It's true – and it's **even more** *truer* for those who have the appropriate view of *verbalists*.

As to the 'raw' mean: it's a close-run thing – for Whites it's 103 (men) vs 100 (women, rounded UP).

That's actually low-balling it a bit: the best guess is that the gap between men and women **generally** is 4-5 points. See, e.g, <u>Irwing, P., Lynn, R. Is there a sex difference in IQ scores?</u>. <u>Nature 442, E1 (2006).</u> Be sure and read the attached reference links.

In a large sample, that 3% gap is **highly** significant if you're testing the *difference of two means* – because the standard error of the mean is proportional to X/\sqrt{N} (where X is the sample mean and N is the sample size: **no LaTex/MathJax/MathML up in this bitch**).

Now to flesh this out a tad: when the race-agnostic gap between male and female means is so wide, the argument that the means are the same between men and women for **wypipo**, is the same as claiming that there's some special sauce in white women's cognition that is qualitatively different from non-white women **and white men**. The last two years offers absolutely NO evidence in favour of that hypothesis.

And that's before we really focus on what matters: **shape-rotation**.

Split IQ-type metrics by verbal/math, and you will find – the mean for females is even more significantly lower than the mean for males for the one that matters (math);

the variance is (roughly) the same – although that's largely by construction.

Verbal-focused metrics are complete bullshit as evidence of cognitive 'grunt'. They're included in tests that are **supposed** to be *g*-loaded, so that Jews and women have a snowball's chance of being in the top decile.

Shape-rotators. Together. STRONK.

• Replies: @MarkU

24. <u>Kratoklastes</u> says:

February 12, 2023 at 5:08 am GMT • 2.1 days ago ↑ @anonymous

You're less than a man if you support this culture. If anything, you should be trying to tear it down.

BASED.



ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

25. Malla says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 5:12 am GMT • 2.1 days ago</u> ↑ @Mark G.

Maybe those women think their future husbands/boyfriends will pay off those debts. Imagine women majoring in bullshit Cultural Marxist courses like women studies, African American studies, LGBTQ studies, Marxism, evils of colonialism etc... trying to bag rich bankers, doctors etc...

• Replies: @anonymous

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

26. Hitmarck says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 5:35 am GMT • 2.1 days ago</u> • 100 Words ↑

There is vast ignorance about the First Amendment. When asked, "Does the First Amendment allow your university to ban hate speech on campus?" only 26.4 percent got the right answer. The First Amendment recognizes no such thing as "hate speech."

You can show more ignorance on it when u block/ignore someone online.

That freedom from speech is part of freedom of speech is for 95% of global population too much to comprehend.

Which is funny, considering a human has only so many seconds to live. There is only about sevenths of a second for every other human being.

27. The Real World says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 6:20 am GMT • 2.1 days ago</u> • 100 Words ↑

@anarchyst

Your diatribe doesn't make a lick of sense.

Women *do not* want men competing in their sports. Period. Full stop.

It is MALES who are encroaching in areas where they do not belong. They are cheating – what a shocker. *Most of them are likely being paid by some Soros-backed NGO to do this.* It's all being done to further the Marxist/Bolshevik-type agenda that has been in place for decades to destabilize America. (It's working.)

Feminism and tranny scams have nothing to do with each other. You are quite confused.

• Replies: @anonymous, @anarchyst

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

28. <u>Jamsportle</u> says:

@anarchyst

Feminists have completely rolled over for the trannies. If they were men I'd call them useless cowards. Since they are not men, I can only call them silly women.

-Discard

29. anonymous[323] • <u>Disclaimer</u> says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 4:41 pm GMT • 1.6 days ago</u> • 200 Words ↑

@Malla

They ridicule men, attack the interests and even rights of men, and still expect men to look after them financially.

And men do it.

Gold diggers exist because gold givers exist. The main reason the male suicide rate in the United States is so high is because white men are given these unreasonable expectations to meet, but denied the tools to meet them. Feminized society expects white men to be breadwinners, but pushes them to the back of the line for jobs, educational opportunities, and financial aid. The culture maligns and denigrates them, then criminalizes dissent. Women and jews are both equally guilty of denying others the right to be heard. In fact, my time in academia proved to me that the gravest threats to intelligent ideas were the white women on faculty. These frauds couldn't stop quoting Sylvia Plath or Catharine Mackinnon, but couldn't bear the thought of a competing voice on campus being heard. They can't deal with a free exchange of ideas – just like the jews – but they can certainly institutionalize their right to hector others. It's time for talented white men to realize this culture does nothing for them. Get out, find a better place, and start living better lives.

White women are an awful lot like jews.

• Replies: @Malla

30. anonymous[325] • <u>Disclaimer</u> says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 4:46 pm GMT • 1.6 days ago</u> • 100 Words ↑

@The Real World

Why so eager to defend those who do not defend you? Are you another captain save a hoe type? Or just a eunuch mouthing the usual feminized garbage? Feminism and "tranny scams" have everything to do with one another because they both come from the same rancid jewish well of identity politics, and because they both seek to destroy the foundations of traditional American society. "Tranny scams" are just the next step in the feminization and emasculation of men. White women voted for this garbage, comrade. The delicious irony is that maybe they'll end up being hoisted by their own petard.

31. The Real World says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 6:51 pm GMT • 1.6 days ago</u> • 200 Words ↑

Another confused and irritable person slinging inaccuracies.

Please do copy/past where I "defended" anyone? I defend reality – that is all.

Authentic (as opposed to manipulated) feminism and tranny world are antithetical. It doesn't take a very deep brain to grasp that basic reality. Women *do not want* males competing in their sports – no matter how they dress or what their feelings are. Period. It is simply males cheating for self-benefit.

White women voted for this garbage, comrade.

More delusion from the irritable brigade. Plenty of sock puppets around the interwebs spout that additional nonsense. (See a trend here? The brains aren't very deep.)

- 1 Where and how do average citizens get to vote for the boards or sports officials at colleges who permit males competing on females sports teams? Specifics needed.
- 2 Pls do *paste links* to the pages on elected city, county, state or national elected officials websites where they promote guys playing in girls sports or describe their support for that? The more links, the better. Let's see.

• Replies: @Inverness

32. <u>bert33</u> says:

<u>February 12, 2023 at 7:06 pm GMT • 1.5 days ago</u> • 400 Words ↑

Higher ed overall has apparently become highly politicized in our current social climate, and slid pretty far down the hill, and its not only sad, it's also dangerous.

Consider Copernicus and Galileo vs. the Catholic church, which was the dominant social and political organ of its day, and they took issue with Galileo:

http://www.inquiriesjournal.com/articles/1675/copernicus-galileo-and-the-church-science-in-a-religious-world Copernicus missed that party by reason of being dead, thus beyond the reach of the Church, which was considerable to the point where people were afraid of being labeled heretics and joining Copernicus at the hands of the inquisitors. Much ado about heliocentrism and a telescope and the common wisdom of the day derived from the approved political correctness handed down from the Pope and the Church.

Today, we also have social heresy, as one example the opinion that there are only two genders, not 26 or 56 or whatever's going on, there. Another would be gender equality. Still another would be critical race theory, historical revisionism, as well as the ultimate utility or value of the college degree and the institution that issued it. While colleges might not be churches, to the extent that they can assert influence over the public and even our political processes, they have a certain power, and to go against your professor or curriculum or directed approved attitude or behavior is to commit academic heresy, basically, regardless of the subject or issue on the table. Make no mistake, politics is being practiced on our campuses across the country, and even overseas.

Education, like religion, is an institution. But, when institutions collide with or are generally observed to be at odds with reality, you kind of end up having to side with the group that can independently verify their results. Hard science for the win, academic or religious dogma canon etc. to the recycle can. Fire hot, water wet, gravity sucks, earth circles the sun, two genders, skin color doesn't make you special, two plus two is four, ship rolls downhill, and payday is Friday. And I could care less what the campus women's group has to say about it.

• Thanks: Mark G.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

33. anarchyst says:

@The Real World

It is rabid feminism that is biting non-feminist women in the ass.

There...

I've fixed it for you...

• Agree: <u>HammerJack</u>

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

34. Malla says:

@anonymous

Thanks. Women are affected a LOT by the media and Judeo-"Western" media has slowly turned White women into Jewish princesses. Amish women do not behave like that.

35. MarkU says:

<u>February 14, 2023 at 2:51 am GMT • 5.2 hours ago</u> • 200 Words ↑ @Kratoklastes

It's true – and it's even more truer for those who have the appropriate view of verbalists.

And that's before we really focus on what matters: shape-rotation.

Aren't you just saying that the stuff men tend to be better at is a better measure of intelligence than the stuff that women tend to be better at? Personally I would regard that as controversial but whatever. (I did look at those studies btw so I have some idea of roughly where you are coming from)

Verbal-focused metrics are complete bullshit as evidence of cognitive 'grunt'. They're included in tests that are supposed to be g-loaded, so that Jews and women have a snowball's chance of being in the top decile.

I think that it is *dangerous* to underestimate the usefulness of verbal intelligence. Take a look around you, men are being completely outclassed in the realm of political and social manipulation.

• Replies: @Inverness

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

36. Inverness says:

@The Real World

Women are not just in the vanguard of "trans rights" hegemony, for all practical purposes they're the only ones there. For someone who throws slurs around so readily, you have an awful lot to learn.

37. Inverness says:

@MarkU

Men have opted out of the contest, generally speaking. I agree this is obviously to their detriment, but they accurately perceive that the game is completely stacked against them.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

38. Johnny LeBlanc says:

"Progressive" women are vicious cunts. They need to be brought under control if we even hope to save our civilization. The 19th Amendment was one of the biggest mistakes this country has ever made.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

← AFFH: The Latest Race Swindle

Leave a Reply -

Remember My InformationWhy? Email Replies to my Comment

Submitted comments have been licensed to *The Unz Review* and may be republished elsewhere at the sole discretion of the latter

Subscribe to This Comment Thread via RSS Subscribe to All Jared Taylor Comments via RSS Subscribe to All