

The Federal Reserve's Magic Trick: Big Tech, by Ron Paul

[UR unz.com/rpaul/the-federal-reserves-magic-trick-big-tech](https://unz.com/rpaul/the-federal-reserves-magic-trick-big-tech)

March 6, 2023

[← Inflace a daňové útoky na Am...](#)

[BlogviewArchiv Rona Paula](#)

Magický trik Federálního rezervního systému: Big Tech

[Ron Paul](#) • 6. března 2023

• 600 slov • [10 Comments](#) • [Odpovědět](#)

[Sdílejte s Gabem](#)

Teď to vidíš... možná brzy nebudeš.

Zdánlivá schopnost hodnoty akcií mnoha technologických společností neustále stoupat v posledním roce narazila na odpor. To platilo i pro velké technologické společnosti souhrnně známé jako „velké technologie“. Během posledních 12 měsíců Meta (mateřská společnost Facebook, WhatsApp a Instagram), Amazon a Alphabet (mateřská společnost Google a YouTube) utrpěly propouštění a velký pokles cen akcií.

Ty byly výsledkem jak špatných rozhodnutí, tak měnících se podmínek na trhu. Například konec blokování covidu zjevně snížil poptávku po doručovacích službách Amazonu. Rostoucí počet lidí také opouští Facebook a další stránky Meta na novější stránky sociálních médií. Mnoho z těch, kteří používají sociální média k politické organizaci, vzdělávání nebo diskusi, opouští Facebook a YouTube kvůli webům, jako je Rumble – weby, které nedeplatformují jednotlivce pro sdílení názorů a zpráv, které se nelíbí „probuzeným“ byrokratům a politikům.

Kouzelník v tomto scénáři — Federální rezervní systém — hrál hlavní roli ve vzestupu a pádu velkých (a středních a malých) technologií. Technologický spisovatel David Streitfeld, píšící do New York Times, nedávno zkoumal, jak politika Fedu v roce 2008 související s téměř nulovými úrokovými sazbami vedla mnoho investorů k vyhazování

peněz do technologických společností. V mnoha případech by tito investoři nekoupili akcie technologických společností, kdyby Fed nezkreslil signály vysílané úrokovými sazbami, které jsou cenou peněz. Historická expanze bilance Fedu díky „kvantitativnímu uvolňování“ také pomohla vytvořit technologickou bublinu. Nyní, když Fed zvyšuje úrokové sazby (ačkoli je stále drží hluboko pod tím, co by pravděpodobně byly na volném trhu), technologická bublina praská, protože investoři jsou schopni získat realističtější pohled na hodnotu technologických společností.

Například Carvana, která se chtěla stát „Amazonkou ojetých aut“, se za pouhých 18 měsíců dostala z ocenění 80 miliard dolarů na 1,5 miliardy dolarů, což je ztráta 98 procent. Technologická bublina vytvořená Fedem také umožnila Amazonu ztratit miliony otevřením nových podniků, včetně fyzických knihkupectví. Amazon v březnu loňského roku, ve stejný měsíc, kdy Fed začal zvyšovat úrokové sazby, oznámil, že zavře všechna svá knihkupectví.

Technologická bublina umožnila velkým společnostem růst prostřednictvím fúzí a akvizic. Mnoho malých a začínajících společností přilákalo investory příslibem velkého výnosu z akvizice. Frekvence fúzí a akvizic souvisejících s technologiemi je hlavním důvodem obnoveného zájmu levice (a některých lidí napravo) o antimonopolní zákony. Předsedkyně Federální obchodní komise Lina Khan vděčí za svou pozici své obhajobě používání antimonopolních pravidel k omezení růstu velkých technologií. Khanova křížová výprava proti velkým technologiím utrpěla u soudů určité neúspěchy. Khan se však nemusí obávat, protože Federální rezervní systém může dělat efektivnější práci při omezování fúzí a akvizic souvisejících s technologiemi, než by kdy dokázala FTC.

Sam Abuelsamid, hlavní analytik společnosti Guidehouse Insights, přesně shrnul nedávnou historii technologického průmyslu pro Streitfeldův článek: „Celý technologický průmysl za posledních 15 let byl postaven za levné peníze. Teď jsou zasaženi novou realitou a oni za to zaplatí.“ Federální rezervní systém vytvořil bubliny a propady

nejsou novou realitou. Jsou s námi od vytvoření Fedu a zůstanou, dokud se systém nezhroutí nebo dokud Kongres neprovede audit a pak skončí Fed.

(Opětovně publikováno z The Ron Paul Institute se svolením autora nebo zástupce)

← Inflace a daňové útoky na Am...

Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců

• Kategorie: Ekonomie • Štítky: Federální rezervní systém , Wall Street



RSS



Print

← Inflace a daňové útoky na Am...

Hide 10 Comments Leave a Comment

Commenters to Ignore...to Follow

Trim Comments?

1. Durruti says:

March 6, 2023 at 3:54 pm GMT • 1.7 days ago • 100 Words ↑

| Talk about Magic Trick

s

[https://www.bing.com/videos/riverview/relatedvideo?
&q=jimmy+dore+videos&qpv=1&mid=3277
5E9C3B80D1265DFF32775E9C3B80D1265DFF&&FORM=VRD
GAR](https://www.bing.com/videos/riverview/relatedvideo?&q=jimmy+dore+videos&qpv=1&mid=32775E9C3B80D1265DFF32775E9C3B80D1265DFF&&FORM=VRD GAR)

This business practice was Unbelievable, even just a few years ago. The Horror of **Orwell's 1984**, modernized, & polished, is the present reality in 2023.

The remnants of Freedom, & LIBERTY, are vanishing.
Resistance is an option!

Freedom is not Free! It must be Paid For!

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

2. Durruti says:

March 6, 2023 at 4:17 pm GMT • 1.7 days ago ↑

Here's a ”

| Magic Trick

.”



Watch Video At: https://youtu.be/rw_iiIjDrs

A Modded 1984, in 2023.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

3. Jokem says:

March 6, 2023 at 6:18 pm GMT • 1.6 days ago ↑

Ask any stockbroker and they will tell you technology stock is temperamental.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

4. Durruti says:

March 7, 2023 at 1:03 am GMT • 1.3 days ago • 500 Words ↑

Let's discuss another

| “Magic Trick”

I place this here, in the column of my favorite Statesman. If it is not appreciated, or angers some powers that be, take me, & or the article out! Or offer me a place to post my articles.

This has been posted by me-in other places, in an effort to raise this issue of History.

Assassination & Coup D'état

[https://www.bing.com/videos/search?](https://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=dylan+murder+most+foul+song+reaction+videos&qpvtdylan+murder+most+foul+song+reaction+videos&view=detail&mid=F938A2468EAB9BE5698CF938A2468EAB9BE5698C&&FORM=VRDGAR&ru=%2Fvideos%2Fsearch%3Fq%3Ddylan%2Bmurder%2Bmost%2Bfoul%2Bsong%2Breaction%2Bvideos%26qpvtdylan%2Bmurder%2Bmost%2Bfoul%2Bsong%2Breaction%2Bvideos%26FORM%3DVDRE)

[q=dylan+murder+most+foul+song+reaction+videos&qpvtdylan+murder+most+foul+song+reaction+videos&view=detail&mid=F938A2468EAB9BE5698CF938A2468EAB9BE5698C&&FORM=VRDGAR&ru=%2Fvideos%2Fsearch%3Fq%3Ddylan%2Bmurder%2Bmost%2Bfoul%2Bsong%2Breaction%2Bvideos%26qpvtdylan%2Bmurder%2Bmost%2Bfoul%2Bsong%2Breaction%2Bvideos%26FORM%3DVDRE](https://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=dylan+murder+most+foul+song+reaction+videos&qpvtdylan+murder+most+foul+song+reaction+videos&view=detail&mid=F938A2468EAB9BE5698CF938A2468EAB9BE5698C&&FORM=VRDGAR&ru=%2Fvideos%2Fsearch%3Fq%3Ddylan%2Bmurder%2Bmost%2Bfoul%2Bsong%2Breaction%2Bvideos%26qpvtdylan%2Bmurder%2Bmost%2Bfoul%2Bsong%2Breaction%2Bvideos%26FORM%3DVDRE)

Nice Reaction & song – With Lyrics (in a long detailed song – Lyrics help). Still cannot find a reviewer with the Cojones to seriously discuss what happened on 11/23/1963, &, or, to seriously discuss this song, **Murder Most Foul, by Bob Dylan.**

1. **Dylan waited** until AFTER he was awarded the **Nobel Prize**, to release a song he had written 3 years before. Why did he hesitate to release the song?

2. This song is loaded with hints/inferences. Seventeen 17 minutes of them. It is a long song.

3. In his song, **Dylan** refers to patterns of MURDERS/ASSASSINATIONS that happened AFTER the Assassination of **John F Kennedy**. He refers to the coming murder of younger brother **Robert Kennedy**. None of the reviewers notice this.

4. **Dylan** is a careful individual who values life, (his life). He is throwing hints & accusations around this 17 minute long-Song of HISTORY. He is doing his best. He values his life. He values his life. He knows what happened to others, to HEROES. He is doing his best. He fears, does not want to pay the price for being a Hero.

5. None of the many reviewers of his song have DARED to comment on just what this song is about, (just what is the subject matter of this piece of musical poetry?). Just what is **Dylan, America's most famous Musical Poet** saying, (if anything), in his song?

6. **Dylan's** song does reveal something about 11/22/1963. He sings that this is where it all began. What began? *Yes, There is No*, Direct Mention of the **Rothschilds**, or **MOSSAD**, in his song. But are there Hints?

7. Why did **Dylan** go to the trouble of writing, and later, releasing/marketing this song? Why choose this subject? Why did he write such a long song? Was he in need of money\$? This song will not sell-much. Then, WHY? WHY? WHY? **This song has been buried** by the Mainstream ANNNND the so-called 'Alternate Media'. **Why do they bury** (fail to comment & advertise this song by America's most famous writer)? Why is the sky blue? Daddy?

Dr. Peter J. Antonsen – nom de guerre, Durruti

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

5. Christopher Chantrill says:

March 7, 2023 at 5:34 pm GMT • 15.7 hours ago ↑

Yep. Since 2009, NASDAQ up 719%.

Personal income up 79%.

Real GDP up 31%.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

6. Mark G. says:

March 7, 2023 at 10:11 pm GMT • 11.1 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

Fed created bubbles only benefit those who have enough money to invest in them. Ten percent of the population own ninety percent of the stocks so a stock market bubble increases income inequality. Fed policies have made the average person worse off.

These bubbles also cause misallocation of scarce resources as people gamble on the stock market casino rather than making more sensible investment decisions. Low interest rates discourage investment and encourage consumption.

We had the late nineties tech bubble that burst, a housing bubble that burst in 2008 and are now having another bursting tech bubble. Fracking was another recent bubble. Widespread fracking was only viable as long as the fracking companies could borrow money at low interest rates. The temporary over production of oil and dropping prices caused people to dismiss the Peak Oil theory that our oil reserves are in decline. Future rising costs for energy will cause a deterioration in the standard of living for the average American that will not be prevented by foolish government expenditures on solar or wind power.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

7. Jokem says:

March 7, 2023 at 11:21 pm GMT • 10.0 hours ago ↑

Ten percent of the population own ninety percent of the stocks so a stock market bubble increases income inequality.

This is not true.

Most of the stocks are in Annuities, Money Markets, etc. Which are middle class investments.

I seriously doubt the middle class is only 10% of the population.

• **Replies:** @Mark G.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

8. Mark G. says:

March 8, 2023 at 12:18 am GMT • 9.0 hours ago ↑

@Jokem

The wealthiest 10% of American households now own 89% of all U.S. stocks, a record high that highlights the stock market's role in increasing wealth inequality.

<https://www.cnbc.com/2021/10/18/the-wealthiest-10percent-of-americans-own-a-record-89percent-of-all-us-stocks.html>

• **Replies:** @Jokem

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

9. Jokem says:

@Mark G.

I would like to know how CNBC made this determination? i.e. was this stocks only, or all financial investments, such as bonds?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

10. Mac says:

March 8, 2023 at 4:16 am GMT • 5.0 hours ago • 400 Words ↑
Tend to see many situations as combo schemes, which though article sort of notes as reacting and would get to in a minute, first makes me think of schemes to compare, and of a point writer Jon Rappoport made over time on tyranny of pharma cabal, and I would add they scheme with fed money cons through govt, billions handed pharma, and people didn't notice, or until the covid tyranny. Also 'psych' cons, who falsely dictate what's 'unbalanced' or not, make up mental 'diseases' to falsely label people or push drugs, also some court cons 'order' good resister type people to take drugs, and also remember pharma pushing 'ssri's to dope people, and children. This as they steer away from natural, such as chamomile for temporary stress. Point being the article notes fed and tech and puts as reactive, though I would see more as scheming.

The situation of fed and tech, can be scheme same as pharma and fed, and some govt cons, it only takes 'one' person more than half to tip a 'vote' for 'funding' schemes. I remember Ron used to be called Dr. No because he usually voted no, but just couldn't make a difference when so many others vote for schemes.

So, on – 'Also, the Fed-created tech bubble allowed Amazon to lose millions opening new businesses, including physical bookstores. Amazon announced in March of last year, the same month the Fed started increasing interest rates, that it would close all its bookstores.' –

Is a point and though I would view less as reaction and more as orchestrated, as most mega corps such as amazon the cons pick some business or person, and media steer focus 'to one place, which becomes monopoly. One place easier to control than millions of us having own inventions or local exchanges. Then also is scheme so everything digital so the con's noses snooping

everyone, and delete books when they decide, as they did back in greece when they burned our books library at alexandria. In other words think the tech and fed thing seems more a plot than one reacting to other as if coincidence. In any event important subject, appreciate the article.

.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

[← The Inflation and Tax Assault on the Am...](#)

Leave a Reply -

Zapamatovat si moje informace Proč?

Emailové odpovědi na můj komentář

 [Přihlaste se k odběru tohoto vlákna komentářů přes RSS](#) 

[Přihlaste se k odběru všech komentářů Rona Paula prostřednictvím](#)

[RSS](#)