

# „Proč je teorie úniku v laboratoři téměř jistě nepravdivá“, od Rona Unze – The Unz Review

[UR unz.com/announcement/why-the-lab-leak-theory-is-almost-certainly-false](https://unz.com/announcement/why-the-lab-leak-theory-is-almost-certainly-false)

January 26, 2023

← Všechny moje knihy jsou nyní dostupné na Amazonu

Oznámení

„Proč je teorie úniku v laboratoři téměř jistě nepravdivá“

Ron Unz • 26. ledna 2023

• 600 slov • 33 Comments • Odpovědět

Sdílejte s Gabem



Jsem velmi potěšen, že mohu oznámit, že Will Jones, redaktor britského webzinu Daily Skeptic, nyní publikoval další důležitý nový článek o původu globální epidemie Covid, v němž prohlašuje, že teorie laboratorních úniků je tak široce přijímaná v kruzích alternativních médií. poslední tři roky „je téměř jistě nepravdivé“.

Proč je teorie úniku v laboratoři téměř jistě nepravdivá

Will Jones • *Denní skeptik* • 25. ledna 2023 • 1 400 slov

Zakladatel Webzinu Toby Young článek rozeslal na Twitteru svým 250 000 sledujícím a také jej okamžitě znovu publikoval americký *Brownstone Institute* .

Případ úniku z laboratoře se zdá být robustní: virus je jednoduše navržen a poprvé se objevil ve městě s velkou virovou laboratoří. Ale ve skutečnosti důkazy o tom, že virus unikl z Wuhanského virologického institutu, tam prostě nejsou. <https://t.co/kHUzYLEatY>

— Toby Young (@toadmeister) 25. ledna 2023

Daily *Skeptic* a *Brownstone Institute* jsou dvě z předních alt-Covidových publikací v anglosféře a tento nejnovější článek následoval po čtyřech dalších, které již dříve křížově publikovali týkající se stejné otázky původu. To také přimělo Briana Mowreyho, spisovatele alt-Covid na *Substacku*, aby poskytl svůj vlastní přehled všech těchto materiálů.

Půl tuctu zásadních článků zveřejněných během několika týdnů je jistě významným trendem. Minulý týden jsem již diskutoval o obrovském potenciálním významu tohoto probíhajícího vývoje a poznamenal jsem, že k obnovenému úsilí konečně odhalit původ epidemie Covid, která si celosvětově vyžádala tolik milionů životů, se nyní připojily přední publikace z alternativních médií a veřejné osobnosti.

### Komunita Alt-Covid začíná odhalovat původ Covid

Ron Unz • **Recenze Unz** • 16. ledna 2023 • 4 500 slov

Prof. Jeffrey Sachs z Kolumbijské univerzity je akademik s nejvyšší vážností hlavního proudu, který strávil poslední dva roky jako předseda komise Covid Covid v *Lancet* . Před několika měsíci se mu dostalo mezinárodní pozornosti, když veřejně naznačil, že virus byl velmi pravděpodobně bioinženýrství, a vyzval k ukončení toho, co odsuzoval jako pokračující vládní pokus zakrýt jeho skutečný původ.

Páni, profesor Jeffrey Sachs:

"Předsedal jsem komisi pro Lancet 2 roky o Covidu. Jsem docela přesvědčen, že to vyšlo z americké biotechnologické laboratoře [...] Nevíme to jistě, ale existuje dostatek důkazů.

[Nícméně] to není vyšetřován, ne v USA, ne nikde." [pic.twitter.com/IYvSJnlv1q](https://pic.twitter.com/IYvSJnlv1q)

— Arnaud Bertrand (@RnaudBertrand) 2. července 2022

Jeho říjnový podcastový rozhovor na *Grayzone* Maxe Blumenthala se nyní blíží 400 000 zhlédnutí, což je již druhé nejoblíbenější video, jaké kdy bylo na tomto kanálu uvedeno.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/morj-3rdWwM>


### Jeffrey Sachs jako spravedlivý rogue slon

Ron Unz • *Recenze The Unz* • 10. října 2022 • 3 500 slov

Formát EPub • Mobi/Kindle

Jednotlivci jako prof. Sachs mají obrovskou mezinárodní důvěryhodnost. Mezitím alternativní zdroje jako *Daily Skeptic*, *Brownstone Institute* a *Grayzone* poskytují rostoucí mediální dosah, a to jak přímo, tak prostřednictvím nezávislých novinářů a spisovatelů, které ovlivňují. Celkově vzato by tato silná kombinace mohla úspěšně zpochybnit mocné zakrývání, které poslední tři roky udržovala americká vláda a její podřízení spojenci z MSM.

Tento vývoj v médiích představuje zásadní doplněk mých vlastních spisů na stejné téma.



## Covid/Biowarfare Series

Ron Unz • **Recenze Unz** • Duben 2020–prosinec 2021 • 60 000 slov

Tento nový materiál také podnítl obnovený zájem o mou vlastní analýzu Covid, přičemž mé rozhovory s podcasty z loňského února již tento měsíc dosáhly půl milionu zhlédnutí na Rumble a během několika příštích dnů pravděpodobně dosáhnou celkem 3 milionů.

Kevin Barrett, *FFWN* • 16. února 2022 • 15m

[Odkaz na video](#)

**Geopolitika a impérium** • 1. února 2022 • 75m

[Odkaz na video](#)

**Red Ice TV** • 3. února 2022 • 130m

[Odkaz na video](#) .

[← Všechny moje knihy jsou nyní dostupné na Amazonu](#)

[Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců](#)

• Kategorie: [Zahraněční politika](#) , [Věda](#) •



[RSS](#)



Štítky: [Anti-Vaxx](#) , [Biozbraně](#) , [Čína/Amerika](#)

, [Konspirační teorie](#) , [Koronavirus](#) , [Obezita](#) , [Vakcíny](#)

[Série Čína/Amerika](#)

Of Related Interest

[Ron Unz](#)

[Israel Shamir](#)

[Ron Unz](#)

[← All My Books Now](#)

[Available on Amazon](#)

Hide

33 Comments [Leave a](#)


[Comment](#)

Commenters to

Ignore...to

Follow [Endorsed Only](#)

**Trim Comments?**



1. Hang All Text

Drivers says:

Why do people ask questions that are impossible, even in theory, to answer.?



• **Replies:**

@Tallest Skil



Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

2. A123 says: • Website

January 26, 2023 at 8:06 pm GMT • 13.8 hours ago • 400

Words ↑

Every other theory is much worse.

The craziest is — This was some sort of “Attack on China”. Let us go through the disqualifying flaws with that idea.

-1- Why intentionally choose SARS2 — a modified, more contagious coronavirus?

-2- The *Crimson Contagion* exercise was a failure. It showed that contamination less severe than SARS2 could not be contained and would infect the U.S.

Combine #1 plus #2 and it is immediately obvious that the idea of an “Attack on China” is a non-starter. Intentionally releasing SARS2 might be an “Attack on the Entire Planet”. However, that introduces additional complications.

-3- Why choose Wuhan as the launch point for an “Attack on the Entire Planet”?

-4- Why transport SARS2 from America to China?

Rogue #**NeverTrump** Globalists would be partners with CCP Elites. Starting a 100% guaranteed global event in China works against the interests of the purported plotters.

If the rogues were targeting “world economic order” or “Trump’s reelection campaign”, why did the plotters not pick something much easier? Stealing SARS2 from America and releasing it at LAX or Heathrow Airport would be more effective and less risky.

-5- Why not obtain SARS2 from WIV, releasing it in Wuhan?

If this was an “attack”, then this is the simplest sequence of events to intentionally contaminate Wuhan, China. Discussion of this obvious option is notably absent.

---



The sceptic piece contains this:

a major clue may be the fact that multiple U.S. intelligence sources have stated they were following the outbreak in China from November 2019. This is despite China not being aware of the outbreak at that point (U.S. intelligence has even said as much), and there being no detectable signal of such an outbreak.

Which might have been damning, except it is 99%+ bogus/debunked.

The NCMI document is supposed to be from *November*, but the public did not hear about it until *April*. That **timing is unbelievably absurd**. NATO politicians leak like sieves. If ~30 NATO country bloviators (plus additional nations) had received this, we would have heard about it before Christmas.

Also, not a single page of this document has made it into public view. This strongly suggests that the document does not exist. Or, it was misrepresented to the media.

PEACE

• **Agree:** Wizard of Oz

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

3. Curmudgeon says:

January 26, 2023 at 8:25 pm GMT • 13.5 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

Starting from the perspective that everyone is lying, it is quite simple to understand what things or situations are not. You may not know what the actual situation is, but know what it can't be. The Wuhan lab leak never fit for a myriad of reasons. That the virus was a lab creation was obvious, because there are multiple patents on "corona virus" virtually all of them registered in the US. The fact that the US has, or funds, literally hundreds of military or contracted labs, with restricted access to local governments, around the globe doing "research" of this type makes Wuhan look like a patsy. The captured labs in Ukraine, ignored by the MSM, are more than "bad optics". We may never know the truth, but we will know what the truth isn't.

• **Agree:** MarkU, Pierre de Craon, JR Foley  
Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter



4. January 26, 2023 at 8:38 pm GMT • 13.3 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

So your Anglosphere/Zionist Deep State conspiracy gains credibility. What else has the Anglosphere/Zionist Deep State been cooking up in its sick minds and its criminal labs?

problem-reaction-solution ~ virus-panic-clot shot

Unz isn't on board Conspiracy A, but seems to be on board Conspiracy B. How many of his "critical thinker" brethren are in the same boat? Certainly neocon plunderer of Yeltsin era Russia, the esteemed Prof. Sachs.

You don't get a "little bit" impregnated by Satan. Do you people think anything out, or just pathologically grab for the low hanging fruit? Or maybe in Unz's case, the mid level fruit.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

5. Vicks says:

January 26, 2023 at 8:49 pm GMT • 13.1 hours ago • 300

Words ↑

Check below also interesting substack from Brian Mowrey.

The Wuhan lab leak is just the ops “insurance”

<https://unglossed.substack.com/p/lab-leak-op-confirmed>

“Under my paradigm, Wuhan was a stage designed and choreographed for years in advance to foster a great, big distracting “controversy” between a WIV and Huanan origin. Thus, the only reason to release the virus in Wuhan in the first place was to seed this controversy; in other words, Wuhan’s location in China was secondary to the broader aims.

Jones has assembled a compelling overview of Unz’s case that China was the target, not merely a vector for global release, and that Kadlec et al.’s pandemic countermeasures were meant to effect containment, rather than being an end in of themselves. In other words, the orchestrators of this scheme were literal, raging idiots....

... what the Unz-Jones case proposes is that the orchestrators of the virus’s release planned to frame elements of the Public Health Apparatus for SARS-CoV-2, but rather than enticement to commit an actual crime (get WIV to create SARS-CoV-2), left partial exoneration on the table (there is no indication WIV was working on a precursor to SARS-CoV-2). It just simply didn’t occur to this arm of the intelligence community to expect resistance from the other actors in government they were trying to implicate!

On the other hand, perhaps the problem with my theory — that the WIV origin was always meant to be unprovable and therefore suppressible, leading to the construction of a false dichotomy

between two fatally flawed theories — is the opposite: It implies that the perpetrators weren't raging idiots.”

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

6. Kevin Barrett says:

January 26, 2023 at 8:52 pm GMT • 13.0 hours ago • 100

Words [↑](#)

The Wuhan lab is to COVID what Oswald's gun was to JFK, what Sirhan's gun was to RFK, what McVeigh's truck was to OKC, and what a couple of planes carrying 20k gallons of kerosene were to 9/11. In all of these cases, a close or even cursory examination of the facts shows that the patsy couldn't have done the damage. But the perps know that most people think in terms of general impressions rather than hard facts. Put a quasi-plausible patsy in the general vicinity of the crime, give the Mockingbird media its marching orders, and people can be made to believe, or at least accept, total nonsense.

• **Agree:** Petermx, Carroll price

• **Replies:** @Wizard of Oz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

7. Charles says:

January 26, 2023 at 9:01 pm GMT • 12.9 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

Almost always, it is fascinating to listen to someone who possesses a powerful intellect on a subject in which that person is interested. Ron Unz is obviously very interested in the science of, and origin of, the outbreak. I am more engaged in discussing the *response* to the outbreak, but of course those two subjects are linked. As an aside I mention – again – that *Erectus Walks Amongst Us*, in the controversial HTMLs, is as informative and as astounding as anything you will ever read, and it is for all intents and purposes (because of its information) completely unavailable in a physical format.

• **Replies:** @aGreatDay

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

8. Notsofast says:

January 26, 2023 at 10:15 pm GMT • 11.6 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

let me start by saying that covid is a deliberately engineered bioweapon and that ralph baric holds the patent on its development. imo the wuhan lab was set up to be blamed by baric and fauci, that had moved their illegal gain of function research there and tried to cover the paper trail, by using ngos to fund the project and then lied about that.

with that said, when the “lab leak” scenario is discussed, everyone talks about wuhan but no one talks about ft. detrick, where this research had originated as a darpa project (dead give away, no pun intended) and that ft. detrick had been shut down in 2019 over safety concerns. there is no reason that this couldn’t be a bioweapon and a lab leak as well, they are not mutually exclusive. however i feel this was most likely a deliberately planned attack on china with the intent to blame china for the “lab leak” and carelessness in handling “their” bioweapon, after funding the research in order to plant the evidence.

imo this had been planned for years and one of the primary goals was to use this crisis to ram through their dangerous gain of function mrna based “vaccines” (that they also hold the patent on), that could never have passed full clinical trials and required emergency use authorization and legal indemnity.

• **Agree:** MarkU

• **Replies:** @Anonymous

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

9. Wizard of Oz says:

January 26, 2023 at 11:05 pm GMT • 10.8 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Kevin Barrett

Is there anything new here to support Ron's rogue actors theory that I reject on the ground that his "smoking gun" is simply the result of dissident anti Trump intell people cooking up a news drop to embaraass him?

When all the commenters to an anti Pompeo tweet by Prof Lawrence Tribe (as I have pointed out to Ron) don't even mention Ron's charge against him you may also wonder why.

• **Replies:** @A123

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

10. aGreatDay says:

January 26, 2023 at 11:55 pm GMT • 10.0 hours ago • 100

Words [↑](#)

@Charles

TY, am starting to read it now..

“1. (1) Whenever there is a conflict, there are (at least) two versions. (2) Each side will promote its version and suppress the other versions. (3) The version of the winning side will become the establishment version that most people will accept. (4) If you knew the other versions, in a significant number of cases you would not accept the version of the winning side. (5) Therefore, in order to avoid promoting versions that are against your own interests, you should examine all versions of a conflict before deciding which version to accept..”

• **Thanks:** Charles

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread



11. MarkU says:

January 27, 2023 at 12:19 am GMT • 9.6 hours ago • 300

Words ↑

I don't think there is much question that Covid-19 was created in a lab, we know that gain of function research was taking place and the virus (the spike protein in particular) has features which make it very unlikely that it had a natural origin.

So how did it get out into the world? there are a few possibilities.

- 1) It escaped from the lab at Wuhan.
- 2) It was deliberately planted at Wuhan as a bioweapon in order to attack the Chinese.
- 3) It escaped elsewhere first but was deliberately taken to Wuhan to shift the blame onto the Chinese.
- 4) The whole thing was pre-planned, the Wuhan leak was done first to pin it on the Chinese but the agenda was always 'vaccine passports', big pharma profits, austerity and the curtailment of civil liberties for the masses.

I don't think any of those can be completely dismissed but I have issues with some of them.

Option 1 – There are indications of foreknowledge, also there are reports that the virus was present too early in other places for that to be the likely first and only release.

Option 2 – Why use a highly mutable coronavirus to attack a specific target? that seems pretty obviously stupid. Who wouldn't have guessed it would end up being a global issue?

Option 3 – Explains its unexpectedly early presence elsewhere and the foreknowledge but I would have expected evidence of mass infection elsewhere, predating the Wuhan outbreak.

I'm going for option 4 – It was a biowarfare attack on humanity at large, they tried to ensure the Chinese got it first and worst but it wasn't only aimed at them. As per usual, every time the banksters have an atrocity planned they have a drill first, event 201.

I think Ron Unz is right about the bioweapon attack but he is wrong to imagine that it was specifically aimed at the Chinese and the Iranians, though they were priority targets. It must have been obvious it would spread worldwide, it was an attack on us all, a naked power grab.

• **Replies:** [@Rahan](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

12. [Ferrari](#) says:

January 27, 2023 at 12:27 am GMT • 9.4 hours ago ↑

have I read this article before?

lol

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

13. A123 says: • Website

January 27, 2023 at 12:33 am GMT • 9.3 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

@Wizard of Oz

| *Is there anything new here to support Ron's rogue actors theory*

Sadly no. What sceptic offers up is this:

| there is no real evidence to support it. After more than three years, no hard evidence has appeared that the virus escaped from —*the WIV*—.

So the standard is:

*there is no real evidence to support it. After more than three years, no hard evidence has appeared that the virus escaped from \_\_\_\_\_.*

Now objectively insert any other option:

⊙ no hard evidence has appeared that the virus escaped from *Fort Detrick*

⊙ no hard evidence has appeared that the virus escaped from *the U.S.*

⊙ no hard evidence has appeared that the virus escaped from *a lab in Ukraine*

All of those options are self evidently less credible than *the WIV*.

---

The WUHAN-19 virus appeared in Wuhan, China. The city that hosts the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

All smart, honest analysts will keep WIV #1 until there is “hard evidence” to support the increased complexity of less plausible geographic distribution that requires a multinational conspiracy.

It does not have to be a technical leak. Malfeasance at WIV is at least as (possibly more) probable than failures like, “a scientist broke a test tube”.

PEACE

• **Thanks:** Wizard of Oz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

14. Anonymous[130] • Disclaimer says:

January 27, 2023 at 12:33 am GMT • 9.3 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Notsofast

I've been saying Ft Detrick was probable for a long time. Why?

1) It's clear COVID was engineered here in the states via some unholy alliance of DoD, Pfizer, and Moderna.

2) The anthrax attack used to threaten congress members into shutting up as to what they knew about the 9/11 Israel connection was traced by to a scientist (Ivins) from Ft Detrick who, surprise surprise, committed suicide before being brought to justice.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

15. Anonymous[380] • Disclaimer says:

January 27, 2023 at 12:34 am GMT • 9.3 hours ago • 300

Words ↑

Russia, China, and Iran have two ways of holding CIA mad scientists accountable for this crime. Just as in domestic law, you can sue a criminal enterprise or prosecute it, you can do the equivalent in international law.

Viewed one way, US development and use of banned biological weapons in undeclared war is an internationally wrongful act in breach of jus cogens. This US act obligates all UN member nations to stop the US and to impose restitution, compensation with interest, or satisfaction (which may include prosecution or extradition of US suspects.) For SARS2, those remedies would make the Versailles Treaty look like a fix-it ticket, entailing replacement of the criminal state and R2P Pillar II capacity-building that reforms the US government out of existence.

[https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/74/resp\\_of\\_states.shtml](https://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/74/resp_of_states.shtml)

Viewed another way, US development and use of banned biological weapons in undeclared war is a crime against peace, subjecting suspect US officials to prosecution or extradition in any jurisdiction in the world. Note that US obstruction of the International Criminal Court provides no shield; crimes against peace are universal jurisdiction law. The ICC is only one forum for their application.

This approach involves decapitation of the US government with trials of key staff – a postwar tribunal for the WWII that CIA started.

[https://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/draft\\_articles/7\\_4\\_1996.pdf](https://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/draft_articles/7_4_1996.pdf)

Katherine Watt's substack is a stab at defining Nuremberg Count 1 – the common plan and conspiracy

<https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/kill-box-presentation-1.pdf>

Russia's Aria formula presentation to the UNSC shows how you document Counts 2 and 3

– crimes against peace and war crimes

<https://disk.yandex.ru/d/62hsNB8kC7MXPQ>

Reiner Fuellmich is working on Count 4 – Crimes Against Humanity

<https://www.fuellmich.com/>

One way or another, we'll get there. Every living DCI since Colby's on the hook with their CIA henchmen (no superior orders defense.) They're gonna swing for this.

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

16. dimples says:

January 27, 2023 at 12:35 am GMT • 9.3 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

“A half-dozen substantial articles released within just a few weeks is certainly a significant trend. ”

None of these articles are substantial in the slightest. Mr Unz continues on his phantasmagorical mind-trip, let us hope not into an episode of schizophrenia. Perhaps it is a problem of being too intelligent, the tendency to see things that are not there, but hoping they might be. Are statements emanating from US intelligence actually real, who knows? Ditto with statements emanating from the CCP, not to mention virologists and bureaucracies with prestige and fortunes at stake. Why did the CCP immediately close down the WIV and erase all evidence from it? Was this a cunning diversion? Are the CCP and US secretly co-operating? Why didn't the 'rogue group' let loose the manufactured virus in Washington DC, giving the JewSA the perfect opportunity to blame China so as to start a new war? The speculative options continue to proliferate. Perhaps new more cogent theories and narratives will gain traction.

• **Replies:** @Alrenous

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

17. Tallest Skil says:

January 27, 2023 at 12:38 am GMT • 9.3 hours ago ↑

@Hang All Text Drivers

Because it isn't? It was blatantly engineered. We have hard proof of that. The nature of its release is an event which happened, and therefore can be proven through external evidence.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread



18. James of Africa says:

January 27, 2023 at 12:43 am GMT • 9.2 hours ago • 300

Words ↑

This seems more and more like the same old human story, dressed up in a new high-tech narrative. A struggle for power using any means necessary to get to the top. They play similar tricks to Aztec priests who used predictable events such solar eclipses to convince their audience that they could bring back the sun.

I seriously think they study outbreaks of disease in places where previous outbreaks were found to be more likely to have had their start, parts of Asia with high population density and other contributing factors seem to be a good bet. The idea is to identify the next “big” disease ASAP, that way they can cobble together a barely passable vaccine or treatment that has little therapeutic value in record time. It’s not really about treatment, they make their money and there is also ample opportunity to experiment with social engineering, strike the iron while it’s hot.

Most people have a vague understanding of science, and they will be too intimidated by “experts” to effectively raise their intuitive feelings of unease about the situation they suddenly find themselves in. The Aztec trick is their knowledge of the timeline of the average pandemic. They know that eventually people build natural resistance to new diseases. That’s when they tell people that herd immunity is the proof that their treatments and containment measures paid off.

I believe that they can manipulate genetics, but I think they can only rearrange things to see what happens, they (probably) can’t (yet) put together a designer virus with predictable results.

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

19. Silesian 2 says:

January 27, 2023 at 1:08 am GMT • 8.8 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

The response to the lab leak people is IRAN. And no other.

For, it is IRAN that got hit the hardest, the fastest, and from no apparent source. Back in May 2020, when Johns Hopkins reported 14,000,000 cases of Covid, the prime minister of Iran reported 15,000,000 cases in Iran alone! We recall how every single Iranian parliament member came down with Covid at the same time. The entry of Covid to the United States originated from Iran through Europe, not China. All fingers point toward Israeli and/or American espionage.

• **Replies:** @Wizard of Oz, @anon

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

20. James N. Kennett says:

January 27, 2023 at 1:19 am GMT • 8.6 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

Somewhat OT:

On Thursday 26th January, Project Veritas published an edited video of Jordon Trishton Walker, Director, Worldwide R&D Strategic Operations and mRNA Scientific Planning at Pfizer, Inc., talking about the company's research into "Directed Evolution" (aka "gain of function") of Covid. The video is a bit disjointed, and jumps between research that Pfizer is doing and things that have not gone beyond discussion.

[https://mobile.twitter.com/Project\\_Veritas/status/1618405890612420609](https://mobile.twitter.com/Project_Veritas/status/1618405890612420609)

Project Veritas has checked the credentials of Walker, and he is real (i.e. not a hoaxer):

<https://mobile.twitter.com/JamesOKeefeIII/status/1618435991211233282>

He has also been investigated and verified by Brian O'Shea:

<https://brianoshea.substack.com/p/who-is-jordon-trishton-walker>

Needless to say the statements of Pfizer executive Dr Walker disagree with the company's PR, and the company's lawyers have been working hard to suppress the story: articles in Mail Online and [msn.com](https://www.msn.com) have disappeared. DuckDuckGo had search results for "Jordon Trishton Walker" 15 hours ago, but now the page is blank. Google is still showing useful search results.

Twitter is allowing the story; other social media sites are not so receptive. Their "independent fact checkers" cannot dispute that these are the words of a Pfizer executive: all they can do is shadow-ban the story.

Sen. Marco Rubio has written to the CEO of Pfizer to express his concern.

<https://www.rubio.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/press-releases?ID=49178C21-9535-41E1-B484-DA62705568DA>

• **Replies:** [@Ron Unz](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

21. [Rahan](#) says:

January 27, 2023 at 1:33 am GMT • 8.3 hours ago • 200

Words [↑](#)

[@MarkU](#)

I think Ron Unz is right about the bioweapon attack but he is wrong to imagine that it was specifically aimed at the Chinese and the Iranians, though they were priority targets. It must have been obvious it would spread worldwide, it was an attack on us all, a naked power grab.

Could be they were assured by experts that the virus will scythe through the targets and then a month later mutate into a less harmful version by the time it reaches places that matter.

Could be they were assured by experts that the vaccine is ready and the virus will not be a problem in the places that matter.

Could be they were assured by experts that since SARS never really escaped China, SARS 2 will also be confined to its initial targets.

These days you got enough experts to assure you of anything you're paying them to assure you of.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

22. JR Foley says:

January 27, 2023 at 2:02 am GMT • 7.9 hours ago ↑

Some US participants departed Wuhan Games early—sick—with what—they were the “seeders”??

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

23. Arenous says: • Website

January 27, 2023 at 2:13 am GMT • 7.7 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

| Individuals such as Prof. Sachs possess enormous international credibility.

By which we mean no credibility at all, yes? “International” is a strong negative modifier.

| Prof. Jeffrey Sachs of Columbia University is an academic of the highest mainstream respectability who had spent the last two years as chairman of the Lancet’s Covid Commission.

Ah, so indeed the credibility is deeply negative. Anything he supports should be *discredited* by any honourable thinker.

| Webzine founder Toby Young Tweeted out the piece to his 250,000 followers, and it was also immediately republished by America’s Brownstone Institute.

Popularity, likewise, is a negative epistemic indicator. E.g. taking the vaccine was very popular – I’ve heard upwards of 90% of Americans took at least one – and a very terrible idea.

| A half-dozen substantial articles released within just a few weeks is certainly a significant trend.

Usually indicates a financially-driven propaganda drive. It’s not rational convergence, it’s coordination. Many megaphones, but one microphone.

—

By the way what really happened was that the world in general pissed off Heaven and, as is often the case, Heaven’s wrath came in the form of a plague. If it wasn’t one place it would have been another. Heaven deliberately chose something which does not and cannot have a vaccine, for example.

The best part is almost all the damage was self-inflicted. It wasn’t caused by the virus, it was caused by impiety, especially Pride.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

24. Alrenous says: • Website

January 27, 2023 at 2:22 am GMT • 7.5 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@dimples

Perhaps it is a problem of being too intelligent, the tendency to see things that are not there, but hoping they might be.

I can almost always win a debate even if I'm wrong. A big talker, you might say.

I tested it with the whole devil's advocate thing. If I argue for something I know in advance is wrong, do I win? Yeah, yeah I do.

If you're smart you can conveniently "forget" that the social rules of debate aren't nearly stringent enough to make it a reliable epistemic tool. (You can fix it but nobody uses that level of discipline.) Then declare that nobody has better arguments than you do. Or nobody but "low-credibility" fringe types, at least (meaning low-prestige). Just go ahead and privilege social status over experimental status – nobody but loser fringe types will call you on it, after all.

• **Agree:** Stripes Duncan

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread



25. Supply and Demand says:

January 27, 2023 at 2:33 am GMT • 7.3 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

Thank you as always, Ron.

I spent most of 2020 explaining in comments how foolish and rabid the China-haters (mostly Republican Blumpfsuckers) had become in the wake of the GOP-made-and-released-bioweapon known as COVID-19.

In my opinion, China should seek out reparations from the American working class. Additionally, Republican Humiliation and kowtowing at this point will be an essential part of the US white's apology to the Shining Party on a Hill.

China has the assets and soft-power to do this. I hope they wait until the recession starts firmly hurting white Americans to the point where they cannot feed their families. Then they must be forced to bend the knee for scraps.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

26. Ron Unz says:

January 27, 2023 at 2:37 am GMT • 7.3 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

@James N. Kennett

Somewhat OT:

On Thursday 26th January, Project Veritas published an edited video of Jordon Trishton Walker, Director, Worldwide R&D Strategic Operations and mRNA Scientific Planning at Pfizer, Inc., talking about the company's research into "Directed Evolution" (aka "gain of function") of Covid. The video is a bit disjointed, and jumps between research that Pfizer is doing and things that have not gone beyond discussion.

Well, I watched a little of the video and the guy just seemed like an idiot, spouting off after having a couple of drinks. I'd be very skeptical about believing anything he says, and despite his fancy title, I really wonder how much he really knows about anything. Apparently, although he has a medical degree, his main recent role has been as a marketing consultant.

As for anything he says about the Wuhan lab, maybe he got it by listening to Steve Bannon's podcast or something like that.

Obviously, everyone who hates Pfizer automatically believes every boastful statement by some Pfizer executive, but I think that sort of casual video evidence is very weak.

Just as you indicated, this was certainly off-topic, but since the video has gotten a lot of play, I thought I should respond.

• **LOL:** Brosi

• **Replies:** @A123

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

27. Wizard of Oz says:

@Silesian 2

Your figures are Bs as is your verbiage (cf. “espionage”). Try again if only to give a desperate moderator a real excuse to put a gold ban round your intended support for his theory.

• **Agree:** A123

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

28. Wizard of Oz says:

January 27, 2023 at 3:07 am GMT • 6.8 hours ago • 100

Words ↑.

@Rahan

Well done to produce fodder for the live imagination. Ron shouldn't have called them “rogues” because they were the most profoundly public spirited carers for humanity. Seeing the dangers of war around the world which would kill millions of the young and able they started a pandemic that would, at the cost of a few million deaths amongst the old and obese, at least postpone war amongst rational actors.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

29. MarkU says:

@Rahan

These days you got enough experts to assure you of anything you're paying them to assure you of.

That is a reasonable point, perhaps they are even more stupid, ignorant and ill-advised than I had considered possible.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

30. [A123](#) says: • [Website](#)

[January 27, 2023 at 3:15 am GMT](#) • 6.6 hours ago • 100

Words [↑](#)

[@Ron Unz](#)

Jordon Trishton Walker, Director, Worldwide R&D Strategic Operations and mRNA Scientific Planning at Pfizer

the guy just seemed like an idiot, spouting off after having a couple of drinks.

Physical Assault. Theft and destruction of property. Nope. Not “spouting off”. Vastly worse than that. Total, Jussie Smollett, SJW privilege meltdown.

Obviously, everyone who hates Pfizer automatically believes every boastful statement by some Pfizer executive, but I think that sort of casual video evidence is very weak.

Exchange listed corporations handle the title “Director” with care. The fact that Pfizer’s governance is so ineffective, this individual has substantial authority is a catastrophic failure. At some point the Manda-vaxx extremists will have to cope with the reality that this is one of their “thought leaders”.

I would suggest embedding this tweet at the top of your next vaxx thread. Further analysis here is indeed headed towards OT tangents.

PEACE

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

31. roonaldo says:

January 27, 2023 at 3:59 am GMT • 5.9 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

You say, “Obviously, everyone who hates Pfizer automatically believes every boastful statement by some Pfizer executive...”

Yep, that certainly describes me, and I plan to use the exec’s strategy to impress chicks at the local bar—“Hey, baby, I’m helping to engineer deadly viruses to unleash mass death and destruction on the world for fun and profit.”

I’m gonna be swarmed by red-hot honeys and will need help dealing with all of ’em—so come on up my way everyone, it’ll be a great time—Hunter Biden is on his way over!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

32. Intelligent Dasein says:

January 27, 2023 at 4:02 am GMT • 5.9 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

I agree that the lab leak theory is certainly false, but that is because Covid had a natural origin. It did not come from Wuhan or any other lab, either accidentally or intentionally. It is quite clear now that Covid-19 was circulating at a low level in human populations for months if not years before the events in Wuhan that drew the world’s attention. It is a very ordinary respiratory virus that causes cold-like symptoms and is easily survived by 99.73% of those infected. There is nothing whatsoever unusual about Covid-19. Why should we surmise it had an unnatural origin?

• **Disagree:** Sean

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

33. anon[139] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[@Silesian 2](#)

Yes, I've been thinking that also, that Iran may have been the actual intended target. It makes sense in view of the constant campaigns against them, cyber-attacks, assassinations of scientists, attempted color-revolution machinations and so on. It's been one sneak attack after another and this fits right in.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

[← All My Books Now Available on Amazon](#)

Leave a Reply -

Remember My Information Why?

Email Replies to my Comment

 [Přihlaste se k odběru tohoto vlákna komentářů přes RSS](#) 

[Přihlaste se k odběru všech komentářů Ron Unz prostřednictvím RSS](#)